Wilson's theorem for block designs Talks given at LSBU June–August 2014 Tony Forbes

Steiner systems S(2, k, v)

For $k \geq 3$, a Steiner system S(2, k, v) is usually defined as a pair (V, \mathcal{B}) , where V is a set of cardinality v of points and \mathcal{B} is a set of k-element subsets of V, usually called blocks, or lines if the system has some geometric significance, with the property that each pair of points is contained in precisely one block. For example, to construct a Steiner system S(2,3,7) we may take $V = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$ and

 $\mathcal{B} = \{\{0, 1, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{2, 3, 5\}, \{3, 4, 6\}, \{4, 5, 0\}, \{5, 6, 1\}, \{6, 0, 2\}\}.$

In this example each line has three points and a point occurs at the intersection of three lines, This is the Fano plane, a finite projective plane of order 2.

We say that a positive integer v is *admissible* if $v(v-1) \equiv 0 \pmod{k(k-1)}$ and $v-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k-1}$. A necessary condition for the existence of a Steiner system S(2, k, v) is that v is admissible. This guarantees that the cardinality of the block set, v(v-1)/(k(k-1)), as well as the number of times a specific point occurs amongst the blocks, (v-1)/(k-1), are both non-negative integers. Numbers of the form v = k(k-1)x+1 and v = k(k-1)x+k, $x \ge 0$, are always admissible, and if k is a prime power there are no others.

The existence problem for given k asks for which admissible v does there exist a Steiner system S(2, k, v). Two trivial Steiner systems always exist, namely the S(2, k, 1), with empty block set, and the S(2, k, k), where there is a single block of size k. The existence problem is completely solved for k = 3 (Kirkman, 1847) and for k = 4, 5 (Hanani, 1961); Steiner systems S(2, k, v) exist for k = 3, 4, 5 and all admissible v. For k = 6, 7, 8, 9, the existence problem is solved for all except a small number of admissible v; see [2] for details. For prime power q, there are a few infinite classes of known designs (see [2] and [4] for details):

- (i) $S(2, q, q^n)$, affine geometries (affine planes when n = 2),
- (ii) $S(2, q, q^{2n+2} q^{2n+1} + q), q \ge 3,$
- (iii) $S(2, q+1, q^n + \dots + q+1)$, projective geometries (projective planes if n = 2),
- (iv) $S(2, q+1, q^3+1)$, unital designs,
- (v) $S(2, q+1, q^{2n+1}+1),$
- (vi) $S(2, q+1, q^{2n+2} + q^{2n+1} + 1),$
- (vii) $S(2, q+1, q^{2n+2}+q+1),$
- (viii) $S(2, 2^r, 2^{2r+1} 2^r), r \ge 2$, oval designs,
- (ix) $S(2, 2^r, 2^{r+s} + 2^r 2^s), s > r \ge 2$, Denniston designs.

The only known Steiner system with $k \ge 10$ and $(v-1)/(k-1) \le 41$ apart from affine and projective planes is the oval/Denniston design S(2, 16, 496) [4].

Admissibility is not always sufficient. Fisher's inequality asserts that a non-trivial S(2, k, v) must have at least as many blocks as points, implying that $v \ge k(k-1)+1$. Also we have the Bruck-Ryser theorem. If a Steiner system $S(2, k, k^2)$ (affine plane of order k) exists and $k \equiv 1$ or 2 (mod 4), then k is the sum of two integer squares. Moreover, a Steiner system $S(2, k + 1, k^2 + k + 1)$ (projective plane of order k) exists if and only if an affine plane of order k exists. Hence there is no $S(2, k, k^2)$ and no $S(2, k + 1, k^2 + k + 1)$ for $k = 6, 14, 21, 22, 30, \ldots$ Finally, there is no S(2, 10, 100) and no S(2, 11, 111) (Lam, Thiel & Swiercz, 1989), and there is no S(2, 6, 42) (Houghten, Thiel, Janssen & Lam, 2001). Let us look at the case k = 10. For non-prime-power k further residue classes modulo

k(k-1) are admissible, and indeed when k = 10 the admissible v are 90x + d, d =

1, 10, 45, 55, $x \ge 0$. The trivial S(2, 10, 1) and S(2, 10, 10) exist. However S(2, 10, 45) and S(2, 10, 55) do not by Fisher's inequality. The next in the sequence is S(2, 10, 91), the projective plane of order 9, which exists, followed by S(2, 10, 100), the affine plane of order 10, which does not. Ignorance prevents me from saying anything about S(2, 10, 135), S(2, 10, 145) and many others with small v. Some designs appear in [1], and I have managed to produce examples of S(2, 10, v) with v = 1621, 2161, 2251, 2341 (Zoe's design II), 2521, 2791, 2971, 3061, 3331, 3511 and 3691.

The extremal existence problem was solved by R. M. Wilson in 1975 [7]. Given $k \geq 3$, for all sufficiently large admissible v, there exists a Steiner system S(2, k, v). And more recently the extremal existence problem was solved by Peter Keevash for more general designs. Given $t \geq 2$ and k > t, for all sufficiently large admissible v, there exists a Steiner system S(t, k, v).

Although Wilson and Keevash appear to have killed the subject, one can nevertheless argue that there is still quite a lot of work to do, especially for $k \ge 10$ in the finite but vast range from v = 1 to 'sufficiently large'. So I suggest that the concrete existence problem might have some interest: given suitable k, exhibit a non-trivial Steiner system S(2, k, v), or more generally, given suitable t and k, exhibit a non-trivial Steiner system S(t, k, v). As far as I am aware, even for S(2, k, v) designs this problem has not been solved for large k where neither k nor k - 1 is a prime power. I have found Steiner systems S(2, 15, 243391), S(2, 21, 2031451) and S(2, 22, 4578883) but I do not know of a single example of a non-trivial S(2, 100, v), say.

A cyclic Steiner system S(2, k, v) is one where the block set is invariant under the mapping $x \mapsto x + 1 \pmod{v}$. The S(2, 3, 7) is cyclic. We may take the single starter block $\{0, 1, 3\}$ and generate the entire block set by repeated application of $x \mapsto x + 1 \pmod{7}$. Similarly, the 57 blocks of a cyclic S(2, 3, 19) are obtained from the three starter blocks

$$\{1,3,9\}, \{8,5,15\}, \{7,2,6\}$$

under the action of the mapping $x \mapsto x + 1 \pmod{19}$. A cyclic Steiner system S(2, k, v) exists if the differences generated by the starter blocks cover all non-zero elements of \mathbb{Z}_v . You can confirm that the differences generated by the starter blocks of the S(2, 3, 19), namely

$$\{\pm 2, \pm 6, \pm 8\}, \{\pm 3, \pm 9, \pm 7\}, \{\pm 5, \pm 4, \pm 1\},\$$

are indeed all distinct and non-zero modulo 19. In general a cyclic Steiner system of the form S(2, k, k(k-1)t+1) requires t starter blocks.

A simple form of Wilson's theorem

Theorem 1 Given $k \ge 3$, for all sufficiently large t, there exists a Steiner system S(2, k, v) whenever v = k(k-1)t + 1 is prime.

Proof Suppose $k \ge 3$, $t \ge 1$ and v = k(k-1)t + 1 is prime. Let ρ be a primitive root modulo v and for $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{v}$ define $\log x$ as the unique number ϕ , $0 \le \phi \le v - 2$, such that $x = \rho^{\phi}$. Henceforth let us agree to do arithmetic modulo v on the elements of \mathbb{Z}_v and modulo v - 1 on their logarithms.

Write K = k(k-1)/2 and let $w = \rho^{K}$. Then $w^{t} = -1$ and $w^{h} \neq \pm 1$ for $0 < h \le t - 1$. Let

$$B = \{1, a, a^2, \dots, a^{k-1}\}$$

and we shall attempt to find a such that $\{w^h B: 0 \le h \le t-1\}$ form the t starter blocks of a cyclic Steiner design S(2, k, v). For this to happen the set of differences

$$D = \{ (-1)^{\epsilon} w^{h} (a^{s} - a^{r}) : \epsilon = 0, 1, \ 0 \le h \le t - 1, \ 0 \le r < s \le k - 1 \}$$

must cover the non-zero residues modulo v. Observe that there are K = k(k-1)/2 choices for (r, s), t choices for h and two choices for ϵ , making a total of 2Kt = v - 1. Therefore the elements of D as described must be distinct modulo v. Taking logs gives

$$\Delta = \{ \epsilon Kt + Kh + \log(a^s - a^r) : \epsilon = 0, 1, \ 0 \le h \le t - 1, \ 0 \le r < s \le k - 1 \}$$

since $-1 = \rho^{Kt}$. We now require Δ to cover all residues modulo v - 1 = 2Kt. But

$$\{K(\epsilon t + h): \ \epsilon = 0, 1, \ 0 \le h \le t - 1\}$$

covers all multiples of K and is independent of a. Therefore it suffices to choose a such that the K numbers

$$\Delta_{r,s} = \log(a^s - a^r), \quad 0 \le r < s \le k - 1,$$

cover the residue classes modulo K. This construction really does work sometimes for large k and small v. For instance, a = 16662 and multiplier w = 118347 give the Steiner system S(2, 15, 243391), where t = 1159, $\rho = 3$ and $a^k = 1$. Note that any number of the form w^j with gcd(j, 2t) = 1 can be be used as the multiplier, the smallest one for this design being $234 = 118347^{1017}$.

Let $\Phi_n(x)$ denote the *n*-th cyclotomic polynomial, so that

$$\Phi_1(x) = x - 1, \ \Phi_2(x) = x + 1, \ \Phi_3(x) = x^2 + x + 1, \ \Phi_4(x) = x^2 + 1, \ \dots$$

Then, recalling that $x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \Phi_d(x)$,

$$\Delta_{r,s} = \log(a^s - a^r) = r \log a + \sum_{d|s-r} \log \Phi_d(a)$$

By choosing suitable values modulo K for $\log a$ and $\log \Phi_d(a)$ we can ensure that the K values of $\Delta_{r,s}$, $0 \leq r < s \leq k-1$, cover the residues modulo K. For this purpose we define

$$\gamma_n = (n-1)k - \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - \sum_{d|n, \ 1 < d < n} \gamma_d$$

Observe that if

 $\log a \equiv \alpha$, $\gcd(\alpha, K) = 1$, and $\log \Phi_n(a) \equiv \alpha \gamma_n$, $n = 2, 3, \dots, k - 1$, (mod K) (1)

then

$$\Delta_{r,s} \equiv \log(a-1) + \alpha r + \alpha \sum_{d \mid s-r, d > 1} \gamma_d, \quad 0 \le r < s \le k-1 \pmod{K}$$

will indeed have the desired property. For example, with k = 6 we have $\gamma_2 = 5$, $\gamma_3 = 9$, $\gamma_4 = 7$, $\gamma_5 = 14$, and we can compute $\Delta_{r,s}$ as in the following table.

r	s	$\Delta_{r,s} - \log(a - 1)$	r	s	$\Delta_{r,s} - \log(a - 1)$	r	s	$\Delta_{r,s} - \log(a-1)$
0	1	0	1	2	α	2	4	$\alpha(2+\gamma_2)=7\alpha$
0	2	$\alpha \gamma_2 = 5\alpha$	1	3	$\alpha(1+\gamma_2) = 6\alpha$	2	5	$\alpha(2+\gamma_3)=11\alpha$
0	3	$\alpha\gamma_3 = 9\alpha$	1	4	$\alpha(1+\gamma_3) = 10\alpha$	3	4	3lpha
0	4	$\alpha(\gamma_2 + \gamma_4) = 12\alpha$	1	5	$\alpha(1+\gamma_2+\gamma_4)=13\alpha$	3	5	$\alpha(3+\gamma_2)=8\alpha$
0	5	$\alpha\gamma_5 = 14\alpha$	2	3	2α	4	5	4α

So it suffices to find a such that (1) holds with $\alpha = 1$, say. Define a multiplicative character of order K modulo v by $\chi(x) = \exp(2\pi i/K \log(x))$. Define $\chi(0) = 0$. Define the polynomials

$$f_1(x) = x\rho^{-1}, \quad f_n(x) = \Phi_n(x)\rho^{-\gamma_n}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, k-1.$$

We now want to show that there exists a such that

$$\chi(f_n(a)) = 1 \text{ for } 1 \le n \le k - 1,$$
 (2)

for then we will have $\log f_n(a) \equiv 0 \pmod{K}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots, k - 1$. Let

$$\pi(x) = \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i_n=0}^{K-1} \chi(f_n(x)^{i_n}) = \left(\sum_{i_1=0}^{K-1} \chi(f_1(x)^{i_1})\right) \dots \left(\sum_{i_{k-1}=0}^{K-1} \chi(f_{k-1}(x)^{i_{k-1}})\right).$$

If (2) holds then $\pi(a) = K^{k-1}$. On the other hand, if $\chi(f_n(a)) \neq 1$ for some *n*, then $\pi(a) = 0$ except possibly for those *a* corresponding to roots of $f_n(x)$. Here we are using the fact that

$$1 + \chi(z) + \chi(z)^{2} + \dots + \chi(z)^{K-1} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \chi(z) = 0, \\ K & \text{if } \chi(z) = 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } \chi(z) \neq 0, 1. \end{cases}$$

So we have reduced our task to showing that there exists a such that $\pi(a) \neq 0$ and a avoids the roots of the polynomials $f_n(x)$. Put

$$\Sigma = \sum_{x=0}^{v-1} \pi(x)$$

and observe that

$$\pi(x) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{i_1=0\\i_1,\ i_2,\ \dots,\ i_{k-1} \ \text{not all zero}}}^{K-1} \sum_{\substack{i_2=0\\i_{k-1} \ \text{not all zero}}}^{K-1} \prod_{n=1}^{k-1} \chi(f_n(x)^{i_n}),$$

as can be seen by multiplying out the expression for $\pi(x)$ and splitting off the term where all the exponents of the $f_n(x)$ are zero. Thus

$$\Sigma = \sum_{x=0}^{v-1} \left(1 + \sum_{\substack{i_1=0\\i_1,\ i_2,\ \dots,\ i_{k-1}=0\\i_1,\ i_2,\ \dots,\ i_{k-1}=0}}^{K-1} \cdots \sum_{\substack{i_{k-1}=0\\i_{k-1}=0\\i_{k-1}=0}}^{K-1} \prod_{\substack{i_2=0\\i_{k-1}=0\\i_{k-1}=0}}^{K-1} \cdots \sum_{\substack{i_{k-1}=0\\i_{k-1}=0\\i_{k-1}=0}}^{K-1} \sum_{\substack{x=0\\i_{k-1}=0\\i_{k-1}=0}}^{v-1} \chi\left(\prod_{n=1}^{k-1} f_n(x)^{i_n}\right).$$

Now if $m > n \ge 1$ and v divides neither m nor n, then $gcd(\Phi_m(x), \Phi_n(x)) = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_v[x]$ (see, for example [5, Theorem 8.2.2]). Moreover, if v does not divide $n, x^n - 1$ has no repeated roots in $\mathbb{Z}_v[x]$ ([5, Corollary 8.2.1]). Therefore, provided v is not too small, none of the polynomials $\prod_{n=1}^{k-1} f_n(x)^{i_n}$ can be a constant multiple of a K-th power modulo v. So it follows from Weil's theorem [6, Theorem 2C] that

$$\sum_{x=0}^{\nu-1} \chi \left(\prod_{n=1}^{k-1} f_n(x)^{i_n} \right) = O(\sqrt{\nu}).$$

Hence we have $\Sigma > v - O(\sqrt{v})$ and therefore, since the number of roots of the polynomials $f_n(x)$ is bounded as $v \to \infty$, for sufficiently large v there exists a such that $\pi(a) \neq 0$ and $f_n(a) \neq 0$ for n = 1, 2, ..., k - 1.

Alternatively, if t is odd, we can put $w = \rho^{2K}$. The set of logarithms of differences becomes

$$\Delta' = \{\epsilon Kt + 2Kh + \log(a^s - a^r) : \epsilon = 0, 1, \ 0 \le h \le t - 1, \ 0 \le r < s \le k - 1\}$$

in which $\{\epsilon t + 2h : \epsilon = 0, 1, 0 \le h \le t - 1\}$ covers the multiples of K modulo v - 1, and the proof proceeds as before. For instance, multipliers $77314 = 3^{210}$ and $305 = 77314^{313}$ also work with a = 16662 to create a Steiner system S(2, 15, 243391).

It would not be surprising if there is no realistic prospect of finding a multiplier system S(2, k, k(k-1)t+1) with large k and base block $\{1, a, \ldots, a^{k-1}\}$ where the somewhat restrictive condition (1) actually holds. The value a = 16662 used as above for constructing an S(2, 15, 243391) does not satisfy (1). On the other hand, a = 107466 with $\rho = 17$ for S(2, 7, 173293) and a = 118008 with $\rho = 5$ for S(2, 8, 1287553) do.

The proof should work also for powers of sufficiently large primes using appropriate Galois fields. Or one can use the product construction: given Steiner systems S(2, k, v) and S(2, k, w) as well as k - 2 MOLS of side v, there exists a Steiner system S(2, k, vw).

References

- [1] R. J. R. Abel, Some New BIBDs with $\lambda = 1$ and $6 \le k \le 10$, J. Combin. Designs 4 No. 1 (1996), 27–50.
- [2] P. Adams, D.E. Bryant and M. Buchanan, A survey on the existence of G-designs, J. Combin. Des. 16 (2008), 373–410.
- [3] I. Anderson, Combinatorial Designs and Tournaments, Oxford, 1997.
- [4] C. J. Colbourn & J. Dinitz, Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, Boca Raton, 2007.
- [5] P. Garrett, Abstract Algebra, CRC Press LLC, 2007.
- [6] W. M. Schmidt, Equations over Finite Fields, An Elementary Approach, Springer-Verlag, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 536, Berlin, 1976. Proc. British Combinatorial Conf. 1975, 647–659.
- [7] R. M. Wilson, Decompositions of complete graphs into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph, Proc. British Combinatorial Conf. 1975, 647–659.