Branching Processes

The informal definition of a branching process (BP) has been discussed in the lecture. Here we give only the formal definition.

**Definition** Let $X$ be an integer-valued non-negative r.v. with p.m.f. $p_k = P\{X = k\}$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. We say that a sequence of random variable $Y_n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, is a BP if

1. $Y_0 = 1$
2. $Y_{n+1} = X_1^{(n)} + X_2^{(n)} + \ldots + X_{Y_n}^{(n)}$

where all r.v.'s $X_j^{(n)}$ have the same distribution as $X$ and are independent of each other.

We say that distribution of $X$ is the generating distribution of the BP.

This definition in fact describes one of the simplest models for population growth. The process starts at time 0 with one ancestor: $Y_0 = 1$. At time $n = 1$ this ancestor dies producing a random number of descendants $Y_1 = X_1^{(0)}$. Each descendant behaves independently of the others living only until $n = 2$ and being then replaced by his own descendants. This process continues at $n = 3, 4, \ldots$. Thus, $Y_{n+1}$ is the number of descendants in the $(n+1)^{th}$ generation produced by $Y_n$ individuals of generation $n$.

The meaning of the notations we use should by now be clear: $X_j^{(n)}$ is the number of descendants produced by the $j^{th}$ ancestor of the $n^{th}$ generation.

As we see, the r.v. $X$ defined above specifies the number of offspring of an individual. We denote $E(X) = \mu$ and $\text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$. We denote by $G(t)$ the p.g.f. of $X$:

$$G(t) = E(t^X) = p_0 + p_1 t + p_2 t^2 + \ldots = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j t^j. \quad (1)$$

Our plan now is as follows:

1. We shall find the recurrence relations for the probability generating functions $G_n(t)$ of $Y_n$.
2. This will imply the recurrence relations for the probability of extinction $\theta_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} P\{Y_n = 0\}$ of the BP by time $n$.
3. We shall then find the probability of ultimate extinction $\theta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n$ of the BP.
4. We shall also find $E(Y_n)$ and $\text{Var}(Y_n)$.
5. In principle, the whole distribution of $Y_n$ can be computed from $G_n(t)$ but the examples where this can be done explicitly are rare. We shall look at one such example.

**1. Finding the probability generating function of $Y_n$**

**Theorem 1.** The probability generating functions $G_n(t)$ of the r.v.'s $Y_n$ satisfy the following recurrence relations:

$$G_1(t) = G(t), \quad (2)$$

$$G_{n+1}(t) = G(G_n(t)) \text{ for } n \geq 1. \quad (3)$$
Proof. By definition $Y_1$ has the same distribution as $X$ and this proves (2). Next, by the TPF for expectations

$$G_{n+1}(t) = E(t^{Y_{n+1}}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E(t^{Y_{n+1}}|Y_1 = j)P(Y_1 = j)$$

or in concise notations

$$G_{n+1}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} E(t^{Y_{n+1}}|Y_1 = j)p_j.$$  \hfill (4)

Note next that

$$Y_{n+1}|(Y_1 = j) = Y_n^{(1)} + ... + Y_n^{(j)}$$

where $Y_n^{(i)}$ is the number of descendants in generation $n + 1$ who descended from the $i$ th individual in generation 1. At the same time $Y_n^{(i)}$ is the number of $n$ th generation descendants of the $i$ th ancestor in generation 1 and hence has the same distribution as $Y_n$ in the original process. We thus have:

$$E(t^{Y_{n+1}}|Y_1 = j) = E(t^{Y_n^{(1)}}) \times ... \times E(t^{Y_n^{(j)}}) = (Et^Y_n)^j.$$  \hfill (5)

We use here the fact that $t^{Y_n^{(1)}}, ..., t^{Y_n^{(j)}}$ are independent r.v.’s and therefore the expectation of their product is equal to the product of their expectations. Remember that $E(t^Y_n) = G_n(t)$. We have shown that

$$E(t^{Y_{n+1}}|Y_1 = j) = (G_n(t))^j.$$  \hfill (6)

Substituting this expression into (4) gives

$$G_{n+1}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} p_j(G_n(t))^j.$$  \hfill (7)

Comparing (1) and (5) we conclude that

$$G_{n+1}(t) = G(G_n(t)).$$

Remark In fact (3) holds also for $n = 0$ since $G_0(t) = t$.

2. Finding the probability of extinction

Remember that (see Notes 1 or corresponding lecture) if $Z$ is a non-negative integer-valued r.v. and $G_Z(t)$ is its p.g.f. then $P\{Z = 0\} = G_Z(0)$.

This means that in our case $\theta_n = G_n(0)$ for $n = 1, 2, ...$. Hence putting $t = 0$ in (3) gives

$$\theta_{n+1} = G_{n+1}(0) = G(G_n(0)) = G(\theta_n)$$  \hfill (6)

Since $\theta_1 = p_0$ we can then iteratively obtain $\theta_n$ for $n = 2, 3, ...$

Remark (6) holds also for $n = 0$ since $G_0(t) = t$. In fact we could start with $n = 0$. Indeed, $\theta_0 = 0$ and hence $p_0 = G_1(0) = \theta_1$.

Example. Suppose $X \sim Bernoulli(p)$ so that $G(t) = pt + q$. Then
\[
\begin{align*}
\theta_1 &= G(\theta_0) = G_X(0) = q = 1 - p \\
\theta_2 &= G(\theta_1) = G_X(q) = pq + q = 1 - p^2 \\
\theta_3 &= G(\theta_2) = G_X(1 - p^2) = p(1 - p^2) + (1 - p) = 1 - p^3
\end{align*}
\]

and you can show using induction that \( \theta_n = 1 - p^n \) for \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \). Taking the limit as \( n \) tends to infinity gives the probability of eventual extinction \( \theta \). Here \( \theta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n = 1 \).

Note that for this very simple example you can obtain the result directly. In each generation there can at most be one individual and \( P(Y_n = 1) \) is just the probability that the individual in each generation has one offspring, so that \( P(Y_n = 1) = p^n \) and therefore \( \theta_n = P(Y_n = 0) = 1 - p^n \).

3. Finding the probability of ultimate (eventual) extinction

We only consider the case where \( 0 < P(X = 0) < 1 \) since the other two cases are trivial. If \( P(X = 0) = 1 \) then the process is certain to die out by generation 1 so that \( \theta = 1 \). If \( P(X = 0) = 0 \) then the process cannot possibly die out and \( \theta = 0 \).

**Theorem 2.** When \( 0 < P(X = 0) < 1 \) the probability of eventual extinction is the smallest positive solution of \( t = G(t) \).

**Proof.** We first establish that \( \theta_{j+1} > \theta_j \) for all \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \). Indeed, \( G(t) \) is a strictly increasing function of \( t \). Now \( \theta_1 = G(0) > 0 \). Hence \( \theta_2 = G(\theta_1) > G(0) = \theta_1 \). Assume that \( \theta_j > \theta_{j-1} \) for all \( j = 2, \ldots, n \). Then \( \theta_{n+1} = G(\theta_n) > G(\theta_{n-1}) = \theta_n \). Hence the statement follows by induction. Thus \( \theta_n \) is a strictly increasing function of \( n \) which is bounded above by 1. Hence it must tend to a limit as \( n \) tends to infinity. We shall call this limit \( \theta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n \). Since \( \theta_{n+1} = G(\theta_n) \), it immediately follows that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_{n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} G(\theta_n) \) and hence \( \theta = G(\theta) \).

Let \( z \) be any positive solution of \( z = G(z) \). It remains to prove that \( \theta \leq z \). Now \( z > 0 \) and so \( z = G(z) > G(0) = \theta_1 \). Then \( z = G(z) > G(\theta_1) = \theta_2 \). Now assume that \( z > \theta_j \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, n \). Then \( z = G(z) > G(\theta_n) = \theta_{n+1} \). Hence by induction \( z > \theta_j \) for all \( j = 1, 2, \ldots \) and therefore \( z \geq \theta \). Since the last inequality holds for any positive solution \( z \) to \( z = G(z) \), \( \theta \) must be the smallest positive solution.

\( \square \)

Note that \( t = 1 \) is always a solution to \( G(t) = t \).

**Example.** \( P(X = x) = 1/4 \) for \( x = 0, 1, 2, 3 \). Therefore \( G(t) = (1 + t + t^2 + t^3)/4 \). We need to solve \( t = G(t) \), i.e. \( t^3 + t^2 + 3t + 1 = 0 \) i.e. \( (t - 1)(t^2 + 2t - 1) = 0 \). The solutions are \( t = 1, \sqrt{2} - 1, -\sqrt{2} - 1 \). Hence the smallest positive root is \( \sqrt{2} - 1 \) so the probability of eventual extinction \( \theta = \sqrt{2} - 1 \).

**Remark.** Very often it may be important to know whether \( \theta = 1 \). It turns out that this is the case if and only if \( \mu \leq 1 \).

4. Finding the mean and variance for \( Y_n \)

**Theorem 3.** \( E(Y_n) = \mu^n \).
**Proof.** We shall use the well known formula $E(Y_n) = G_n'(1)$ and the fact that $G_n(1) = 1$ for any $n \geq 0$ (see, e. g. Notes 1). Next (3) can be written as $G_n(t) = G(G_{n-1}(t))$. Differentiating this equality and employing the usual rules for differentiating of a composite function we obtain:

$$E(Y_n) = G_n'(1) = G'(G_{n-1}(1))G_{n-1}'(1) = G'(1)G_{n-1}'(1) = \mu E(Y_{n-1}).$$

Since $E[Y_0] = 1$, we obtain

$$E[Y_n] = \mu E[Y_{n-1}] = \mu^2 E[Y_{n-2}] = \ldots = \mu^n E[Y_0] = \mu^n.$$

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 4.** If $\mu \neq 1$, then $\text{Var}(Y_n) = \sigma^2 \mu^{n-1}(1 - \mu^n)$. If $\mu = 1$ then $\text{Var}(Y_n) = n\sigma^2$.

**Proof.** This time, apart of what has already been used in the proof of Theorem 3, we shall use one more well known formula. Namely $\text{Var}(Y_n) = G''_n(1) + G'_n(1) - (G'_n(1))^2$. To simplify the notation, we write $V_n$ for $\text{Var}(Y_n)$. Since we already know that $G'_n(1) = \mu^n$, we have $V_n = G''_n(1) + \mu^n - \mu^{2n}$. The last relation can be rearranged as

$$G''_n(1) = V_n - \mu^n + \mu^{2n} \quad (7)$$

and will be used in this form. Next, we also have

$$G''_n(t) = (G'(G_{n-1}(t))G'_{n-1}(t))' = G''(G_{n-1}(t))(G'_{n-1}(t))^2 + G'(G_{n-1}(t))G''_{n-1}(t)$$

and hence

$$G''_n(1) = G''(1)(G'_{n-1}(1))^2 + G'(1)G''_{n-1}(1) = \mu^{2n-2}G''(1) + \mu G''_{n-1}(1). \quad (8)$$

We can now obtain a recurrence relation between $V_n$ and $V_{n-1}$ by replacing all second order derivatives in (8) using (7):

$$V_n - \mu^n + \mu^{2n} = \mu^{2n-2}(V_1 - \mu + \mu^2) + \mu(V_{n-1} - \mu^{n-1} + \mu^{2(n-1)})$$

which, after cancellations, simplifies to

$$V_n = \mu^{2n-2}\sigma^2 + \mu V_{n-1}, \quad (9)$$

where we also made use of $V_1 = \text{Var}(Y_1) = \text{Var}(X) = \sigma^2$.

The proof can now be completed by induction. For $n = 1$ the statement of the theorem reduces to $\text{Var}(Y_1) = \sigma^2$ which obviously is true. Suppose that it has been established for $\text{Var}(Y_j)$, $j = 2, \ldots, n$. It the follows from (9) that

$$\text{Var}(Y_{n+1}) = \mu^{2n}\sigma^2 + \mu\frac{\sigma^2\mu^{n-1}(1 - \mu^n)}{(1 - \mu)} = \frac{\sigma^2\mu^n(1 - \mu^{n+1})}{(1 - \mu)}.$$

\[ \square \]

**Example** $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$, where $0 < p < 1$. Then $\mu = E[X] = p$ and $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X) = p(1 - p)$. Hence $\mu \neq 1$ so that $E[Y_n] = p^n$ and $\text{Var}(Y_n) = \frac{p(1-p)p^{n-1}(1-p^n)}{(1-p)} = p^n(1 - p^n)$.
5. Finding the distribution of $Y_n$

If we know the distribution for $X$ (i.e. the offspring distribution) then we can use the p.g.f. of $X$ to successively find the p.g.f. $G_n(t)$ of $Y_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \ldots$ as formulae (2) and (3) suggest. In principle, once $G_n(t)$ has been found, we can compute $P(Y_n = k) = \frac{1}{k!} G_n^{(k)}(0)$. However, this may be a difficult thing to do.

Example 1. $X \sim Bernoulli(p)$. $G(t) = pt + q$. Then

$$G_1(t) = G(t) = pt + q \text{ so } Y_1 \sim Bernoulli(p).$$

$$G_2(t) = G(G_1(t)) = p(pt + q) + q = p^2t + (1 - p^2). \text{ Hence } Y_2 \sim Bernoulli(p^2).$$

It is easily shown by induction that, for this very simple example, $Y_n \sim Bernoulli(p^n)$.

Example 2. $X \sim Geometric(\frac{1}{2})$. In this case $G_1(t) = G(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} t^k = \frac{1}{2-t}$. Then

$$G_2(t) = G(G_1(t)) = \frac{1}{2-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{2}{3}.$$  

$$G_3(t) = G(G_2(t)) = \frac{1}{2-\frac{2}{3}} = \frac{3}{4}.$$ 

It is reasonable to conjecture that $G_n(t) = \frac{n-(n-1)t}{n+1-nt}$. The fact that this is true can now be easily verified by induction. It is also not difficult to see that

$$P(Y_n = 0) = \frac{n}{n+1} \quad \text{and} \quad P(Y_n = k) = \frac{n^{k-1}}{(n+1)^k+1} \quad \text{for } k \geq 1.$$ 

In particular we see that $\theta_n = \frac{n}{n+1}$ and $\theta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \theta_n = 1$.

6. A note on the case of $k$ ancestors

Each ancestor generates its own independent branching process. If we let $W_j$ be the number of descendants in generation $n$ generated by the $j^{th}$ ancestor, then the total number in generation $n$ is $W = \sum_{j=1}^{k} W_j$. The $W_j$ are independent identically distributed random variables. Each $W_j$ has the same distribution as $Y_n$, the number in generation $n$ from one ancestor (i.e. with $Y_0 = 1$).

Therefore $E[W] = kE[Y_n]$ and $Var(W) = kVar(Y_n)$.

If the branching process is extinct by generation $n$, then each of the $k$ branching generated by the $k$ ancestors must be extinct by generation $n$, so

$$P(W = 0) = P(W_1 = 0, W_2 = 0, \ldots, W_k = 0) = \prod_{j=1}^{k} P(W_j = 0) = \theta_n^k.$$ 

So the probability of extinction by generation $n$ when there are $k$ ancestors is just $\theta_n^k$.

The probability of eventual extinction is just the probability that each of the $k$ independent branching processes eventually become extinct. Since the branching processes are independent,
this is just the product of the probabilities that each of individual branching processes eventually become extinct, which is $\theta^k$. 