University of London

## MTHM024/MTH714U

## Group Theory
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## Solutions

1 (a) We construct $\mathbb{F}_{8}$ by adjoining to $\mathbb{F}_{2}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ the root of an irreducible cubic polynomial $f(x)$.

The reason for this is that, if $f$ is irreducible, then the ideal $\langle f\rangle$ of the polynomial ring $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$ generated by $f$ is maximal, and hence the quotient ring $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x] /\langle f\rangle$ is a field (see Algebraic Structures II notes). Now the Division algorithm shows that, if $p$ is any polynomial over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, then we can write $p(x)=f(x) q(x)+r(x)$, where $\operatorname{deg}(r)<\operatorname{deg}(f)=3$, so $r$ belongs to the coset $\langle f\rangle+p$. Thus every coset contains a representative of degree less than 3 . It is easy to see that this coset representative is unique. The number of polynomials of degree less than 3 is $2^{3}=8$ (since $a x^{2}+b x+c$ has three coefficients each of which can be any element of $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ ). So there are 8 cosets of $\langle f\rangle$ in $\mathbb{F}_{2}[x]$, and the quotient is a field with 8 elements.

We note in passing that, if we use the symbols $0,1, \alpha$ to denote the cosets $\langle f\rangle,\langle f\rangle+1$ and $\langle f\rangle+x$ respectively, then $f(\alpha)=\langle f\rangle+f(x)=\langle f\rangle=0$. Thus $\alpha$ is a root of $f$.

There are eight polynomials of degree 3 over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. If $f$ is an irreducible polynomial of degree 3, then $f(0)=1$ (since if $f(0)=0$ then $x$ is a factor of $f(x)$ ), and $f(1)=1$ (since if $f(1)=0$ then $x+1$ is a factor of $f(x)$ ). This leaves just the two irreducible polynomials $f(x)=x^{3}+x+1$ and $g(x)=x^{3}+x^{2}+1$.

Now take the polynomial $f$. The eight elements of our field are $a \alpha^{2}+b \alpha+c$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{2}$ and $\alpha^{3}+\alpha+1=0$. Addition is straightforward: to add two expressions of this form, we simply add the coefficients of $\alpha^{2}$, the coefficients of $\alpha$, and the constant terms. For example, $\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)+\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha\right)=\alpha+1$.

Multiplication can be done by multiplying in the usual way and using the fact that $\alpha^{3}=\alpha+1$ to reduce the degree of the product. A more user-friendly way to multiply
is to use "logarithms". We construct a table of powers of $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{array}{|c|ccccc|}
\hline \alpha^{0} & & & & 1 \\
\alpha^{1} & & & \alpha & & \\
\alpha^{2} & \alpha^{2} & & & & \\
\alpha^{3} & & \alpha & + & 1 \\
\alpha^{4} & \alpha^{2} & + & \alpha & & \\
\alpha^{5} & \alpha^{2} & + & \alpha & + & 1 \\
\alpha^{6} & \alpha^{2} & & & + & 1 \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

and $\alpha^{7}=1=\alpha^{0}$. So the multiplicative group is cyclic of order 7, in agreement with what we know.

Now to multiply two elements, use the table to express them as powers of $\alpha$, add the exponents mod 7, and use the table in reverse to express the result in the standard form. For example,

$$
\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)\left(\alpha^{2}+\alpha\right)=\alpha^{6} \cdot \alpha^{4}=\alpha^{10}=\alpha^{3}=\alpha+1
$$

(b) Let $\beta=\alpha^{3}$. (Why this choice? Trial and error - see below.) Then

$$
\beta^{3}+\beta^{2}+1=\alpha^{9}+\alpha^{6}+1=\alpha^{2}+\left(\alpha^{2}+1\right)+1=0
$$

so $\beta$ is a root of the other irreducible polynomial $g$. So the field we construct already contains a root of $g$, and thus is the field obtained by adjoining such a root to $\mathbb{F}_{2}$. So the two irreducible polynomials give the same field.

If you try $\gamma=\alpha^{2}$, you will find that $f(\gamma)=0$, so $\gamma$ is a root of the same irreducible polynomial as is $\alpha$. In fact, this agrees with our observation that the Frobenius map $u \mapsto u^{2}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbb{F}_{8}$. Similarly, $\alpha^{4}$, the result of applying the Frobenius map twice, will also satisfy $f$. The other two elements $\alpha^{6}=\left(\alpha^{3}\right)^{2}$ and $\alpha^{5}=\left(\alpha^{3}\right)^{4}$ are roots of $g$.

2 (a) The following are equivalent (for $g \in G$ ):

- $H g$ is fixed by $H$,
- $(H g) h=H g$ for all $h \in H$,
- $H g h g^{-1}=H$ for all $h \in H$,
- $g h g^{-1} \in H$ for all $h \in H$,
- $g H^{-1}=H$,
- $g^{-1} H g=H$.
(b) Let $H=p^{k}$. Then the coset space $\cos (H, G)$ has size $p^{n-k}$, a multiple of $p$ (since $H<G$ ). Now consider the action restricted to $H$, and split $\cos (H, G)$ into orbits. By the Orbit-Stabiliser Theorem, the size of each orbit is a power of $p$; and at least one orbit (namely $\{H\}$ ) has size $1=p^{0}$. So there must be at least $p$ orbits of size 1 ; that is, at least $p$ cosets of $H$ lie in $N_{G}(H)$, by (a). So $N_{G}(H)>H$.
3 The first part asks, which matrices $A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ satisfy $A^{2}=I$ and $\operatorname{det}(A)=1$ ? We have $A^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}d & -b \\ -c & a\end{array}\right)=A$; so $b=-b, c=-c, a=d$. Since the characteristic of the field is not 2 , we conclude that $b=c=0$ and $A=a I$. Then $a^{2}=1$, so $a=-1$, as required.
(a) $\operatorname{PSL}(2, F)$ contains an involution; indeed, it is easy to see that it contains more than one involution. (For example, thinking of it as the group of linear fractional transformations, $z \mapsto-a^{2} z$ is an involution for any non-zero $a \in F$, so if $|F|>3$ there is more than one such element. The case $|F|=3$ can be handled directly.) So it cannot be a subgroup of a group with only one involution. [An involution is an element of order 2.]
(b) Since the composition factors are $C_{2}$ and $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, and there is no subgroup (normal or otherwise) isomorphic to $\operatorname{PSL}(2, q)$, the composition series must be $G \triangleright$ $H \triangleright\{1\}$, where $H \cong C_{2}$. By the first part of the question, there is only one such subgroup $H$, namely $\{ \pm I\}$.
(c) Immediate from (a) (or (b)).

4 (a) First observe that a conjugate of a $p$-th power or a commutator is again a $p$ th power or commutator respectively - we have $\left(x^{p}\right)^{g}=\left(x^{g}\right)^{p}$ and $[x, y]^{g}=\left[x^{g}, y^{g}\right]$, where $x^{g}=g^{-1} x g$ and $[x, y]=x^{-1} y^{-1} x y$ [you should check this!]. So conjugation maps the generators of $N$ to themselves, and hence fixes $N$. Thus it is a normal subgroup.

For any elements $x, y \in G$, we have $(N x)^{p}=N x^{p}=N$ and $[N x, N y]=N[x, y]=N$. So $G / N$ is a group in which every $p$-th power and every commutator is the identity, in other words, it is an elementary abelian $p$-group.
(b) Let $K$ be a normal subgroup of $G$ such that $G / K$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. Choose any elements $x, y \in G$. Then $(K x)^{p}=K x^{p}=K$, so $x^{p} \in K$; and $[K x, K y]=K[x, y]=K$, so $[x, y] \in K$. Thus all the generators of $N$ lie in $K$, and so $N \leq K$.
(c) Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$. By Problem 2(b), $N_{G}(H)>H$. Hence by maximality we have $N_{G}(H)=G$, that is, $H \triangleleft G$. Now since $H$ is a maximal subgroup
of $G, G / H$ is a group whose only subgroups are itself and the identity; so necessarily $G / H \cong C_{p}$, and $|G: H|=p$.
(d) Let $H$ be a maximal subgroup of $G$. By part (c), $H \triangleleft G$ and $G / H \cong C_{p}$. Hence by part (b), $N \leq H$. So $N \leq M$, where $M$ is the intersection of all maximal subgroups of $G$.

Now $G / N$ is elementary abelian, that is, the additive group of a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, whose subspaces are subgroups of $G / N$. According to the Correspondence Theorem, $M / N$ is a subgroup of $G / N$, hence a subspace of this vector space. Suppose for a contradiction that $M \neq N$. Then $M / N \neq\{0\}$. Choose a subgroup $K$ such that $(M / N) \oplus(K / N)=G / N$ (using the Correspondence Theorem again). This means that, in group terms, $M K=G$.

By assumption, $K \neq G$. So $K$ is contained in a maximal subgroup $H$ of $G$. By assumption, $M \leq H$ (since $M$ is the intersection of all the maximal subgroups). As $M \leq H$ and $K \leq H$, we have $G=M K \leq H$, which is an obvious contradiction. So necessarily, $M=N$, as required.
(e) Suppose that $N g_{1}, \ldots, N g_{r}$ generate $G / N$, and suppose for a contradiction that $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r}$ don't generate $G$. The subgroup they do generate is contained in some maximal subgroup $H$ of $H$. Thus $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{r} \in H$. But also $N \leq H$, by part (d). This means that $N g_{1}, \ldots, N g_{r} \in H / N$, contradicting the fact that they generate $G / N$. So the assertion is proved.

Remark The subgroup $N$ is called the Frattini subgroup of $G$. The result that the number of generators of $G$ is equal to the dimension of $G / N$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ is called the Burnside basis theorem.

