Enumerating path diagrams in connection with q-tangent and q-secant numbers

Anum Khalid, Thomas Prellberg

School of Mathematical Sciences, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, United Kingdom

Abstract

We enumerate height-restricted path diagrams associated with *q*-tangent and *q*-secant numbers by considering convergents of continued fractions, leading to expressions involving basic hypergeometric functions. Our work generalises some results by M. Josuat-Vergés for unrestricted path diagrams [European Journal of Combinatorics **31** (2010) 1892].

Keywords: path diagrams, continued fractions, q-tangent numbers and q-secant numbers

05A15, 05A30

1. Introduction and Statement of Results

Much work has been done on the enumeration of non-crossing directed lattice paths in both the mathematics and the physics communities, see e.g. [1, 2]. The work here takes into account two paths given by a path diagram, i.e. a Dyck path and a general directed path restrained to lie between the x-axis and this Dyck path. In particular, we shall consider Dyck paths restricted by height.

A Dyck path is a lattice path on \mathbb{N}^2 from (0,0) to (2n,0) consisting of n steps in the northeast direction of the form (1,1) and n steps in the southeast direction of the form (1,-1) such that the path never goes below the line y = 0. We encode a Dyck path in terms of labelled steps where each step is indexed

Preprint submitted to European Journal of Combinatorics

Email address: t.prellberg@qmul.ac.uk (Thomas Prellberg)

with the height of the point from where it starts. For example, the labelled path shown in Figure 1 is encoded as $(a_0, b_1, a_0, a_1, a_2, b_3, b_2, a_1, b_2, b_1)$, where a_i is a northeast step starting at height i and b_j is a southeast step starting at height j. So we can say that there is a set $X = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots\} \cup \{b_1, b_2, b_3, \ldots\}$, the elements of which, as an ordered finite sequence, are associated with a Dyck path. We consider path diagrams [3] which are represented by a Dyck path and the set of points under it subjected to some conditions expressed using the above encoding.

Definition 1.1 ([3]). Path Diagrams. A system of path diagrams is defined by a possibility function

$$pos: X \to \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Path diagrams are composed of the Dyck path $u = u_1u_2u_3...u_n$ where for j = 1, 2, ..., n each $u_j \in X$, and the corresponding sequence of integers $s = s_1s_2s_3...s_n$ where for i = 1, 2, ..., n each $0 \le s_i \le pos(u_j)$. We get n points corresponding to a path of length n.

We consider two types of path diagrams. In the first case we consider all possible lattice points bounded by the x-axis and a Dyck path by using the possibility function

$$pos(a_j) = j$$
, $pos(b_k) = k$, for $j \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$. (1.1)

In the second case we restrict this set of points by excluding the points which are in contact with the Dyck path at a southeast step, leading to

$$pos(a_j) = j$$
, $pos(b_k) = k - 1$, for $j \ge 0$ and $k \ge 1$. (1.2)

These two possibility functions map labelled steps onto a set of integers. These integers can be visualised as column heights, and a path is then formed by joining the peaks of the columns.

Figure 1 shows an example of one such path diagram given the Dyck path example used above. Columns of heights are formed by the sequence of integers (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 3, 1, 0, 1, 1), with the associated path shown as a dotted line. When



Figure 1: A Dyck path of half length N = 5 (solid blue line), together with columns of heights formed by the integers (0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 3, 1, 0, 1, 1) (dashed green lines). The dotted red line combined with the Dyck path represents the path diagram.

restricting the height of the Dyck path, we can interpret this as a model of two non crossing paths in a finite slit.

Let $a_{N,m}^{(w)}$ be the number of path diagrams defined by the possibility function (1.1) and $b_{N,m}^{(w)}$ be the number of path diagrams formed by the possibility function (1.2), bounded by a Dyck path of length 2N in a slit of width w. Then we define the associated generating functions

$$G_w(t,q) = \sum_{N,m=0}^{\infty} a_{N,m}^{(w)} t^{2N} q^m$$
(1.3)

and

$$G'_w(t,q) = \sum_{N,m=0}^{\infty} b_{N,m}^{(w)} t^{2N} q^m, \qquad (1.4)$$

with the variable q conjugate to the sum of column heights m and the variable t conjugate to the length 2N of the Dyck path.

To state our results, we define

$$\phi(\lambda, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\lambda; q)_k (-i\lambda; q)_k x^k}{(\lambda^2 q; q)_k (q; q)_k} = {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda, -i\lambda; \lambda^2 q; q, x)$$
(1.5)

and

$$\psi(\lambda, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\lambda\sqrt{q}; q)_k (-i\lambda\sqrt{q}; q)_k x^k}{(\lambda^2 q; q)_k (q; q)_k} = {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda\sqrt{q}, -i\lambda\sqrt{q}; \lambda^2 q; q, x), \quad (1.6)$$

where $_2\phi_1(a,b;c;q,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a;q)_k(b;q)_k x^k}{(c;q)_k(q;q)_k}$ is a basic hypergeometric function.

Here, $(a;q)_n = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^k)$ is the standard notation for the q-Pochhammer symbol.

For the path diagrams defined via (1.1), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. For $w \ge 0$,

$$G_w(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2(1-q)\left[\bar{\lambda}^w\phi(\lambda,q^3)\phi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^{w+3}\right) - \lambda^w\phi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^3\right)\phi(\lambda,q^{w+3})\right]}{(1+\lambda^2)\left[\bar{\lambda}^w\phi(\lambda,q^2)\phi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^{w+3}\right) - \lambda^{w+2}\phi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^2\right)\phi(\lambda,q^{w+3})\right]}},$$
(1.7)

where λ is a root of $\lambda^2 - \lambda(1-q)/t + 1 = 0$ and $\overline{\lambda} = 1/\lambda$.

Taking the limit $w \to \infty$ we obtain the generating function G(t,q) for unrestricted path diagrams.

Corollary 1.3. The generating function of q-tangent numbers is

$$G(t,q) = \frac{(1+\lambda)^2 \left[1 - (1+\lambda^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i\lambda)^k}{(1-i\lambda q^k)}\right]}{\lambda^2 (1-q)},$$
 (1.8)

where λ is the root of $\lambda^2 - \lambda(1-q)/t + 1 = 0$ with smallest modulus.

Extracting coefficients of this generating function, we can derive a result equivalent to one obtained previously by different methods [4, Theorem 1.4].

Corollary 1.4.

$$[t^{2N}]G(t,q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N+1}} \sum_{m=0}^{N} \frac{q^{m^2+2m} \left(\sum_{l=-m}^{m+1} (-1)^l q^{-l^2+2l}\right) (2m+2) \binom{2N+1}{N+m+1}}{N+m+2}$$
(1.9)

For the path diagrams defined via (1.2), we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. For $w \ge 0$,

$$G'_{w}(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^{2}(1-q)\left[\bar{\lambda}^{w}\psi(\lambda,q^{2})\psi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^{w+2}\right) - \lambda^{w}\psi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^{2}\right)\psi(\lambda,q^{w+2})\right]}{(1+\lambda^{2})\left[\bar{\lambda}^{w}\psi(\lambda,q)\psi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^{w+2}\right) - \lambda^{w+2}\psi\left(\bar{\lambda},q\right)\psi(\lambda,q^{w+2})\right]}}$$
(1.10)

where λ is the root of $\lambda^2 - \lambda(1-q)/t + 1 = 0$ and $\bar{\lambda} = 1/\lambda$.

Taking the limit $w \to \infty$ we obtain the generating function G'(t,q) for unrestricted path diagrams.

Corollary 1.6. The generating function of q-secant numbers is

$$G'(t,q) = (1+\lambda^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i\lambda\sqrt{q})^k}{(1-i\lambda\sqrt{q}q^k)} , \qquad (1.11)$$

where λ is the root of $\lambda^2 - \lambda(1-q)/t + 1 = 0$ with smallest modulus.

Extracting coefficients of this generating function, we can derive a result equivalent to one obtained previously by different methods [4, Theorem 1.5].

Corollary 1.7.

$$[t^{2N}]G'(t,q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N}} \sum_{m=0}^{N} \frac{q^{m^2+m} \left(\sum_{l=-m}^{m} (-1)^l q^{-l^2}\right) (2m+1) \binom{2N}{N+m}}{N+m+1}.$$
(1.12)

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains further conventions and preliminaries. Section 3 contains the proofs of Theorem 1.2 and Corollaries 1.3 and 1.4. Section 4 contains the proofs of Theorem 1.5 and Corollaries 1.6 and 1.7. Section 5 contains some novel identities discovered.

2. Conventions and Preliminaries

In [3], the correspondence between generating functions and continued fractions has been discussed in detail. In particular, in [3, Theorem 3A] and [3, Theorem 3B] we find continued fraction expansions for the formal generating functions of path diagrams bounded by a Dyck path with possibility functions given by (1.1) and (1.2), respectively. It turns out that the counting numbers in these generating functions are the Euler numbers E_N , with G(t, 1) and G'(t, 1)summing over the odd and even Euler numbers, i.e.

$$tG(t,1) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_{2N+1} t^{2N+1} = \frac{t}{1 - \frac{1.2t^2}{1 - \frac{2.3t^2}{\cdot \cdot}}},$$
(2.1)

and

$$G'(t,1) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_{2N} t^{2N} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1.1t^2}{1 - \frac{2.2t^2}{\ddots}}}$$
(2.2)

as formal non-convergent power series. The odd and even Euler numbers E_{2N+1} and E_{2N} are also known as tangent and secant numbers, respectively, as they occur in the Taylor expansion

$$\tan t + \sec t = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_N \frac{t^N}{N!} . \qquad (2.3)$$

The formulas (2.1) and (2.2) were generalised in [4, 5] by introducing a variable q conjugate to the sum of the column heights. Briefly, this corresponds to replacing an integer k in (2.1) and (2.2) by the q-integer $[k]_q = 1 + q + \cdots q^k$ (more details are given in Proposition 2.1), leading to

$$tG(t,q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_{2N+1}(q)t^{2N+1} = \frac{\alpha(1-q)}{1 - \frac{\alpha^2(1-q)(1-q^2)}{1 - \frac{\alpha^2(1-q^2)(1-q^3)}{\cdot \cdot \cdot}}}$$
(2.4)

and

$$G'(t,q) = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} E_{2N}(q)t^{2N} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha^2(1-q)^2}{1 - \frac{\alpha^2(1-q^2)^2}{\cdot \cdot \cdot}}},$$
(2.5)

where we have introduced

$$\alpha = \frac{t}{1-q} \tag{2.6}$$

and $E_N(q)$ are the q-Euler numbers. In particular $E_{2N+1}(q)$ and $E_{2N}(q)$ are known as q-tangent and q-secant numbers, respectively [5]. Below we shall use α and t interchangably, as convenient. The following proposition is the starting point of our analysis. It expresses the height-restricted path diagram generating functions $G_w(t,q)$ and $G'_w(t,q)$ as finite continued fractions.

Proposition 2.1. For $w \ge 0$,

$$G_w(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha^2 (1-q)(1-q^2)}{1 - \frac{\alpha^2 (1-q^2)(1-q^3)}{\cdots - \frac{\alpha^2 (1-q^{w-1})(1-q^w)}{1 - \alpha^2 (1-q^w)(1-q^{w+1})}}}$$
(2.7)

and

$$G'_{w}(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha^{2}(1-q)^{2}}{1 - \frac{\alpha^{2}(1-q^{2})^{2}}{\cdots - \frac{\alpha^{2}(1-q^{w-1})^{2}}{1 - \alpha^{2}(1-q^{w})^{2}}}}.$$
(2.8)

Proof. From the combinatorial theory of continued fractions given in [3], if $X = (a_0, a_1, a_2, ..., b_0, b_1, ...)$ then the Stieltjes type continued fraction is

$$S_k(X,t) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_0 b_1 t^2}{1 - \frac{a_1 b_2 t^2}{\cdots - \frac{a_{k-2} b_{k-1} t^2}{1 - a_{k-1} b_k t^2}}}$$

where a_i corresponds to the weight of a northeast step starting at height i, b_j corresponds to the weight of a southeast step starting at height j, and t is conjugate to the length of the Dyck path. Hence, we only need to specify the weights a_i and b_j .

Possible column heights below a northeast step starting at height *i* range from 0 to *i*, and hence $a_i = 1 + q + \ldots + q^i$. For G_w possible column heights below a southeast step starting at height j range from 0 to j, and hence $b_j = 1 + q + \ldots + q^j$, whereas for G'_w possible column heights below a southeast step starting at height j range from 0 to j - 1, and hence $b_j = 1 + q + \ldots + q^{j-1}$. \Box

It is obvious that we can write the right-hand sides of (2.7) and (2.8) as rational functions.

Proposition 2.2. For $w \ge 0$,

$$G_w(t,q) = \frac{P_w(\alpha,q)}{Q_w(\alpha,q)} \quad and \quad G'_w(t,q) = \frac{P'_w(\alpha,q)}{Q'_w(\alpha,q)},$$
(2.9)

where

$$P_{w} = \begin{cases} 0 & w = -1 \\ 1 & w = 0 & , \end{cases} (2.10) \\ P_{w-1} - \alpha^{2}(1 - q^{w})(1 - q^{w+1})P_{w-2} & w \ge 1 \\ \end{cases} \\ Q_{w} = \begin{cases} 1 & w = -1 \\ 1 & w = 0 & , \end{cases} (2.11) \\ Q_{w-1} - \alpha^{2}(1 - q^{w})(1 - q^{w+1})Q_{w-2} & w \ge 1 \\ \end{cases} \\ P'_{w} = \begin{cases} 0 & w = -1 \\ 1 & w = 0 & and \\ P_{w-1} - \alpha^{2}(1 - q^{w})^{2}P_{w-2} & w \ge 1 \\ \end{cases} \\ Q'_{w} = \begin{cases} 1 & w = -1 \\ 1 & w = 0 & . \\ 1 & w = 0 & . \\ Q_{w-1} - \alpha^{2}(1 - q^{w})^{2}Q_{w-2} & w \ge 1 \end{cases} \end{cases}$$
 (2.13)

Proof. The initial conditions follow from the fact that $G_{-1}(t,q) = G'_{-1}(t,q) = 0/1$. This implies that $P_{-1} = P'_{-1} = 0$ and $Q_{-1} = Q'_{-1} = 1$. Also for w = 0 we have $G_0(t,q) = G'_0(t,q) = 1/1$. For $w \ge 1$ we compare with the *h*-th convergent of the *J*-fraction on page 152 of [3]. We have z = t and $a_k = 1$ for $k \ge 1$ and

 $b_k = (1 - q^w)(1 - q^{w+1})$ and $c_k = 0$ for $k \ge 0$. This reduces to the recurrence equations given in (2.10) and (2.11). For the generating function $G'_w(t,q)$ we see that, instead, $b_k = (1 - q^w)(1 - q^w)$, which results in the recurrence equations given in (2.12) and (2.13).

3. q-tangent numbers

We shall prove Theorem 1.2 by solving the recurrence relations (2.10) and (2.11). We can write P_w and Q_w as the linear combination of two basic hypergeometric functions and determine the coefficients from the initial conditions of the recurrences given in Proposition 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. For $w \ge 1$ the recurrence relations for $P_w(\alpha, q)$ and $Q_w(\alpha, q)$ are the same, so we represent them both by R(w) and solve simultaneously. From the recursion given in (2.10) and (2.11) we have for $w \ge 1$,

$$R(w) = R(w-1) - \alpha^2 (1-q^w)(1-q^{w+1})R(w-2).$$
(3.1)

Unlike a linear recurrence with constant coefficients, this cannot be solved by a standard method because we have w-dependent coefficients. Moreover, the occurrence of both q^w and q^{2w} poses a difficulty, so our next step will be to eliminate the term containing q^{2w} by appropriate rewriting of the recurrences. It is evident from the coefficient of R(w-2) that multiplying by a q-factorial will simplify (3.1) appropriately. Rescaling the recursion (3.1) by substituting

$$R(w) = \alpha^{w}(q;q)_{w+1}S(w)$$
(3.2)

leads to the recurrence

$$S(w) - \frac{1}{\alpha}S(w-1) + S(w-2) = q^{w+1}(S(w) + S(w-2))$$
(3.3)

for $w \ge 1$. This eliminates q^{2w} from the recurrence as intended, as the right hand side only contains a q^w prefactor. The left hand side of equation (3.3) is a linear homogeneous recurrence relation with a characteristic polynomial

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \frac{\lambda}{\alpha} + 1. \tag{3.4}$$

The two roots λ_1 and λ_2 of the characteristic polynomial are reciprocal to each other,

$$\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = 1, \tag{3.5}$$

a fact that we will need to use below. If the right hand side of the recurrence relation (3.3) was zero then the solution could be written as a *q*-independent linear combination of the powers of the roots of the characteristic polynomial. To solve the recurrence (3.3) in general, we use the ansatz

$$S(w) = \lambda^w \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k q^{kw}, \qquad (3.6)$$

which has been shown to work when there are powers of q^w in such a linear recurrence [6, 7]. The recurrence relation for c_k can then be read off from

$$P(\lambda)c_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q^{kw-2k} \left(P(\lambda q^k)c_k - (\lambda^2 q^{2k} + q^2)qc_{k-1} \right) = 0.$$
(3.7)

This equation is satisfied if $P(\lambda) = 0$ and all the coefficients in the sum vanish, i.e. $P(\lambda q^k)c_k - (\lambda^2 q^{2k} + q^2)qc_{k-1} = 0$. The latter condition implies

$$c_k = \frac{(\lambda^2 q^{2k} + q^2)qc_{k-1}}{P(\lambda q^k)} \,. \tag{3.8}$$

The condition $P(\lambda) = 0$ enables us to express α in terms of λ as $\alpha = \lambda/(1 + \lambda^2)$, and eliminating α in the characteristic polynomial (3.4), we find

$$P(\lambda q^{k}) = (1 - q^{k})(1 - \lambda^{2} q^{k}).$$
(3.9)

Now substituting in the value of $P(\lambda q^k)$ from (3.9) in (3.8) and iterating it we have

$$c_k = \frac{(-\lambda^2; q^2)_k q^{3k}}{(q; q)_k (\lambda^2 q; q)_k},$$
(3.10)

where we choose to write all products in terms of the q-Pochhammer symbol. The full solution to the recurrence equation (3.3) is a linear combination of the ansatz (3.6) over both roots of $P(\lambda)$. As $P(\lambda) = 0$ implies $P(\bar{\lambda}) = 0$, we can write the general solution for S(w) as

$$S(w) = A\lambda^w \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(\lambda, q) q^{kw} + B\bar{\lambda}^w \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(\bar{\lambda}, q) q^{kw}.$$
 (3.11)

We can now write the general solution in terms of a basic hypergeometric series by defining

$$\phi(\lambda, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda^2; q^2)_k x^k}{(q; q)_k (\lambda^2 q; q)_k} = {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda, -i\lambda; \lambda^2 q; q, x),$$
(3.12)

where

$$_{2}\phi_{1}(a,b;c;q,x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a;q)_{k}(b;q)_{k} x^{k}}{(c;q)_{k}(q;q)_{k}}$$

Using this notation, the general solution S(w) can simply be written as

$$S(w) = A\lambda^{w}\phi(\lambda, q^{w+3}) + B\bar{\lambda}^{w}\phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^{w+3}\right).$$
(3.13)

Using the initial conditions

$$S(-1) = 0$$
 $S(0) = \frac{1}{1-q}$

derived from (2.10) and solving for A and B, we get

$$A = \frac{-\lambda^2 \phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^2\right)}{\left(1 - q\right) \left(\phi(\lambda, q^2) \phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^3\right) - \lambda^2 \phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^2\right) \phi(\lambda, q^3)\right)}$$

and

$$B = \frac{\phi(\lambda, q^2)}{(1-q)\left(\phi(\lambda, q^2)\phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^3\right) - \lambda^2\phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^2\right)\phi(\lambda, q^3)\right)}$$

Similarly, using the initial conditions

$$S(-1) = \alpha$$
 $S(0) = \frac{1}{1-q}$

derived from (2.11) we get

$$A = \frac{(\alpha)(\lambda)(1-q)\phi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^3\right) - \lambda^2\phi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^2\right)}{(1-q)\left(\phi(\lambda,q^2)\phi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^3\right) - \lambda^2\phi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^2\right)\phi(\lambda,q^3)\right)}$$

and

$$B = \frac{\phi(\lambda, q^2) - (\alpha)(\lambda)(1 - q)\phi(\lambda, q^3)}{(1 - q)\left(\phi(\lambda, q^2)\phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^3\right) - \lambda^2\phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^2\right)\phi(\lambda, q^3)\right)}$$

Substituting the full solution for $P_w(\alpha, q)$ and $Q_w(\alpha, q)$ in (2.9), we arrive at the expression given in (1.7). This completes the proof.

By taking the limit of infinite w in the generating function G_w , we derive an expression for the generating function of q-tangent numbers. Proof of Corollary 1.8. We consider the right-hand side of (1.7). We know that the basic hypergeometric functions converge when |q| < 1 using the ratio test. From (3.5) we see that one of the roots of the characteristic polynomial (3.4) is less than one if t is sufficiently small. We therefore choose the root λ such that $|\lambda| < 1$. When $w \to \infty$,

$$\phi(\lambda, q^{w+3}) = {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda, -i\lambda; \lambda^2 q; q, q^{w+3}) \rightarrow {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda, -i\lambda; \lambda^2 q; q, 0) = 1.$$

Also

$$|\lambda^w| \to 0.$$

This implies

$$G(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 (1-q)\phi(\lambda, q^3)}{(1+\lambda^2)\phi(\lambda, q^2)}}.$$
(3.14)

Heine's transformation formula for $_2\phi_1$ series [8] is given by

$${}_{2}\phi_{1}(a,b;c;q,z) = \frac{(b;q)_{\infty}(az;q)_{\infty}}{(c;q)_{\infty}(z;q)_{\infty}} {}_{2}\phi_{1}(c/b,z;az;q,b).$$
(3.15)

Using this transformation we can write the basic hypergeometric functions in (3.14) as follows

$$\phi(\lambda, q^2) = \frac{(-i\lambda; q)_{\infty}(i\lambda q^2; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda^2 q; q)_{\infty}(q^2; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda q, q^2; i\lambda q^2; q, -i\lambda)$$
(3.16)

and

$$\phi(\lambda, q^3) = \frac{(-i\lambda; q)_{\infty}(i\lambda q^3; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda^2 q; q)_{\infty}(q^3; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda q, q^3; i\lambda q^3; q, -i\lambda).$$
(3.17)

Further substituting the transformations of basic hypergeometric functions from (3.16) and (3.17) into (3.14) yields

$$G(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 (1-q) \frac{(-i\lambda;q)_{\infty} (i\lambda q^3;q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda^2 q;q)_{\infty} (q^3;q)_{\infty}} {}_2 \phi_1(i\lambda q,q^3;i\lambda q^3;q,-i\lambda)}{(1+\lambda^2) \frac{(-i\lambda;q)_{\infty} (i\lambda q^2;q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda^2 q;q)_{\infty} (q^2;q)_{\infty}} {}_2 \phi_1(i\lambda q,q^2;i\lambda q^2;q,-i\lambda)}}$$
(3.18)

Expressing these basic hypergeometric functions by their explicit sums, we find that many factors in the coefficients cancel:

$$G(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 (1-q)(1-q^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\lambda q;q)_k (q^3;q)_k}{(i\lambda q^3;q)_k (q;q)_k} (-i\lambda)^k}{(1-i\lambda q^2)(1+\lambda^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\lambda q;q)_k (q^2;q)_k}{(i\lambda q^2;q)_k (q;q)_k} (-i\lambda)^k}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{(\lambda^2)(1-q) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{k+1})(1-q^{k+2})}{(1-i\lambda q^{k+1})(1-i\lambda q^{k+2})} (-i\lambda)^k}}{(1+\lambda^2) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{k+1})}{(1-i\lambda q^{k+1})} (-i\lambda)^k}}{(1-i\lambda q^{k+1})} .$$
(3.19)

We next aim to simplify the terms in the sums on the right hand side of (3.20). For this we let

$$N = \frac{(1 - q^{k+1})(1 - q^{k+2})}{(1 - i\lambda q^{k+1})(1 - i\lambda q^{k+2})} (-i\lambda)^k$$
(3.21)

and

$$D = \frac{(1 - q^{k+1})}{(1 - i\lambda q^{k+1})} (-i\lambda)^k.$$
(3.22)

We substitute $x = -i\lambda$ and employ partial fraction expansion with respect to q^k . Shifting summation indices and combining fractions, we find

$$N = (-1)\frac{x^4 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{(1+xq^{k+1})} - 2x^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{(1+xq^{k+1})}}{x^4(q-1)(x-1)} - \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{(1+xq^{k+1})} + x^2q + 1}{x^4(q-1)(x-1)}$$
(3.23)

and

$$D = \frac{x^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{(1+xq^k)} - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{(1+xq^k)} + 1}{x^2(x-1)}.$$
 (3.24)

Substituting (3.23) and (3.24) into (3.20) and simplifying, we get the final expression (1.8). $\hfill \Box$

Next, we extract the coefficient of t^{2N} of G(t,q) given in (1.8). To start, we need an identity which can be obtained from counting rectangles on the square lattice in two different ways, taking ideas from [9].

Lemma 3.1.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{1 - yq^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n y^n q^{n^2} (1 - xyq^{2n})}{(1 - xq^n)(1 - yq^n)} .$$
(3.25)

Proof. We consider the generating function of rectangles (including those of height or width zero) on the square lattice, counted with respect to height, width, and area, given by

$$R(x, y, q) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} x^n y^m q^{nm} .$$
(3.26)

Summing over m gives the left hand side of identity (3.25). If we instead sum over rectangles of fixed minimal width or height N, then this gives the right hand side of identity (3.25).

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The sum in (1.8) can be identified with $R(-i\lambda, i\lambda, q)$, so that using Lemma 3.1 we get

$$G(t,q) = \frac{(1+\lambda)^2 \left[1 - (1+\lambda^2)R(-i\lambda,i\lambda,q)\right]}{\lambda^2(1-q)}$$
$$= \frac{(1+\lambda)^2 \left[1 - (1+\lambda^2)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}\lambda^{2n}(1-\lambda^2q^{2n})}{(1+\lambda^2q^{2n})}\right]}{\lambda^2(1-q)}.$$
 (3.27)

We remind that G(t,q) is by definition an even function in t, and that the tdependence on the right hand side is implicit in $\lambda = \lambda(t)$ by (3.4) and (2.6). To extract the coefficient of t^{2N} , we evaluate the contour integral

$$[t^{2N}]G(t,q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{G(t,q)}{t^{2N+1}} dt .$$
(3.28)

Using the variable substitution

$$t = \frac{(1-q)\lambda}{1+\lambda^2},\tag{3.29}$$

we get

$$[t^{2N}]G(t,q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \left(\frac{(1+\lambda^2)^{2N} \left(1-(1+\lambda^2)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2}\lambda^{2n}(1-\lambda^2q^{2n})}{(1+\lambda^2q^{2n})}\right)(1-\lambda^2)}{\lambda^{2N+2}(1-q)^{2N+1}} \right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.$$
(3.30)

We thus have

$$[t^{2N}]G(t,q) = [\lambda^0]H_N(\lambda,q), \qquad (3.31)$$

and to extract the constant term in λ on the right-hand side we now expand $H_N(\lambda, q)$ as a Laurent series in λ . For this, we write

$$[\lambda^0]H_N(\lambda,q) = [\lambda^0] \frac{T_1 - T_2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_3}{((1-q)^{2N+1})^{2N+1}}, \qquad (3.32)$$

where

$$T_1 = \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2N} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - 1\right),$$
$$T_2 = \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2N} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - 1\right) (1 + \lambda^2),$$

and

$$T_3 = \frac{q^{n^2} \lambda^{2n} (1 - \lambda^2 q^{2n})}{(1 + \lambda^2 q^{2n})}.$$

We find the series expansions

$$T_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{2N+1} \frac{(2N)!(2N-2k+1)\lambda^{2k-2N-2}}{k!(2N-k+1)!},$$
(3.33)

$$T_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2N+2} \frac{(2N+1)!(2N-2k+2)\lambda^{2k-2N-2}}{k!(2N-k+2)!}$$
(3.34)

and

$$T_3 = q^{n^2} \lambda^{2n} \left(2 \left(\sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l (\lambda^2 q^{2n})^l \right) - 1 \right).$$
 (3.35)

Next we substitute the expression (3.33), (3.34) and (3.35) in (3.32), which after some simplification leads to

$$H_{N}(\lambda,q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N+1}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{2N+1} \frac{(2N)!(2N-2k+1)\lambda^{2k-2N-2}}{k!(2N-k+1)!} \right) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2N+2} (-1)^{l} q^{n^{2}+2nl} \frac{(2N+1)!(2N-2k+2)\lambda^{2k-2N-2+2n+2l}}{k!(2N-k+2)!} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{2N+2} q^{n^{2}} \frac{(2N+1)!(2N-2k+2)\lambda^{2k-2N-2+2n}}{k!(2N-k+2+2n)!} \right). \quad (3.36)$$

We want to extract the constant term in λ , so we combine the powers of λ and equate them to 0. This fixes the summation index k, and we get

$$[t^{2N}]G(t,q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N+1}} \left(-\frac{(2N)!}{N!(N+1)!} -2\sum_{n=0}^{N+1} \sum_{l=0}^{N-n+1} (-1)^l q^{n^2+2nl} \frac{(2N+1)!(2n+2l)}{(N-n-l+1)!(N+n+l+1)!} +\sum_{n=0}^{N+1} q^{n^2} \frac{(2N+1)!(2n)}{(N-n+1)!(N+n+1)!} \right). \quad (3.37)$$

Completing the square in the middle sum and changing summation indices leads to

$$[t^{2N}]G(t,q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N+1}} \left(-\frac{(2N)!}{N!(N+1)!} - \sum_{m=0}^{N+1} q^{m^2} \frac{(2N+1)!(2m)}{(N-m+1)!(N+m+1)!} \left(\sum_{l=-(m+1)}^{m+1} (-1)^l q^{-l^2} \right) \right) , \quad (3.38)$$

where in a final step we combined the last two sums. Shifting summation indices m and l gives

$$[t^{2N}]G(t,q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N+1}} \left(-\frac{(2N)!}{N!(N+1)!} + \sum_{m=0}^{N} q^{m^2+2m} \frac{(2N+1)!(2m+2)}{(N-m)!(N+m+2)!} \left(\sum_{l=-m}^{m+2} (-1)^l q^{-l^2+2l} \right) \right). \quad (3.39)$$

Performing the sum over m with l = m + 2 cancels the first term and we arrive

at an expression equivalent to (1.9),

$$[t^{2N}]G(t,q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N+1}} \times \sum_{m=0}^{N} q^{m^2+2m} \frac{(2N+1)!(2m+2)}{(N-m)!(N+m+2)!} \left(\sum_{l=-m}^{m+1} (-1)^l q^{-l^2+2l}\right). \quad (3.40)$$

4. q-secant numbers

We begin by giving the proof of Theorem 1.5. We shall prove it by solving the recurrences (2.12) and (2.13). This is done along the same lines as in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Proof. It follows from the continued fraction expansion given in (2.8) that both the numerator $P'_w(\alpha, q)$ and denominator $Q'_w(\alpha, q)$ satisfy the recurrence relations given in (2.12) and (2.13) respectively. As the recursions are the same for $w \ge 1$, we represent them both by R(w) and solve simultaneously. It follows that

$$R(w) = R(w-1) - \alpha^2 (1-q^w)^2 R(w-2).$$
(4.1)

Expanding the coefficient of R(w-2) gives three terms which cannot be solved explicitly using standard methods because we have w-dependent coefficients. Also the terms q^w and q^{2w} cause difficulty, so we will aim to eliminate the terms containing q^{2w} by suitable rescaling. For this we use the ansatz (3.2). This transformation of coefficients leads to

$$S(w) - \frac{1}{\alpha}S(w-1) + S(w-2) = q^{w+1}S(w) + q^{w}S(w-2)$$
(4.2)

for $w \ge 1$. This eliminates the q^{2w} from the recurrence as intended, with only q^w factors on the right hand side. We see that this recurrence is very similar to (3.3). The left hand side of (4.2) is a linear homogeneous recurrence relation with the same characteristic polynomial (3.4) as above, however the right hand side is slightly different, with a prefactor of q^w in front of S(w-2) instead of

a prefactor q^{w+1} . We thus use the same ansatz (3.6) to solve the recurrence. Following a calculation identical to the one for q-tangent numbers, we find for k > 0

$$c_k = \frac{(\lambda^2 q^{2k} + q)qc_{k-1}}{P(\lambda q^k)}.$$
(4.3)

Now substituting the value of $P(\lambda q^k)$ in (4.3) and iterating it, we get

$$c_k = \frac{(-\lambda^2 q; q^2)_k q^{2k}}{(q; q)_k (\lambda^2 q; q)_k} \,. \tag{4.4}$$

The full solution to the recurrence equation (4.2) is a linear combination of the ansatz over both the values of λ . Here $P(\lambda) = 0$ and also $P(\bar{\lambda}) = 0$ (where $\bar{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$). We can write the general solution for S(w) as

$$S(w) = A\lambda^w \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(\lambda, q) q^{kw} + B\bar{\lambda}^w \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k(\bar{\lambda}, q) q^{kw}.$$
 (4.5)

We define

$$\begin{split} \psi(\lambda, x) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\lambda^2 q; q^2)_k x^k}{(q; q)_k (\lambda^2 q; q)_k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\lambda\sqrt{q}; q)_k (-i\lambda\sqrt{q}; q)_k x^k}{(\lambda^2 q; q)_k (q; q)_k} \\ &= {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda\sqrt{q}, -i\lambda\sqrt{q}; \lambda^2 q; q, x) \end{split}$$

where $_{2}\phi_{1}$ is a basic hypergeometric function. The general solution can be expressed as follows

$$S(w) = A\lambda^n \psi(\lambda, q^{w+2}) + B\bar{\lambda}^w \psi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^{w+2}\right).$$
(4.6)

Using the initial conditions, we can solve for A and B. First we solve it for P'_w with the initial conditions as

$$S(-1) = 0$$
 $S(0) = \frac{1}{1-q}$.

Substituting these initial conditions into equation (4.6) and solving it for A and B, we have

$$A = \frac{-\lambda^2 \psi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q\right)}{\left(1 - q\right) \left(\psi(\lambda, q)\psi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^2\right) - \lambda^2 \psi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q\right)\psi(\lambda, q^2)\right)}$$

and

$$B = \frac{\psi(\lambda, q)}{(1 - q) \left(\psi(\lambda, q)\psi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^2\right) - \lambda^2 \psi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q\right)\psi(\lambda, q^2)\right)}.$$

Similarly, we solve for Q_w^\prime using the initial conditions

$$S(-1) = \alpha$$
 and $S(0) = \frac{1}{1-q}$.

We obtain

$$A = \frac{(\alpha)(\lambda)(1-q)\psi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^2\right) - \lambda^2\psi\left(\bar{\lambda},q\right)}{(1-q)\left(\psi(\lambda,q)\psi\left(\bar{\lambda},q^2\right) - \lambda^2\psi\left(\bar{\lambda},q\right)\psi(\lambda,q^2)\right)}$$

and

$$B = \frac{\psi(\lambda, q) - (\alpha)(\lambda)(1 - q)\psi(\lambda, q^2)}{(1 - q)\left(\psi(\lambda, q)\psi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^2\right) - \lambda^2\psi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q\right)\psi(\lambda, q^2)\right)}.$$

Substituting the full solution for $P'_w(\alpha, q)$ and $Q'_w(\alpha, q)$ in (2.9) we have the expression in (1.10).

By taking the limit of infinite w in the generating function G'_w , we derive an expression for the generating function of q-secant numbers.

Proof of Corollary 1.6. Consider the right hand side of (1.10). As above, we choose λ to be the smaller root of the characteristic polynomial (3.4). For $w \to \infty$ we have

$$\psi(\lambda, q^{w+2}) = {}_{2}\phi_{1}(i\lambda\sqrt{q}, -i\lambda\sqrt{q}; \lambda^{2}q; q, q^{w+2})$$

$$\rightarrow {}_{2}\phi_{1}(i\lambda\sqrt{q}, -i\lambda\sqrt{q}; \lambda^{2}q; q, 0) = 1 \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$|\lambda^w| \to 0$$
.

This implies

$$G'(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 (1-q)\psi(\lambda,q^2)}{(1+\lambda^2)\psi(\lambda,q)}}.$$
(4.8)

Using Heine's transformation formula given in (3.15), we transform the basic hypergeometric functions given in (4.8) as follows

$$\psi(\lambda,q) = \frac{(-i\lambda\sqrt{q};q)_{\infty}(i\lambda q^{3/2};q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda^2 q;q)_{\infty}(q;q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda\sqrt{q},q;i\lambda q^{3/2};q,-i\lambda\sqrt{q})$$
(4.9)

 $\psi(\lambda, q^2) = \frac{(-i\lambda\sqrt{q}; q)_{\infty}(i\lambda q^{5/2}; q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda^2 q; q)_{\infty}(q^2; q)_{\infty}} {}_2\phi_1(i\lambda\sqrt{q}, q^2; i\lambda q^{5/2}; q, -i\lambda\sqrt{q}).$ (4.10)

Substituting the transformations (4.9) and (4.10) into the limit (4.8) yields

$$G'(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 (1-q) \frac{(-i\lambda\sqrt{q};q)_{\infty}(i\lambda q^{5/2};q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda^2 q;q)_{\infty}(q^2;q)_{\infty}} {}_{2}\phi_1(i\lambda\sqrt{q},q^2;i\lambda q^{5/2};q,-i\lambda\sqrt{q})}{(1+\lambda^2) \frac{(-i\lambda\sqrt{q};q)_{\infty}(i\lambda q^{3/2};q)_{\infty}}{(\lambda^2 q;q)_{\infty}(q;q)_{\infty}} {}_{2}\phi_1(i\lambda\sqrt{q},q;i\lambda q^{3/2};q,-i\lambda\sqrt{q})}}$$

$$(4.11)$$

Expressing these basic hypergeometric functions by their explicit sums, we find

$$G'(t,q) = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 (1-q)^2 \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\lambda\sqrt{q};q)_k (q^2;q)_k}{(i\lambda q^{5/2};q)_k (q;q)_k} (-i\lambda\sqrt{q})^k}{(1+\lambda^2)(1-i\lambda q^{3/2}) \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\lambda\sqrt{q};q)_k (q;q)_k}{(i\lambda q^{3/2};q)_k (q;q)_k} (-i\lambda\sqrt{q})^k}} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 (1-q) \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{k+1})}{(1-i\lambda q^{k+1/2})(1-i\lambda q^{k+3/2})} (-i\lambda\sqrt{q})^k}{(1+\lambda^2) \sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-i\lambda\sqrt{q})^k}{(1-i\lambda q^{k+1/2})}}}.$$
(4.12)

To simplify further we consider the expression in (4.13). We aim to simplify the terms in the sums on the right hand side of (4.13). For this we let

$$N = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{k+1})}{(1-i\lambda q^{k+1/2})(1-i\lambda q^{k+3/2})} (-i\lambda\sqrt{q})^k$$
(4.14)

and

$$D = \frac{(-i\lambda\sqrt{q})^k}{(1-i\lambda q^{k+1/2})} .$$
 (4.15)

We substitute $x = -i\lambda\sqrt{q}$ and apply partial fraction decomposition to get

$$N = \frac{(q-x^2)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{1+xq^k}}{x^2(q-1)} - \frac{q}{x^2(q-1)}$$
(4.16)

and

 $D = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^k}{1 + xq^k} \,. \tag{4.17}$

Substituting (4.16) and (4.17) into (4.13) and simplifying, we get the final result as (1.11). $\hfill \Box$

Next we extract the coefficient of t^{2N} of G'(t,q) given in (1.11).

Proof of corollary 1.7. To prove this corollary we will again use the Lemma 3.1. The sum in (1.11) can be identified with $R(-i\lambda\sqrt{q},i\lambda\sqrt{q},q)$, so we get

$$G'(t,q) = (1+\lambda)^2 R(-i\lambda\sqrt{q}, i\lambda\sqrt{q}, q)$$

= $(1+\lambda)^2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n}\lambda^{2n}(1-\lambda^2q^{2n+1})}{(1+\lambda^2q^{2n+1})}.$ (4.18)

We remind that t-dependence on the right hand side is implicit in $\lambda = \lambda(t)$. To extract the coefficient of t^{2N} , we evaluate the contour integral

$$[t^{2N}]G'(t,q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \frac{G'(t,q)}{t^{2N+1}} dt.$$
(4.19)

Using the variable substitution from (3.29), we have

$$[t^{2N}]G'(t,q) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint \left(\frac{(1+\lambda)^{2N} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{n^2+n} \lambda^{2n} (1-\lambda^2 q^{2n+1})}{(1+\lambda^2 q^{2n+1})} \right) (1-\lambda^2)}{\lambda^{2N} (1-q)^{2N}} \right) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}.$$
 (4.20)

We thus have

$$[t^{2N}]G'(t,q) = [\lambda^0]H'_N(\lambda,q)$$
(4.21)

and to extract the constant term in λ on the right hand side we now expand $H'_N(\lambda, q)$ as a Laurent series in λ . For this we write

$$[\lambda^0]H'_N(\lambda,q) = [\lambda^0]T_1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} T_2 , \qquad (4.22)$$

where

$$T_1 = \frac{\left(\lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2N} (1 - \lambda^2)}{(1 - q)^{2N}}$$

and

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$

$$T_2 = \frac{q^{n^2 + n} \lambda^{2n} (1 - \lambda^2 q^{2n+1})}{(1 + \lambda^2 q^{2n+1})}.$$
(4.23)

We find the series expansions as

$$T_1 = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{2N+1} \frac{(2N)!(2N-2k+1)\lambda^{2k-2N}}{k!(2N-k+1)!}}{(1-q)^{2N}}$$
(4.24)

and

$$T_2 = q^{n^2 + n} \lambda^{2n} \left(2 \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l (\lambda^2 q^{2n+1})^l - 1 \right).$$
(4.25)

Next we substitute the expression (4.24) and (4.25) in (4.22), which after some simplification leads to

$$H_N'(\lambda, q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N}} \left(2\sum_{k=0}^{2N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l q^{n^2+n+2nl+l} \frac{(2N)!(2N-2k+1)\lambda^{2k-2N+2n+2l}}{k!(2N-k+1)!} - \sum_{k=0}^{2N+1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^2+n} \frac{(2N)!(2N-2k+1)\lambda^{2k-2N+2n}}{k!(2N-k+1)!} \right). \quad (4.26)$$

We aim to get the constant term in λ , so we combine the powers of λ and equate them to 0. This fixes the summation index k, and we get

$$[t^{2N}]G'(t,q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N}} \times \left(2\sum_{n,l=0}^{\infty} (-1)^l q^{n^2+n+2nl+l} \frac{(2N)!(2n+2l+1)}{(N-n-l)!(N+n+l+1)!} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^2+n} \frac{(2N)!(2n+1)}{(N-n)!(N+n+1)!}\right). \quad (4.27)$$

Completing the square in the first sum and changing summation indices leads to

$$[t^{2N}]G'(t,q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)^{2N}} \left(\sum_{m=0}^{N} q^{m^2+m} \frac{(2N)!(2m+1)}{(N-m)!(N+m+1)!} \left(2\sum_{l=0}^{m} (-1)^l q^{-l^2} - 1 \right) \right). \quad (4.28)$$

5. Identities

The central results of this chapter have been given in Theorems 1.2 and 1.5, which express finite continued fractions in terms of basic hypergeometric functions. For example, for q-tangent numbers we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha^2 (1 - q)(1 - q^2)}{1 - \frac{\alpha^2 (1 - q^2)(1 - q^3)}{1 - \frac{\alpha^2 (1 - q^{w-1})(1 - q^w)}{1 - \alpha^2 (1 - q^w)(1 - q^{w+1})}} = \\ \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 (1 - q) \left[\bar{\lambda}^w \phi(\lambda, q^3) \phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^{w+3}\right) - \lambda^w \phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^3\right) \phi(\lambda, q^{w+3})\right]}{1 - \frac{\lambda^2 (1 - q) \left[\bar{\lambda}^w \phi(\lambda, q^2) \phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^{w+3}\right) - \lambda^{w+2} \phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^2\right) \phi(\lambda, q^{w+3})\right]}{(1 + \lambda^2) \left[\bar{\lambda}^w \phi(\lambda, q^2) \phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^{w+3}\right) - \lambda^{w+2} \phi\left(\bar{\lambda}, q^2\right) \phi(\lambda, q^{w+3})\right]} \end{aligned}$$

and a similar result holds for q-secant numbers. The point we would like to make in this section is that these results can be interpreted as giving hierarchies of identities for basic hypergeometric functions. For w small, the left hand side is a relatively simple rational function in t and q, whereas the right hand side is a weighted ratio of products of basic hypergeometric functions at specific arguments. We make the resulting identities explicit for w = 1 in the following corollary.

Corollary 5.1.

$$\frac{1-q^2}{1-\nu^2} = \frac{\begin{bmatrix} 2\phi_1(\nu,-\nu;-\nu^2q;q,q^3) \ 2\phi_1\left(-\bar{\nu},\bar{\nu};-\nu^2\bar{q};q,q^4\right) \\ +\nu \ 2\phi_1\left(-\bar{\nu},\bar{\nu};-\nu^2\bar{q};q,q^3\right) \ 2\phi_1(\nu,-\nu;-\nu^2q;q,q^4) \end{bmatrix}}{\begin{bmatrix} 2\phi_1(\nu,-\nu;-\nu^2q;q,q^2) \ 2\phi_1\left(-\bar{\nu},\bar{\nu};-\nu^2\bar{q};q,q^4\right) \\ -\nu^4 \ 2\phi_1\left(-\bar{\nu},\bar{\nu};-\nu^2\bar{q};q,q^2\right) \ 2\phi_1(\nu,-\nu;-\nu^2q;q,q^4) \end{bmatrix}}$$
(5.1)

where $\nu=i\lambda,\,\bar{\nu}=\frac{1}{\nu}$ and $\bar{q}=\frac{1}{q}$, and

$$\frac{1-q}{1-\mu^{2}\bar{q}} = \frac{\left[\begin{array}{c} _{2}\phi_{1}(\mu,-\mu;-\mu^{2};q,q^{2})_{2}\phi_{1}\left(-q\bar{\mu},q\bar{\mu};-q^{2}\bar{\mu}^{2};q,q^{3}\right) \\ +\left(\mu^{2}\bar{q}\right)_{2}\phi_{1}\left(-q\bar{\mu},q\bar{\mu};-q^{2}\bar{\mu}^{2};q,q^{2}\right)_{2}\phi_{1}(\mu,-\mu;-\mu^{2};q,q^{3}) \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} _{2}\phi_{1}(\mu,-\mu;-\mu^{2};q,q)_{2}\phi_{1}\left(-q\bar{\mu},q\bar{\mu};-q^{2}\bar{\mu}^{2};q,q^{3}\right) \\ -\mu^{4}\bar{q}^{2}_{2}_{2}\phi_{1}\left(-q\bar{\mu},q\bar{\mu};-q^{2}\bar{\mu}^{2};q,q\right)_{2}\phi_{1}(\mu,-\mu;-\mu^{2};q,q^{3}) \\ \end{array} \right] \right]$$
(5.2)

where $\mu = i\lambda\sqrt{q}$, $\bar{\mu} = \frac{1}{\mu}$ and $\bar{q} = \frac{1}{q}$.

Proof. Insert w = 1 in Theorems 1.2 and 1.5 and simplify.

To the best of our knowledge these identities are new. It would be interesting to find an alternative derivation and perhaps deeper understanding of their meaning.

References

- [1] C. Krattenthaler, A. J. Guttmann, X. G. Viennot, Vicious walkers, friendly walkers, and Young tableaux. iii. between two walls, Journal of Statistical Physics 110 (3) 1069-1086. doi:10.1023/A:1022192709833. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1022192709833
- [2] R. Tabbara, A. L. Owczarek, A. Rechnitzer, An exact solution of two friendly interacting directed walks near a sticky wall, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 47(1)(2014)015202. URL http://stacks.iop.org/1751-8121/47/i=1/a=015202
- [3] P. Flajolet, Combinatorial aspects of continued fractions, Discrete Mathematics 32 (2) (1980) 125 - 161. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0012-365X(80)90050-3. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/
 - 0012365X80900503

S0195669810000491

- [4] M. Josuat-Vergés, A q-enumeration of alternating permutations, European Journal of Combinatorics 31 (7) (2010) 1892 - 1906. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2010.01.008. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0195669810000193
- [5] H. Shin, J. Zeng, The q-tangent and q-secant numbers via continued fractions, European Journal of Combinatorics 31 (7) (2010) 1689 - 1705. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2010.04.003. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/

- [6] A. Owczarek, T. Prellberg, Exact solution of the discrete (1+1)-dimensional RSOS model with field and surface interactions, Journal of Physics 42.
- [7] A. Owczarek, T. Prellberg, Exact solution of the discrete (1+1)-dimensional RSOS model in a slit with field and wall interactions, Journal of Physics 43.
- [8] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, Heine's transformation formulas for $_2\phi_1$ series, in: Basic Hypergeometric Series, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- T. Prellberg, A. Owczarek, Stacking models of vesicles and compact clusters, Journal of Statistical Physics 80 (3-4) (1995) 755-779. doi:10.1007/BF02178553.
 URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02178553