

## The Ratio Test

Remember that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = v$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  s. t. for all  $n \geq m$

$$v - \varepsilon < v_n < v + \varepsilon.$$

*Remark.* The last inequality is equivalent to  $|v - v_n| < \varepsilon$  (prove this). Also, saying ‘for all  $n > m$ ’ is equivalent to saying ‘for all  $n \geq m$ ’.

### Theorem 0.1

Consider a series

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + \dots \quad (1)$$

and suppose that  $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  and that the following limit exists:

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| \quad (2)$$

Then

(i) Series (1) is absolutely convergent if  $\lambda < 1$ ;

(ii) Series (1) diverges if  $\lambda > 1$

The test is inconclusive if  $\lambda = 1$ .

**Proof.** The existence of limit (2) implies that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\forall n \geq m$

$$\lambda - \varepsilon < \left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| < \lambda + \varepsilon. \quad (3)$$

Proofs of (i) and (ii) make use of this inequality.

(i) Since  $\lambda < 1$  we can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $q := \lambda + \varepsilon < 1$ . Then for appropriate  $m$  and  $n \geq m$  we have:  $|a_{n+1}| < (\lambda + \varepsilon) |a_n| = q |a_n|$ . Hence

$$|a_{m+1}| < q |a_m|, \quad |a_{m+2}| < q |a_{m+1}| < q^2 |a_m|, \quad \dots, \quad |a_n| < q^{n-m} |a_m|.$$

(in fact this is simple induction for  $n > m$ ). Define a new series with terms  $b_n$  given by

$$b_n = |a_n| \quad \text{if } n < m \quad \text{and} \quad b_n = q^{n-m} |a_m| \quad \text{if } n \geq m.$$

Obviously,  $|a_n| \leq b_n$  for  $n \geq 1$ . This series converges because

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |a_n| + \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} |a_m| q^{n-m} = \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} |a_n| + \frac{|a_m|}{1-q}.$$

and therefore series (1) is absolutely convergent (by comparison test) and thus also convergent.  $\square$

(ii) Since  $\lambda > 1$  we can choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  so that  $\lambda - \varepsilon > 1$ . The existence of limit (2) implies that there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that (3) holds  $\forall n \geq m$ . But then for  $n \geq m$  we have  $|a_{n+1}| > (\lambda - \varepsilon) |a_n| \geq |a_n|$ . This implies that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n| \neq 0$  and therefore series (1) cannot converge.  $\square$

*Remark.* In fact it is easy to see that if  $\lambda > 1$  then  $|a_n| > (\lambda - \varepsilon)^{n-m} |a_m| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .