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#### Abstract

We consider a prototypical two-parameter family of invertible maps of $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$, representing rotations with decreasing rotation number. These maps describe the dynamics inside the island chains of a piecewise affine discrete twist map of the torus, in the limit of fine discretisation. We prove that there is a set of lattice points of full natural density which, depending of the parameter values, either are all periodic or all escape to infinity. The proof is based on the analysis of an interval-exchange map over the integers, with infinitely many intervals.
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## 1. Introduction

Regular motions in two-dimensional symplectic maps are rotations on smooth invariant curves. If the space is discrete (a lattice, typically), then these curves do not exist, intriguing new phenomena appear, and the stability problem -the central problem of Hamiltonian mechanics- must be reconsidered from scratch.

Discrete-space versions of symplectic maps first appeared in the study of numerical orbits [22, 11, 27, 9, 28, 19], to mimic quantum effects in classical systems [6], and to improve the efficiency of delicate computations [12]. The arithmetical characterisation of chaotic orbits provided a new direction of research [20, 13, 7, 18], and so did the study of the dynamics of round-off errors [15, 16, 17, 4, 31, 14, 23, 24]. Discrete symplectic maps occur in the study of outer billiards of polygons [26], and in shift-radix systems in arithmetic [1, 2];

In spite of a protracted research effort, our knowledge of these systems remains fragmented; in particular, the stability problem has proved stubbornly difficult. Rigorous results are rare, and the many and varied mechanisms responsible for (in)stability do not yet fit into a coherent picture, let alone a mathematical theory.

If an area-preserving map preserves a lattice, then the existence of bounding invariant sets for the map guarantees the boundedness of lattice orbits. Examples include the invariant polygons of the saw-tooth map [8], and the invariant necklaces in outer billiards of (quasi)-rational polygons [30]. But bounding invariant sets in an embedding space of a lattice are rarely available, and a different approach is needed. In the case of rational rotations on lattices (which necessarily involve some rounding procedure), all available proofs of stability rely on renormalization, which provides knowledge of long-time asymptotics [15, 14, 1, 2]. Renormalization was also key to the proof of the existence of escape orbits of outer billiards of


FIgURE 1. An orbit of the map (1), with $\alpha=19$ and $\beta=7$. In spite of large fluctuations in amplitude, the orbit closes up after $\alpha$ revolutions around the origin. Nearby orbits are intertwined, and hence their boundedness cannot be inferred from topological considerations.
kites over quadratic fields [25]. However, renormalizability too is seldom available (for rational rotations on a lattice it has been found only for finitely many quadratic irrational parameter values). Thus no proof of stability is known for invertible irrational rotations on lattices, even though the orbits are believed to be periodic. Here the perturbation induced by round-off generates diffusive transport, yet all orbits seemingly return to their initial point via a mechanism that is probabilistic at heart $[4,31]$. The observed stability of the rotational orbits of certain linked strip maps on lattices is even more elusive [24].

In this paper we illustrate a novel mechanism for the (in)stability of rotational orbits, as it appears in the following two-parameter family of invertible nonlinear maps $\mathscr{F}$ of the two-dimensional lattice $\mathbb{Z}^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{t+1}=y_{t}-\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{t}\right)  \tag{1}\\
& x_{t+1}=x_{t}+\alpha y_{t+1}+\beta
\end{align*} \quad \operatorname{sign}(x)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } x \geqslant 0 \\
-1 & \text { if } x<0\end{cases}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are integers, and $0 \leqslant \beta<\alpha$. (We remark that the modified equation $y_{t+1}=y_{t}-\gamma \operatorname{sign}\left(x_{t}\right), \gamma \in \mathbb{N}$ would not add generality, as the parameter $\gamma$ can be absorbed by the other parameters by scaling co-ordinates.) As is often the case in piecewise affine dynamical systems, the plain form of (1) hides a non-trivial dynamics (see figure 1); concatenated parabolic arcs result in surrogate rotations with decreasing rotation number.


Figure 2. Some orbits of the perturbed twist mapping (2), for $N=251$. Asymptotic $(N \rightarrow \infty)$ dynamics inside island chains are described by the map $\mathscr{F}$ given in (1).

The map $\mathscr{F}$ originates from the following perturbed twist map on a discrete torus [32]:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
y_{t+1} & \equiv y_{t}+f\left(x_{t}\right) & (\bmod N)  \tag{2}\\
x_{t+1} & \equiv x_{t}+y_{t+1} & (\bmod N)
\end{array} \quad f(q)= \begin{cases}1 & 0 \leqslant q<\lfloor N / 2\rfloor \\
-1 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Here $N$ is a large integer - the discretisation parameter- while the perturbation function $f$ provides a minimalist form of nonlinearity. This map is a 'pseudoelliptic' variant of the so-called triangle map, which is 'pseudo-hyperbolic'. (The puzzling ergodic properties of the latter have so far escaped a rigorous analysis [5, 10, 18].)

In figure 2 we display some orbits of the map (2), which bears resemblance to the divided phase space of an area-preserving map. We observe island chains of odd order, those of even order are missing, and there is no hierarchy of islands about islands. Plainly, standard Hamiltonian perturbation theory does not apply, so what does determine the stability of these elliptic orbits?

It can be shown that, for sufficiently large $N$, the map (1) is the first-return map to an island of (2) for all points sufficiently close to the island's centre. If the island has rotation number $m / n$, then $\alpha=n$, and

$$
\beta=\beta(m, n, N)=\sum_{t=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{\lfloor 2 m t / n\rfloor}-m N(\bmod n)
$$

(See [32] for details.)

In this paper we solve the stability problem of $\mathscr{F}$ for a set initial conditions having full natural density. We show that for these initial conditions either all orbits are periodic, or all orbits escape to infinity, and we determine, respectively, the period and the escape rate. In the final analysis, (in)stability will result from ergodicity in an associated modular arithmetic system.

We will show that the first-return map F to the ray $\left\{(x, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: x \geqslant 0\right\}$ is an interval-exchange transformation over infinitely many intervals. Near the origin, the dynamics is rather intricate (see figure 3), but at large amplitudes, the map F admits a weak form of translational invariance. The large-amplitude dynamics is captured by the following conjecture (cf. [32])

Conjecture. Let $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha / \operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, 2 \beta)$. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is odd, then all orbits of $\mathscr{F}$ are periodic, and for all but finitely many initial conditions, their period under the first-return map F is equal to $\bar{\alpha}$. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, then all orbits escape to infinity.

This conjecture is consistent with the absence of island chains or even order, observed experimentally for the map (2). The main result of this paper is the following theorem, which establishes a probabilistic version of the above conjecture.
Theorem 1. If $\bar{\alpha}$ (as defined above) is odd, then the periodic points of $\mathscr{F}$ have full natural density, and their period under the first-return map F is equal to $\bar{\alpha}$. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, then the set of escape orbits has full density.

The first-return map F will be constructed in section 2, where we derive several formulae to be used throughout the paper. In section 3 we show that there is no loss of generality in restricting the parameters to the range $\alpha \geqslant 2 \beta$ with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ co-prime (propositions $3-5$ ). In section 4 we show that F is an interval-exchange transformation over infinitely many intervals; we compute the IET's metric data, and establish that the combinatorial data are (essentially) parameter-independent (proposition 6).

In section 5 we consider the natural symbolic dynamics of the IET, together with two coarser codes, to factor out translations in the code, and to anchor the code to the minimum point of an orbit. Asymptotically, the cylinder sets of the symbolic dynamics have a regular structure -they are arranged into arithmetic progressions.

In section 6 we derive an auxiliary interval-exchange map $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$-the reduced system - which encodes the asymptotic behaviour of the original IET. The idea is to take the large-amplitude limit of F , scale it in such a way as to obtain a spatially periodic integer map, and then extend the latter periodically to $\mathbb{Z}$. The periodic cells of the reduced system are the blocks, the union of two adjacent intervals of the IET. We prove that our conjecture holds for the reduced system (theorem 10).

In section 7 we consider the regular points of the Poincaré map F, namely the points whose symbolic words of length $\alpha$ also belong to the language of the reduced map $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$. We then prove that almost all points are regular (theorem 11), which will allow us to use the symbolic dynamics of the reduced system for the original system.


Figure 3. Period $T(x)$ of the orbit though $x$ for the interval-exchange map F associated with (1), with $\alpha=19$ and $\beta=5$. (The vertical segments in the graph of $T$ are merely a guide to the eye.) The behaviour near the origin is complicated, but for sufficiently large initial points $(x \geqslant 730)$ the period stabilises at $\alpha$. The depth and width of this comb-like structure depends sensitively on the arithmetical properties of the parameters.

Theorem 1 is proven is sections 8 and 9 . To establish the periodicity of all regular points of F , we must determine the value of a certain invariant of the reduced system. This invariant behaves like a variance, and the key lemma 13 establishes its value by considering the evolution of uniform measures supported on blocks. A similar technique is used in section 9 , to show that, if $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, then almost all orbits escape. In this case however, the aforementioned invariant is replaced by a nonconstant function of the coordinates, whose regular variation is determined using the Sturmian property of rotational codes. The computations of this section are considerably more laborious than for the periodic case.

The map (1) admits natural generalisations to higher-dimensional lattices. For instance, one could choose the parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ from some ring $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$ of real algebraic integers, to obtain a dynamical system over $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]^{2}$ (or, more generally, over the Cartesian product of two $\mathbb{Z}[\omega]$-modules). These are four-dimensional lattices, and there is no reason to expect theorem 1 to extend to such systems. In numerical experiments over quadratic fields, we have observed recurrence and a weak form of instability replacing periodicity.

## 2. First-RETURN MAP

In this section we construct the first-return map F to the ray $\mathbb{Z}_{+}=\{(x, 0): x \geqslant 0\}$, which is crossed repeatedly by every orbit of $\mathscr{F}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{-}=\{(x, 0): x<0\}$. To
construct F , we consider the first transit maps $\mathrm{F}_{ \pm}$from $\mathbb{Z}_{ \pm}$to $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\mathrm{F}_{+}: \mathbb{Z}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad \quad \mathrm{F}_{-}: \mathbb{Z}_{-} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

The idea is to define $F=F_{-} \circ F_{+}$. This is legitimate only if $F_{+}$and $F_{-}$map $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$to $\mathbb{Z}_{-}$, and vice-versa. As we shall see, this is not always the case.

We begin by solving (1) over each domain where $\operatorname{sign}(x)$ remains constant. Specifically, let $x_{0}$ and $t$ be such that $\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{k}\right)=\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{0}\right)$ for $k=0, \ldots, t$. We compute:

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{t}=y_{0}-s t \quad x_{t}=x_{0}-\frac{t(t+1)}{2} \alpha s+t\left(\alpha y_{0}+\beta\right) \quad s=\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let now $u_{s}$ ( $s$ as above) be the smallest positive integer $t$ such that $\operatorname{sign}\left(x_{t}\right) \neq s$. To construct $\mathrm{F}_{ \pm}$, we specialise formula (3) to the initial conditions $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)=(x, 0)$, and then match two solutions (3) near $x=0$, to obtain

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{F}_{+}(x)=x+\tau_{+}(x) & x \geqslant 0 \\
\mathrm{~F}_{-}(x)=x+\tau_{-}(x) & x<0 \tag{4}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{+}(x) & =2 \beta u_{+}(x)-\alpha u_{+}(x)^{2} \\
u_{+}(x) & =\left\lfloor U_{+}(x)+1\right\rfloor \\
U_{+}(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(2 \beta-\alpha+\sqrt{(2 \beta-\alpha)^{2}+8 \alpha x}\right) \\
\tau_{-}(x) & =2 \beta u_{-}(x)+\alpha u_{-}(x)^{2} \\
u_{-}(x) & =\left\lceil U_{-}(x)\right\rceil \\
U_{-}(x) & =\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left(-(2 \beta+\alpha)+\sqrt{(2 \beta+\alpha)^{2}-8 \alpha x}\right) \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

As functions over $\mathbb{R}$, the functions $\tau_{ \pm}$are singular, and right continuous at each singularity, as easily verified.

As $x \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\tau_{+}(x) \sim-2 x$; likewise as $x \rightarrow-\infty$ we have $\tau_{-}(x) \sim 2 x$. Hence, for all sufficiently large $x$, we have $\mathrm{F}_{+}(x)<0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{-}(-x)>0$.

Next we investigate what happens for small $x$. Let $\left(x_{m}\right)$ and $\left(y_{n}\right)$ be the sequences of singularities of $\mathrm{F}_{+}$and $\mathrm{F}_{-}$, respectively. We compute

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{m}=\frac{m}{2}(\alpha(m+1)-2 \beta) & m=0,1,2, \ldots  \tag{6}\\
y_{n}=-\frac{n}{2}(\alpha(n+1)+2 \beta) & n=0,1,2, \ldots
\end{array}
$$

where the case $n=0$ is introduced for convenience.
We begin with $\mathrm{F}_{+}$. Letting

$$
z_{m}=\mathrm{F}_{+}\left(x_{m}\right)=x_{m}+\tau_{+}\left(x_{m}\right)
$$

we find

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{m} & =\frac{m}{2}(\alpha(m+1)-2 \beta)+(m+1)(2 \beta-\alpha(m+1)) \\
& =\frac{m+2}{2}(2 \beta-\alpha(m+1)) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $x_{m}, y_{n}$ and $z_{m}$ are integers, and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{m+1}-x_{m}=z_{m}-z_{m-1}=\alpha(m+1)-\beta \quad m \geqslant 1 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $\tau_{+}$is right-continuous, for all $m \geqslant 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=\mathrm{F}_{+}(x)=x-x_{m}+z_{m} \quad x_{m} \leqslant x<x_{m+1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we find

$$
a_{m}=\sup _{x_{m} \leqslant x<x_{m+1}} \mathrm{~F}_{+}(x)=x_{m+1}-x_{m}+z_{m}=\frac{m+1}{2}(2 \beta-\alpha m) .
$$

Thus $a_{m}<0$ for $m>1$, whereas $a_{m}>0$ for $m=0$, and, if $\alpha<2 \beta$, also for $m=1$.
We repeat the analysis for $\mathrm{F}_{-}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{n}=\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(y_{n}\right)=\frac{n}{2}(\alpha(n-1)+2 \beta) \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, since $\tau_{-}$is right-continuous, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\mathrm{F}_{-}(y)=y-y_{n}+w_{n} \quad y_{n} \leqslant y<y_{n-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
b_{n}=\min _{y_{n} \leqslant y<y_{n-1}} \mathrm{~F}_{-}(y)=w_{n}
$$

Thus $b_{n} \geqslant 0$ for all $n$.
We now extend the domain of $\mathrm{F}_{-}$to include all positive values of $x$ for which $U_{-}(x)$ is real -see (5). We find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-1<U_{-}(x) \leqslant 0 \quad \text { if } \quad 0 \leqslant x \leqslant x^{*}:=\frac{(2 \beta+\alpha)^{2}}{8 \alpha} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that in this $x$-range we have $u_{-}(x)=0$ and $\mathrm{F}_{-}(x)=x$. We verify that the image of $\mathrm{F}_{+}$remains within this range:

$$
x^{*}-a_{0}=\frac{(\alpha-2 \beta)^{2}}{8 \alpha} \geqslant 0 \quad x^{*}-a_{1}=\frac{(3 \alpha-2 \beta)^{2}}{8 \alpha} \geqslant 0
$$

So we have

$$
\mathrm{F}_{+}(x) \geqslant 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathrm{~F}_{-}\left(\mathrm{F}_{+}(x)\right)=\mathrm{F}_{+}(x)
$$

hence $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{F}_{-} \circ \mathrm{F}_{+}$, and we have established the following result:

Proposition 2. The first-return map F to $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$is of the form $x \mapsto x+\tau(x)$, where

$$
\tau(x)=2 \beta\left(u_{-}(y)+u_{+}(x)\right)+\alpha\left(u_{-}^{2}(y)-u_{+}^{2}(x)\right) \quad y=\mathrm{F}_{+}(x) .
$$

Next we compute the sequences of singularities of F . To this end, we must determine the sequence $\left(\mathrm{F}_{+}^{-1}\left(y_{n}\right)\right)$ and then merge it with $\left(x_{m}\right)$. To compute $\mathrm{F}_{+}^{-1}$ we solve (9) for $x$, and then use (6) and (7) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{+}^{-1}(y)=y+(m(y)+1)(\alpha(m(y)+1)-2 \beta) \quad z_{m} \leqslant y<z_{m-1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $m$ is the smallest integer such that $z_{m} \leqslant y$. We find

$$
m(y)=\left\lceil\frac{2 \beta-3 \alpha+\sqrt{(2 \beta+\alpha)^{2}-8 \alpha y}}{2 \alpha}\right\rceil
$$

and one verifies that $m(y)+1=\left\lceil U_{+}(\beta-y)\right\rceil$.
To order the singularities of F we must establish a relationship between the indices $m$ and $n$, namely find all solutions $n=n(m)$ of the inequalities

$$
z_{m} \leqslant y_{n}<z_{m-1}
$$

In what follows we exclude the special cases $\beta=0$ and $\alpha=2 \beta$ which are dealt with in proposition 3 in the next section. Let $n=m+k$. The lower and upper bounds give, respectively

$$
\begin{aligned}
i) & ((k-1) \alpha+2 \beta)(k+2 m+2) \leqslant 0 \\
\text { ii) } & (k \alpha+2 \beta)(k+2 m+1)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { i) }-2(m+1) \leqslant k \leqslant 1-\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha} \\
& \text { ii) } k<-(2 m+1) \text { or } k>-\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $m+k \geqslant 0$, the relevant bound in $i i$ ) is the rightmost one, and we find

$$
k= \begin{cases}0 & \alpha>2 \beta  \tag{14}\\ -1 & \alpha<2 \beta\end{cases}
$$

Accordingly, we let

$$
x_{m}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\mathrm{F}_{+}^{-1}\left(y_{m}\right) & \alpha>2 \beta \\ \mathrm{~F}_{+}^{-1}\left(y_{m-1}\right) & \alpha<2 \beta\end{cases}
$$

where in both cases we use the same branch of $\mathrm{F}_{+}^{-1}$, specified in (13). We find:

$$
x_{m}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\frac{m}{2}(\alpha m+3(\alpha-2 \beta))+\alpha-2 \beta & \alpha>2 \beta  \tag{15}\\ \frac{m}{2}(\alpha m+5 \alpha-6 \beta)+\alpha-\beta & \alpha<2 \beta\end{cases}
$$

Let $\left(\delta_{m}\right), m \geqslant 0$ be the sequence of singularities of F , in ascending order. From (14) we have $\delta_{0}=0$ and

$$
\delta_{2 m}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x_{m} & \alpha>2 \beta  \tag{16}\\
x_{m}^{\prime} & \alpha<2 \beta
\end{array} \quad \delta_{2 m-1}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x_{m-1}^{\prime} & \alpha>2 \beta \\
x_{m} & \alpha<2 \beta
\end{array} \quad m=1,2, \ldots\right.\right.
$$

This leads to the sequences of singularities

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(x_{0}, x_{0}^{\prime}, x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots\right) & \alpha>2 \beta \\
\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}, x_{2}^{\prime}, x_{3}, \ldots\right) & \alpha<2 \beta .
\end{array}
$$

## 3. Parameters

In this section we show that there is no loss of generality in restricting the parameters of the map $\mathscr{F}$ to the range $\alpha>2 \beta$ with $\alpha$ and $\beta$ co-prime. This is the content of the following three propositions. To make the parameter-dependence of $\mathscr{F}$ and F explicit, we shall use the notation $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}$.

First, we dispose of the special parameter values $\beta=0$ and $2 \beta=\alpha$, at which the singularities of F cancel out and the dynamics is trivial.

Proposition 3. If $\beta=0$ or $2 \beta=\alpha$, then F is the identity.

Proof. Let $\beta=0$. From (6), (7), and (10) we verify that $z_{m}=y_{m+1}$ and that $w_{m+1}=x_{m}$. We find

$$
x_{m}=w_{m+1}=\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(y_{m+1}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(z_{m}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(\mathrm{F}_{+}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)=\mathrm{F}\left(x_{m}\right) .
$$

Likewise, if $2 \beta=\alpha$, then $z_{m}=y_{m}$ and $w_{m}=x_{m}$, and we have

$$
x_{m}=w_{m}=\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(y_{m}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(z_{m}\right)=\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(\mathrm{F}_{+}\left(x_{m}\right)\right)=\mathrm{F}\left(x_{m}\right) .
$$

In both cases the sequences $\left(x_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(x_{m}\right)$ map into one another, and $x_{m}$ is a fixed point of F for all $m$.

Our claim now follows from the fact that the function $\tau$ is piecewise-constant and right-continuous at all its singularities.

Next we reduce the size of parameter space by establishing a symmetry.
Proposition 4. For all $\alpha, \beta$ we have $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \alpha-\beta}=\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$.

Proof. First we show that the singularities of the two maps coincide. The singularities of $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}^{-1}$ are $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(x_{m}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(x_{m}\right)$. We shall use equations (6-11). For all $\alpha, \beta$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(x_{m}^{\prime}(\alpha, \beta)\right) & =\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(y_{m}\right)=w_{m}=\frac{m}{2}(\alpha(m-1)+2 \beta) \\
& =x_{m}(\alpha, \alpha-\beta) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

For $\alpha>2 \beta$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(x_{m}(\alpha, \beta)\right) & =\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(z_{m}\right)=z_{m}-y_{m+1}+w_{m+1}  \tag{18}\\
& \left.=\frac{m}{2}(\alpha(m+1)+6 \beta)\right)+4 \beta \\
& =x_{m+1}^{\prime}(\alpha, \alpha-\beta) .
\end{align*}
$$

For $\alpha<2 \beta$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(x_{m}(\alpha, \beta)\right) & =\mathrm{F}_{-}\left(z_{m}\right)=z_{m}-y_{m}+w_{m}  \tag{19}\\
& =\frac{m}{2}(\alpha m-3(\alpha-2 \beta))-(\alpha-2 \beta) \\
& =x_{m}^{\prime}(\alpha, \alpha-\beta)
\end{align*}
$$

So $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \alpha-\beta}^{-1}$ have the same singularities. This result, together with the analogous calculations with exchanged parameters, show that the value of $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \beta}$ and $\mathrm{F}_{\alpha, \alpha-\beta}^{-1}$ at those singularities is the same. The right continuity of the functions F and $\mathrm{F}^{-1}$ establishes the result.

Finally, we show that it suffices to consider the case $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=1$. Let $d$ be a positive integer, and let us consider the map $\mathscr{F}_{d \alpha, d \beta}$, with $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)=1$. Then, for any $r$ in the range $0 \leqslant r<d$, the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{L}_{d, r}=(r+d \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invariant under $\mathscr{F}_{d \alpha, d \beta}$ [since in this case $x_{t+1} \equiv x_{t}(\bmod d)$, from (1)].
Proposition 5. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for any $r$ in the range $r \in\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$, the map $\mathscr{F}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is conjugate to the restriction of $\mathscr{F}_{d \alpha, d \beta}$ to $\mathbb{L}_{d, r}$.

Proof. The map

$$
\psi_{r}: \mathbb{Z}^{2} \rightarrow(r+d \mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z} \quad(x, y) \mapsto(r+d x, y)
$$

is clearly a bijection. We must show that

$$
\psi_{r} \circ \mathscr{F}_{\alpha, \beta}=\left.\mathscr{F}_{d \alpha, d \beta}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{d, r}} \circ \psi_{r} .
$$

We compute

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\psi_{r} \circ \mathscr{F}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)(x, y) & =\psi_{r}(x+\alpha y-\alpha \operatorname{sign}(x)+\beta, y-\operatorname{sign}(x)) \\
& =(r+d(x+\alpha y-\alpha \operatorname{sign}(x)+\beta), y-\operatorname{sign}(x))  \tag{21}\\
& =(r+d x+d \alpha y-d \alpha \operatorname{sign}(x)+d \beta), y-\operatorname{sign}(x)) .
\end{align*}
$$

Now, for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\operatorname{sign}(x)=\operatorname{sign}(d x+r)$. This is clearly true if $x \geqslant 0$, since $r \geqslant 0$. If $x<0$, then

$$
d x+r \leqslant-d+r \leqslant-1
$$

and hence $d x+r$ has the same sign as $x$. Using this identity in (21), we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\psi_{r} \circ \mathscr{F}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)(x, y) & =[(r+d x)+d \alpha y-d \alpha \operatorname{sign}(r+d x)+d \beta, y-\operatorname{sign}(r+d x)] \\
& =\left(\left.\mathscr{F}_{d \alpha, d \beta}\right|_{\mathbb{L}_{d, r}} \circ \psi_{r}\right)(x, y),
\end{aligned}
$$

as desired.

## 4. THE INTERVAL-EXCHANGE TRANSFORMATION

In this section we characterise the first-return map F defined in section 2 as an interval-exchange transformation, by computing its metric and combinatorial data. There are only two distinct permutations of the intervals, corresponding to the two parameter ranges $\alpha>2 \beta$ and $\alpha<2 \beta$, one permutation being the inverse of the other (proposition 6).

We define the sequence of intervals

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{m}=\left[\delta_{m-1}, \delta_{m}\right) \quad m=1,2, \ldots \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{m}$ is defined in (16). These intervals form a partition of $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$. The restriction of F to each interval is a translation, and hence F -being invertible- is an intervalexchange transformation.

For $\alpha>2 \beta$, and $m \geqslant 1$ the corresponding translations are given by

$$
\tau_{2 m}=\mathrm{F}\left(x_{m-1}^{\prime}\right)-x_{m-1}^{\prime}, \quad \tau_{2 m-1}=\mathrm{F}\left(x_{m-1}\right)-x_{m-1}
$$

while the interval lengths are

$$
\left|\Delta_{2 m}\right|=x_{m}-x_{m-1}^{\prime}, \quad\left|\Delta_{2 m-1}\right|=x_{m-1}^{\prime}-x_{m-1}
$$

Using (17) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{2 m} & =(2 \beta-\alpha)(2 m-1) \\
\tau_{2 m-1} & =4 \beta m \\
\left|\Delta_{2 m}\right| & =\beta(2 m-1)  \tag{23}\\
\left|\Delta_{2 m-1}\right| & =(\alpha-2 \beta) m
\end{align*}
$$

For $\alpha<2 \beta$, we have $\tau_{1}=\mathrm{F}(0),\left|\Delta_{1}\right|=x_{1}$, and for $m \geqslant 1$

$$
\tau_{2 m}=\mathrm{F}\left(x_{m}\right)-x_{m}, \quad \tau_{2 m+1}=\mathrm{F}\left(x_{m}^{\prime}\right)-x_{m}^{\prime}
$$

and

$$
\left|\Delta_{2 m}\right|=x_{m}^{\prime}-x_{m}, \quad\left|\Delta_{2 m+1}\right|=x_{m+1}-x_{m}^{\prime}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\tau_{1} & =2 \beta-\alpha & \\
\tau_{2 m} & =(2 m+1)(2 \beta-\alpha) & \\
\tau_{2 m+1} & =4 m(\beta-\alpha) & \alpha<2 \beta, m \geqslant 1 \\
\left|\Delta_{1}\right| & =\alpha-\beta &  \tag{24}\\
\left|\Delta_{2 m}\right| & =(2 m+1)(\alpha-\beta) & \\
\left|\Delta_{2 m+1}\right| & =m(2 \beta-\alpha) . &
\end{array}
$$

Let $\sigma$ be the permutation of $\mathbb{N}$ induced by F , whereby $\sigma(j)=i$ means that the $j$ th interval ends up in position $i$.
Proposition 6. The permutation $\sigma$ induced by the IET (23) and (24) is given by:

$$
\sigma(1,2,3, \ldots)= \begin{cases}(3,1,5,2,7,4,9,6, \ldots) & \alpha>2 \beta \\ (2,4,1,6,3,8,5,10 \ldots) & \alpha<2 \beta\end{cases}
$$

that is, for $n=1,2, \ldots$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\sigma(2)=1, & \sigma(2 n+2)=2 n, & \sigma(2 n-1)=2 n+1 & \alpha>2 \beta  \tag{25}\\
\sigma(1)=2, & \sigma(2 n)=2 n+2, & \sigma(2 n+1)=2 n-1 & \alpha<2 \beta .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. From proposition 4 it suffices to consider the case $\alpha>2 \beta$. Note that the inverse permutation $\sigma^{-1}$ for $\alpha>2 \beta$ is equal to the direct permutation $\sigma$ for $\alpha<2 \beta$, and vice-versa, in agreement with proposition 4 . Defining the sets of indices

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=\emptyset, \quad L_{i}=\left\{\sigma^{-1}(k): k<i\right\} \quad i>1 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and considering that $L_{i+1} \backslash L_{i}=\left\{\sigma^{-1}(i)\right\}$, we verify that (25) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
L_{2 n+1} & =\{1, \ldots, 2(n+1)\} \backslash\{2 n-1,2 n+1\} & n \geqslant 1 .  \tag{27}\\
L_{2 n} & =\{1, \ldots, 2 n\} \backslash\{2 n-1\} &
\end{array}
$$

From (22), we have, for all $i, j$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(j)=i \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{~F}\left(\delta_{j-1}\right)=\sum_{k \in L_{i}}\left|\Delta_{k}\right| . \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall establish the theorem via the rightmost identity, using formulae (16) and (23). For $j=2$, we find

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{1}\right)=\mathrm{F}\left(x_{0}^{\prime}\right)=x_{0}^{\prime}+\tau_{2}=0=\sum_{k \in L_{1}}\left|\Delta_{k}\right|
$$

(the sum is empty) which establishes that $\sigma(2)=1$.
Next we let $j=2 n-1$, and we shall use the identity

$$
x_{n+1}-x_{n-1}=\alpha(2 n+1)-2 \beta \quad n \geqslant 1,
$$

derived from (6). We compute:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}\left(\delta_{2 n-2}\right) & =\mathrm{F}\left(x_{n-1}\right)=x_{n-1}+\tau_{2 n-1} \\
& =x_{n+1}-\alpha(2 n+1)+2 \beta+4 \beta n \\
& =x_{n+1}-(\alpha-2 \beta)(2 n+1) \\
& =\delta_{2(n+1)}-\left|\Delta_{2 n-1}\right|-\left|\Delta_{2 n+1}\right| \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{2(n+1)}\left|\Delta_{k}\right|-\left|\Delta_{2 n-1}\right|-\left|\Delta_{2 n+1}\right| \\
& =\sum_{k \in L_{2 n+1}}\left|\Delta_{k}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\sigma(2 n-1)=2 n+1$, as desired.
Similarly, for $j=2 n$ we need the following identity

$$
x_{n}-x_{n}^{\prime}=(2 \beta-\alpha)(n+1) \quad n \geqslant 0
$$

derived from (6) and (15). Proceeding as above, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{F}\left(\delta_{2 n+1}\right) & =\mathrm{F}\left(x_{n}^{\prime}\right)=x_{n}^{\prime}+\tau_{2 n+2} \\
& =x_{n}-(\alpha-2 \beta)(n+1)-(\alpha-2 \beta)(2 n+1) \\
& =\delta_{2 n}-(\alpha-2 \beta) n \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{2 n}\left|\Delta_{k}\right|-\left|\Delta_{2 n-1}\right| \\
& =\sum_{k \in L_{2 n}}\left|\Delta_{k}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $\sigma(2 n+2)=2 n$, and the proof is complete.

## 5. Symbolic dynamics

In accordance with the results of section 3 , in the rest of this paper we shall assume that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are co-prime and that $\alpha>2 \beta$.

We introduce several related symbolic dynamics for the interval-exchange transformation F. Every $\Delta$-interval has an index $c$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(x)=n \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x \in \Delta_{n} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we glue adjacent $\Delta$-intervals pairwise, to obtain the blocks $\Xi_{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{n}:=\Delta_{2 n-1} \cup \Delta_{2 n} \quad n \geqslant 1 . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every block has a block index $b$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x)=n \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x \in \Xi_{n} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(x)=\left\lfloor\frac{c(x)+1}{2}\right\rfloor \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The code $C(x)=\left(c_{0}, c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots\right)$ of a point $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$is the sequence of natural numbers that label the intervals visited by the orbit of $x$, that is, $c_{t}=c\left(\mathrm{~F}^{t}(x)\right)$, with $c$ given by (29). The block code $B(x)=\left(b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots\right)$ is defined similarly, using the function (31).

We shall also consider translated codes, using the notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x)+k:=\left(c_{0}+k, c_{1}+k, c_{2}+k, \ldots\right) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The minimum point $\eta(x)$ is the smallest element of the orbit through $x$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(x):=\min \left\{\mathrm{F}^{t}(x): t \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transit time $t_{\eta}(x)$ is defined to be the integer $t$ such that $\mathrm{F}^{t}(x)=\eta(x)$, if $x$ is not periodic, and the smallest non-negative such integer if $x$ is periodic. In the former case, $t_{\eta}$ may be negative.

We introduce two auxiliary codes, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& C^{\circ}(x)=C(x)-c(x)  \tag{35}\\
& C^{*}(x)=C^{\circ}(\eta(x))=C^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{F}^{t_{\eta}}(x)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

called, respectively, the translated code and the normalised code of the point $x$. Each code defines an equivalence relation on $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$, and we shall denote the equivalence class of $x$ for each of the three $C$-codes by $[x],[x]^{\circ}$, and $[x]^{*}$, respectively.

For any $x$, the set $[x]$ is a segment (by which we mean a finite set of consecutive integers), being the intersection of pre-images of segments $\Delta_{n}$ under F . On each set $[x]$, the motion is rigid.

Lemma 7. The code $C(x)$ is periodic if and only if the orbit through $x$ is periodic, in which case the period of the code and that of the orbit coincide.

Proof. If the code is not periodic, then the orbit cannot be periodic. Assume now that $C(x)$ is periodic with period $T$. Since $[x]$ is finite, the orbit through $x$ must be periodic with period $n T$, for some $n \geqslant 1$. Now, for any $k$, we have $x-\mathrm{F}^{T}(x)=$ $\mathrm{F}^{k T}(x)-\mathrm{F}^{(k+1) T}(x)$, this difference being determined solely by the periodic part of the code. Thus $0=x-\mathrm{F}^{n T}(x)=n\left(x-\mathrm{F}^{T}(x)\right)$ and hence $n=1$ and $x=\mathrm{F}^{T}(x)$.

Given two codes $C$ and $C^{\prime}$, we write $C<C^{\prime}$ to mean that either $c_{0}<c_{0}^{\prime}$ or there is $i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $c_{i}<c_{i}^{\prime}$ and $c_{k}=c_{k}^{\prime}$ for $k=0, \ldots, i-1$. The set of all codes (of any of the above types) is therefore totally ordered. Using the notation (33), we have, for any $k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(x)<C\left(x^{\prime}\right) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad C(x)+k<C\left(x^{\prime}\right)+k \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{t}(x)=C\left(\mathrm{~F}^{t}(x)\right) \quad t \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the codes for all possible initial conditions along the orbit of $x$. Then we define the minimum code $\underline{C}(x)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{C}(x)=\min \left\{C_{t}(x): t \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the minimum is computed with respect to the above ordering. Such a minimum obviously exists. The following result connects the minimum point to the minimum code.
Proposition 8. For all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$we have

$$
\underline{C}(x)=C_{t_{\eta}}(x) .
$$

To prove this result, we need a lemma.
Lemma 9. For all $x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, if $C(x)<C\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ then $x<x^{\prime}$. Conversely, if $x<x^{\prime}$, then $C(x) \leqslant C\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Let $C(x)<C\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. If $c_{0}<c_{0}^{\prime}$, we have finished. Otherwise, let $i$ be as in the definition of ordering of sequences. Since $c_{i}<c_{i}^{\prime}$ we have that $\Delta_{c_{i}}$ lies on the left of $\Delta_{c_{i}^{\prime}}$, and hence $\mathrm{F}^{i}(x)<\mathrm{F}^{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$. Now

$$
\mathrm{F}^{i}(x)=x+\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \tau_{c_{k}}<\mathrm{F}^{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)=x^{\prime}+\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \tau_{c_{k}^{\prime}},
$$

where the $\tau \mathrm{s}$ are the translations. By assumption, the corresponding terms under the summation symbol are the same, and hence their sum is the same, giving $x<x^{\prime}$.

Conversely, assume that $x<x^{\prime}$. If $C(x) \neq C\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, then there is a smallest index $i$ for which $c_{i} \neq c_{i}^{\prime}$. If $i=0$, then $c(x)<c\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, and we have finished. Otherwise, the argument used above gives that $\mathrm{F}^{i}(x)<\mathrm{F}^{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, and since $c\left(\mathrm{~F}^{i}(x)\right) \neq c\left(\mathrm{~F}^{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)$, then $c_{i}<c_{i}^{\prime}$, necessarily, whence $C(x)<C\left(x^{\prime}\right)$, as desired.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 8. Let $C_{t}(x)$ be as in (37). We will show that $C_{t_{\eta}}(x) \leqslant$ $C_{t}(x)$, for all $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $c^{-}$be the smallest code element:

$$
c^{-}(x)=\min \left\{c_{t}(x): t \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

and let

$$
T(x)=\left\{t \in \mathbb{Z}: c_{t}(x)=c^{-}(x)\right\}
$$

Clearly, $t_{\eta} \in T(x)$. If $t \notin T(x)$, then $C_{t_{\eta}}(x)<C_{t}(x)$, since the former code has a smaller first element. So we only need to show that $C_{t_{\eta}}(x)<C_{t}(x)$ for $t \in T(x) \backslash$ $\left\{t_{\eta}\right\}$. For this purpose it suffices to establish that $C_{t_{\eta}}(x) \neq C_{t}(x)$. Indeed, if $C_{t_{\eta}}(x)$ were greater than $C_{t}(x)$, then lemma 9 would give $\mathrm{F}^{t_{\eta}}(x)>\mathrm{F}^{t}(x)$, contrary to the definition of minimum point.

We have two cases.

Case I: $T(x)$ is finite. Then the orbit through $x$ is not periodic. Take any $t \in$ $T(x) \backslash\left\{t_{\eta}\right\}$. Then the number of entries $c^{-}$appearing in the $\operatorname{codes} C_{t_{\eta}}(x)$ and $C_{t}(x)$ is different, and hence $C_{t_{\eta}}(x) \neq C_{t}(x)$, as desired.

Case II: $T(x)$ is infinite. Then the orbit is periodic, since F is invertible and the orbit visits infinitely many times the finite set $\Delta_{c^{-}}$. Let $\ell$ be the period of the orbit (hence of the code, from lemma 7), and choose $t$ in the range $t_{\eta}<t<t_{\eta}+\ell$. Then the quantity $\delta=x_{t}-x_{t_{\eta}+\ell}$ is positive, because $x_{t_{\eta}+\ell}$ is the minimum point and $x_{t}$ is not. Assume now that $C_{t_{\eta}}(x)=C_{t}(x)$. Then $x_{t_{\eta}}-x_{t_{\eta}+\ell-t}$ is also equal to $\delta$, since it is determined by the same code. But this would imply that $x_{t_{\eta}+\ell-t}$ is smaller than the minimum point, a contradiction. Thus $C_{t_{\eta}}(x) \neq C_{t}(x)$, as desired. The proof is complete.

## 6. The Reduced system

If we order the cylinder sets $[\cdot]$ of the $C$-code according to the lexicographical ordering, then from lemma 9, the resulting sequence $X_{0}, X_{1}, \ldots$, has $X_{0}=[0]$, and $X_{n+1}$ lying immediately to the right of $X_{n}$. The dynamics of F on $\mathbb{Z}_{+}$induces a dynamics on cylinder sets $[x] \mapsto[\mathrm{F}(x)]$, which we shall represent as dynamics on integers. There are two problems to be dealt with. First, there are anomalies near the origin; these are circumvented by looking at large amplitudes. Second, there are anomalous cylinder sets, whose size does not increase linearly with the block order; these are dealt with by scaling.

In this section we derive the so-called reduced interval-exchange map $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$, obtained from F by scaling coordinates in such a way as to obtain a spatially periodic system, whose period is the block size. The points in the phase space of the reduced system represent the so-called regular cylinder sets of the $C$-code. The latter correspond to a set of full measure of orbits of F , as we shall see in section 7.

Using formulae (23), the following asymptotic relations for $m \rightarrow \infty$ are established at once:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\Delta_{2 m-1}\right| & \sim(\alpha-2 \beta) m & \left|\Delta_{2 m}\right| & \sim 2 \beta m  \tag{39}\\
\tau_{2 m+1} & \sim 4 \beta m & \tau_{2 m} & \sim 2(2 \beta-\alpha) m .
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\Xi_{m}$ be as in (30). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Xi_{m}\right|=\left|\Delta_{2 m-1}\right| \cup\left|\Delta_{2 m}\right|=\alpha m-\beta, \quad\left|\Xi_{m}\right| \sim \alpha m \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Scaling by $m$, and taking the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain a periodic interval-exchange transformation, whose period is the block length $\alpha$, which we then extend to the whole of $\mathbb{Z}$. For definiteness, we shall place the left end-point of the interval $\Delta_{1}$ at the origin. (We shall make a different choice in section 7.) This is the reduced system. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrlr}
\left|\Delta_{2 m-1}^{\prime}\right| & =\alpha-2 \beta & \left|\Delta_{2 m}^{\prime}\right| & =2 \beta & \\
\tau_{2 m-1}^{\prime} & =4 \beta & \tau_{2 m}^{\prime} & =2(2 \beta-\alpha) & \alpha>2 \beta
\end{array}
$$



Figure 4. The translation surface of the reduced system, constructed from the infinite region lying between the two polygonal lines, by identifying pairs of parallel sides according to (42). (Two pairs of corresponding sides are marked explicitly.) The IET is the first-return map to the dotted line for the vertical flow, the ticks marking the boundary of the blocks. The points $a$ and $b$ are two of the four infinitely branched singular points on the surface.
from which we obtain

$$
\mathrm{F}^{\prime}: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad z \mapsto \begin{cases}z+4 \beta & \text { if } z(\bmod \alpha)<\alpha-2 \beta  \tag{42}\\ z-2(\alpha-2 \beta) & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

The reduction of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ modulo $\alpha$ is a rotation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{\prime}(z) \equiv z+4 \beta(\bmod \alpha) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The translation surface of $F^{\prime}$ is depicted in figure 4.
The $B$ and $C$-codes for the reduced system are defined in the obvious way. Then we determine the domains corresponding to transitions between intervals and blocks. Four distinct parameter ranges need to be considered. In each case, we display a partition of the blocks consisting of four half-open intervals. We provide the length of each interval, and two associated transitions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} c(z)=c\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}(z)\right)-c(z) \quad \mathrm{d} b(z)=b\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}(z)\right)-b(z) \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

The former is the transition between IET domains, expressed as the change of the $c$-code for both odd-order (1) and even order (2) intervals; the latter is the transition between blocks, expressed as the change of the $b$-code.

Case I: $0 \leqslant 6 \beta<\alpha$

| interval | length | d $c$ | $\mathrm{~d} b$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $0 \leqslant z<\alpha-6 \beta$ | $\alpha-6 \beta$ | $1: 0$ | 0 |
| $\alpha-6 \beta \leqslant z<\alpha-4 \beta$ | $2 \beta$ | $1:+1$ | 0 |
| $\alpha-4 \beta \leqslant z<\alpha-2 \beta$ | $2 \beta$ | $1:+2$ | +1 |
| $\alpha-2 \beta \leqslant z<\alpha$ | $2 \beta$ | $2:-3$ | -1 |

Case II: $4 \beta \leqslant \alpha<6 \beta$

$$
\begin{array}{lllr}
0 \leqslant z<\alpha-4 \beta & \alpha-4 \beta & 1:+1 & 0 \\
\alpha-4 \beta \leqslant z<\alpha-2 \beta & 2 \beta & 1:+2 & +1  \tag{46}\\
\alpha-2 \beta \leqslant z<2(\alpha-3 \beta) & \alpha-4 \beta & 2:-3 & -1 \\
2(\alpha-3 \beta) \leqslant z<\alpha & 6 \beta-\alpha & 2:-2 & -1
\end{array}
$$

Case III: $3 \beta \leqslant \alpha<4 \beta$

$$
\begin{array}{lllr}
0 \leqslant z<2(\alpha-3 \beta) & 2(\alpha-3 \beta) & 1:+2 & +1 \\
\alpha-4 \beta \leqslant z<\alpha-2 \beta & 4 \beta-\alpha & 1:+3 & +1  \tag{47}\\
\alpha-2 \beta \leqslant z<2(\alpha-2 \beta) & \alpha-2 \beta & 2:-2 & -1 \\
2(\alpha-2 \beta) \leqslant z<\alpha & 4 \beta-\alpha & 2:-1 & 0
\end{array}
$$

Case IV: $2 \beta \leqslant \alpha<3 \beta$

$$
\begin{array}{lllr}
0 \leqslant z<\alpha-2 \beta & \alpha-2 \beta & 1:+3 & +1 \\
\alpha-2 \beta \leqslant z<2(\alpha-2 \beta) & \alpha-2 \beta & 2:-2 & -1  \tag{48}\\
2(\alpha-2 \beta) \leqslant z<3(\alpha-2 \beta) & \alpha-2 \beta & 2:-1 & 0 \\
3(\alpha-2 \beta) \leqslant z<\alpha & 2(3 \beta-\alpha) & 2: 0 & 0
\end{array}
$$

From the above data, we see that the $c$-code can be recovered from the $b$-code, as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& c(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2 b(z) & \text { if } \mathrm{d} b(z)=-1 \\
2 b(z)-1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.  \tag{49}\\
& c(z)= \begin{cases}2 b(z)-1 & \text { if } \mathrm{d} b(z)=+1 \\
2 b(z) & \text { otherwise }\end{cases} \\
& c(\beta>\alpha
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $B$ determines $C$, while the inverse relation is established by (32).
The next result establishes the dynamics of the reduced system.

Theorem 10. Let $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha / \operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, 2 \beta)$. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is odd, then all orbits of the reduced system are periodic with period $\bar{\alpha}$; in addition, all orbits have the same normalised code. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, then all orbits escape to $\pm \infty$. Specifically, if we stipulate that 0 is the left end-point of a block, then

$$
\left(\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha / 4}(z)=z+\varepsilon(z) \alpha \quad \varepsilon(z)= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if } z \equiv 0,1(\bmod 4)  \tag{50}\\ -1 & \text { if } z \equiv 2,3(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We consider the transition domains with non-zero value of $\mathrm{d} b$. From tables (45)-(48) we see that for any choice of parameters, the interval with $\mathrm{d} b=+1$ and that with $\mathrm{d} b=-1$ have the same length.

If $\bar{\alpha}$ is odd, then we distinguish two cases. If $\alpha$ is odd, then there is a single orbit modulo $\alpha$. Because the transition intervals have equal length, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathrm{~d} b\left(z_{t}\right)=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, modular periodicity corresponds to periodicity in $\mathbb{Z}$. If $\alpha$ is even, then there are two orbits of period $\bar{\alpha}=\alpha / 2$, and the transition intervals have even length. Since each orbit has the same number of elements in each interval, equation (51) holds as well. Furthermore, both orbits have the same code.

If $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, then $\alpha$ is divisible by 4 . The number of elements of the two transition intervals with non-zero value of $\mathrm{d} b(z)$ is divisible by 2 but not by 4 . Furthermore such intervals are adjacent, and their combined length is divisible by 4 . In the dynamics modulo $\alpha$ there are four orbits of period $\alpha / 4$, from which it follows that the sum $\kappa(z)$ is equal to +1 for two orbits and to -1 for the other two. Inspecting formulae (45)-(48), we see that for all parameter ranges the left end-point of the $\mathrm{d} b=+1$ region is congruent modulo 4 to the left end-point of the block. Considering that the length of that region is congruent to 2 modulo 4 , if we place the origin at the left end-point of the first block, then it follows that $\kappa(z)=+1$ if $z \equiv 0,1(\bmod 4)$ and -1 otherwise, which is formula (50).

## 7. REGULAR POINTS

We define the $\alpha$-code of a point $x$ to be the finite sequence consisting of the first $\alpha$ terms in the code $C(x)$ under F . An $\alpha$-code of F is said to be regular if it is also the $\alpha$-code of some orbit of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$. In this context, we also use the terms regular point (a point whose $\alpha$-code is regular), regular cylinder set (the cylinder set of a regular $\alpha$-code), etc.

Plainly, irregular points must exist, because the phase space of $F$ is bounded below and that of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ is not. Moreover, if $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, then the number of irregular points is necessarily infinite, since there is an infinite number of orbits with a minimum point. The situation far from the origin is captured by the following conjecture.

Conjecture. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is odd, then all but finitely many points are regular. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, then all but finitely many blocks have the same (positive) number of irregular points.

In this section we establish the following weaker statement.
Theorem 11. Let $\Gamma$ be the set of regular points of the Poincaré map F. Then $\Gamma$ has full natural density. Moreover, we have the block decomposition

$$
\Xi_{n}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{\bar{\alpha}-1} \Xi_{n, k} \cup \Lambda_{n}
$$

where the $\Xi_{n, k}$ s are the regular cylinder sets in the $n$th block, ordered from left to right (equivalently, by the code ordering introduced in section 5), $\Lambda_{n}$ is the set of irregular points, and

$$
\left|\Xi_{n, k}\right|=n \operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, 2 \beta)+O(1), \quad\left|\Lambda_{n}\right|=O(1)
$$

Proof. We fix a sufficiently large integer $n$. Let the stretched map be the IET obtained from $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ [see (39)] by multiplying by $n$ all interval lengths and translations. In what follows, the symbols $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}, \Xi^{\prime}, \Delta^{\prime}, \tau^{\prime}$ will refer to the stretched system for the current choice of $n$, with $\Delta_{n}^{\prime}=\left[\delta_{n-1}^{\prime}, \delta_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ [cf. (16) and (22)].

The left and middle singularities of the block $\Xi_{n+k}$ are, respectively,

$$
\delta_{2(n+k-1)}=x_{n+k-1} \quad \delta_{2(n+k)-1}=x_{n+k-1}^{\prime}
$$

We align the left end-points of the blocks $\Xi_{n}$ and $\Xi_{n}^{\prime}$ by letting $\delta_{2(n-1)}^{\prime}=\delta_{2(n-1)}=$ $x_{n-1}$. Then the left and middle singularities of the block $\Xi_{n+k}^{\prime}$ are, respectively,

$$
\delta_{2(n+k-1)}^{\prime}=x_{n-1}+k n \alpha \quad \delta_{2(n+k)-1}^{\prime}=x_{n-1}+k n \alpha+n(\alpha-2 \beta)
$$

The mismatch of the corresponding singularities is, respectively,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial \delta_{n, k}^{\ell}=\delta_{2(n+k-1)}-\delta_{2(n+k-1)}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} k(\alpha k-\alpha-2 \beta) \\
& \partial \delta_{n, k}^{m}=\delta_{2(n+k)-1}-\delta_{2(n+k)-1}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2} k(\alpha k+\alpha-6 \beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

is independent of $n$. Hence the quantity

$$
b_{1}=\max _{|k| \leqslant \alpha}\left\{\left|\partial \delta_{n, k}^{\ell}\right|,\left|\partial \delta_{n, k}^{m}\right|\right\}
$$

which represents the maximum distance between singularities over the largest region that can be spanned with $\alpha$ iterates, is independent of both $n$ and $k$.

The difference between the corresponding translations are given by ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \tau_{2(n+k)-1} & =\tau_{2(n+k)-1}-\tau_{2(n+k)-1}^{\prime}=4 \beta k \\
\partial \tau_{2(n+k)} & =\tau_{2(n+k)}-\tau_{2(n+k)}^{\prime}=(2 \beta-\alpha)(2 k-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

We choose $x \in \Xi_{n}^{\prime}$, and we let $C^{\prime}(x)=\left(c_{0}^{\prime}, c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{\alpha-1}^{\prime}\right)$ be the $\alpha$-code of $x$ under $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$. The data (45)-(48) show that the orbit of $x$ under $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ will sweep at most $\alpha$ adjacent blocks, so that $k$ will be in the range $[n-\alpha+1, n+\alpha-1]$.

The maximum distance between the orbit of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ and the orbit of F with the same code is estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max _{t \leqslant \alpha}\left|\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \partial \tau_{c_{i}^{\prime}}\right| & \leqslant \max _{t \leqslant \alpha} \sum_{i=0}^{t-1}\left|\partial \tau_{c_{i}^{\prime}}\right| \leqslant \max _{t \leqslant \alpha}\left(t \max _{i \leqslant \alpha}\left|\partial \tau_{c_{i}^{\prime}}\right|\right) \\
& \leqslant \alpha \max _{i \leqslant \alpha}\left|\partial \tau_{c_{i}^{\prime}}\right|=: b_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\partial \tau_{c_{i}^{\prime}}$ is independent of $n$, so is the constant $b_{2}$.
Let now $b=b_{1}+b_{2}$; then $b$ depends on $\alpha$ and $\beta$ but not on $n$. Let us choose $n>2 b$. Now, the equivalence classes of the stretched system coincide with the $\alpha$ cylinder sets. From the argument used in the proof of theorem 10 we deduce that each class has size $n \operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, 2 \beta)$. It follows that for each class $[y]^{\prime} \subset \Xi_{n}^{\prime}$ we can find a point $x$ which lies at distance greater than $b$ from the end-points of $[y]^{\prime}$. Now consider the first $\alpha$ points in the orbit of $x$ under the maps F and $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$, respectively. Because of the way $b$ was defined, no singularity of F or $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ will lie between corresponding points of the two orbits. This means that the $\alpha$-codes of the two maps are the same. Since the same code is clearly available for the unstretched system, we have that the point $x$ belongs to some regular cylinder set $\Xi_{n, k} \subset \Xi_{n}$, and that such set has size $n \operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, 2 \beta)+O(1)$, where $O(1)<2 b$.

Let $\Gamma$ be the union of all regular $\alpha$-cylinder sets. Keeping in mind that $\left|\Xi_{n}^{\prime}\right|-$ $\left|\Xi_{n}\right|=\beta$, we have

$$
\left|\Gamma \cap \Xi_{n}\right| \geqslant \alpha(n-2 b)-\beta
$$

and

$$
\left|\Lambda_{n}\right|=\left|\Xi_{n} \backslash \Gamma\right| \leqslant 2 \alpha b
$$

The density $\mathscr{D}(\Gamma)$ of $\Gamma$ is then given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{D}(\Gamma)=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N}\{x \in \Gamma: x \leqslant N\} & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x_{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left|\Gamma \cap \Xi_{k}\right| \\
& \geqslant \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x_{n}} \sum_{k=2 b+1}^{n}(\alpha(n-2 b)-\beta) \\
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{x_{n}}\left[\frac{\alpha n(n+1)}{2}+O(n)\right]=1
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]where we have used the expression (6) for $x_{n}$. This is the desired result.

## 8. Periodic orbits

In this section we prove the first statement of theorem 1: if $\bar{\alpha}$ is odd, then the periodic points have have full natural density.

Let $C$ be a regular $\alpha$-code, and let $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ be, respectively, the multi-sets of even and odd integers in $C$. From the periodicity of the reduced orbit and (41), we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\sum_{c \in C} \tau_{c}^{\prime}=4 \beta\left|C_{1}\right|+2(2 \beta-\alpha)\left|C_{0}\right| \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $\left|C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{0}\right|=\alpha$ (if $\alpha$ is even, we go through the period twice), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{1}\right|=\alpha-2 \beta \quad\left|C_{0}\right|=2 \beta \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now consider an F-orbit driven by the same code. Using (23) and (53) we obtain:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{c \in C} \tau_{c} & =\sum_{c \in C_{0}} \tau_{c}+\sum_{c \in C_{1}} \tau_{c} \\
& =\sum_{c \in C_{0}}(2 \beta-\alpha)(c-1)+\sum_{c \in C_{1}} 2 \beta(c+1) \\
& =(2 \beta-\alpha) \sum_{c \in C_{0}} c+2 \beta \sum_{c \in C_{1}} c+(\alpha-2 \beta)\left|C_{0}\right|+2 \beta\left|C_{1}\right| \\
& =(2 \beta-\alpha) \sum_{c \in C_{0}} c+2 \beta \sum_{c \in C_{1}} c+4 \beta(\alpha-2 \beta) . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

An F-orbit with $\alpha$-code $C$ will be periodic iff the rightmost expression is zero. We begin to analyse this expression by introducing the following function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \quad S(x)=(\alpha-2 \beta) \sum_{c \in C_{0}(x)} c-2 \beta \sum_{c \in C_{1}(x)} c \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(x)$ is the $\alpha$-code of the reduced system $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$, and $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ are the multi-sets of even and odd elements in $C$.

Lemma 12. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is odd, then the function $S$ is constant.

Proof. Since $\bar{\alpha}$ is odd, every $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$-orbit is periodic with period $\alpha / \operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, 2 \beta)$, from theorem 10. Since the ordering of the elements of $C=C(x)$ is immaterial, the value of $S=S(x)$ is the same for all points of the orbit of $x$. Now, for any integer $k$ we
have, using (53):

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\alpha-2 \beta) \sum_{c \in C_{0}+k} c-2 \beta \sum_{c \in C_{1}+k} c & =S+(\alpha-2 \beta) \sum_{c \in C_{0}} k-2 \beta \sum_{c \in C_{1}} k \\
& =S+k(\alpha-2 \beta)\left|C_{0}\right|-2 \beta k\left|C_{1}\right| \\
& =S+k(\alpha-2 \beta) 2 \beta-2 \beta k(\alpha-2 \beta)=S .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that the value of $S$ is the same if we replace $C$ with the normalised code $C^{*}$. Theorem 10 says that there is only one normalised code. Hence, in the periodic case, $S$ is constant.

We define the analogue of $S$ for the $b$-code:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(x)=(\alpha-2 \beta) \sum_{c \in C_{0}(x)} b(c)-2 \beta \sum_{c \in C_{1}(x)} b(c) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over the codes of the first $\alpha$ points of the orbit with initial condition $x$. The expressions $S$ and $R$ are related as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
S & =(\alpha-2 \beta) \sum_{c \in C_{0}} 2 b-2 \beta \sum_{c \in C_{1}}(2 b-1) \\
& =2\left[(\alpha-2 \beta) \sum_{c \in C_{0}} b-2 \beta \sum_{c \in C_{1}} b+\beta \sum_{c \in C_{1}} 1\right] \\
& =2 R+2 \beta(\alpha-2 \beta) \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $R$ is constant, from lemma 12.
For $j=0,1$, let the set $X_{j}(x)$ be defined by the condition $x \in X_{j} \Leftrightarrow c(x) \in C_{j}$. We define a second variant of $S$ and $R$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)=(\alpha-2 \beta) \sum_{y \in X_{0}(x)} y-2 \beta \sum_{y \in X_{1}(x)} y \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

again summing over the initial segment of an orbit with initial condition $x$. To express $T$ in terms of $R$, we consider quotient and remainder of the division of $y$ by $\alpha$ :

$$
y=\alpha(b(y)-1)+r(y) \quad \text { where } \quad 0 \leqslant r(y)<\alpha
$$

Since $r$ is determined by the dynamics modulo $\alpha$, over an $\alpha$-segment of orbit, we have

$$
(\alpha-2 \beta) \sum_{y \in X_{0}} 1-2 \beta \sum_{y \in X_{1}} 1=(\alpha-2 \beta) 2 \beta-2 \beta(\alpha-2 \beta)=0 .
$$

Considering the above identity, and introducing the short-hand notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\alpha-2 \beta, \quad w=2 \beta, \quad u+w=\alpha \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

the expression (56) with $\alpha b(y)=y+\alpha-r(y)$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha R(x) & =T(x)+\left[u \sum_{y \in X_{0}}(\alpha-r(y))-w \sum_{y \in X_{1}}(\alpha-r(y)]\right. \\
& =T(x)-u \sum_{y=u}^{\alpha-1} y+w \sum_{y=0}^{u-1} y \\
& =T(x)-\frac{u w}{2} \alpha
\end{aligned}
$$

So $T$ is constant as well, and, using (57)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=\alpha R+\alpha \beta(\alpha-2 \beta), \quad \text { whence } \quad \alpha S=2 T . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result is crucial.

Lemma 13. Let $T$ be as in (58). Then, if $\bar{\alpha}$ is odd, we have $T=2 \alpha \beta(\alpha-2 \beta)$.

Proof. We consider the uniform probability measure $\mu_{0}$ on the first block $\Xi_{1}^{\prime}=$ $\{0, \ldots, \alpha-1\}$, and its images $\mu_{t}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{0}(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \delta_{k, x} \quad \mu_{t}(x)=\mu_{0}\left(\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}\right)^{-t}(x)\right) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{k, x}$ is Kronecker's delta. For $j=0,1$, let $\chi_{j}$ be the characteristic function of the set $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}: c(x)(\bmod 2)=j\}$. We decompose $\mu_{t}$ as follows

$$
\mu_{t}(x)=\mu_{t, 0}(x)+\mu_{t, 1}(x) \quad \mu_{t, j}=\mu_{t}(x) \chi_{j}(x)
$$

For all $t$, the support of $\mu_{t}$ consists of a complete set of residues modulo $\alpha$. This is seen by noting that if two distinct points in the support of $\mu_{t}$ were congruent modulo $\alpha$, then they would belong to different blocks, and -due to spatial periodicitythe same would hold for their respective initial points, which is not the case. (Alternatively, the dynamics modulo $\alpha$ is a translation [see (43)], for which the measure $\mu_{0}$ is invariant.) Since the value of $\mu_{t, j}(x)$ depends only on the value of $x$ modulo $\alpha$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{t, 0}(x)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{0,0}(x)=\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha} \quad \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{t, 1}(x)=\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{0,1}(x)=1-\frac{2 \beta}{\alpha} . \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the random variable $\xi(x)=x$. We begin to show that the expectation $\mathbb{E}_{t}(\xi)$ with respect to $\mu_{t}$ does not depend on $t$. Using the identities above, we find
(all sums are over $\mathbb{Z}$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{t+1}(\xi) & =\sum_{x} x \mu_{t+1}(x)=\sum_{x} x \mu_{t}\left(\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(x)\right) \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}(y)} \mathrm{F}^{\prime}(y) \mu_{t, 0}(y)+\sum_{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}(y)} \mathrm{F}^{\prime}(y) \mu_{t, 1}(y) \\
& =\sum_{y}(y-2 u) \mu_{t, 0}(y)+\sum_{y}(y+2 w) \mu_{t, 1}(y) \\
& =\sum_{y} y\left(\mu_{t, 0}(y)+\mu_{t, 1}(y)\right)-2 u \sum_{y} \mu_{t, 0}(y)+2 w \sum_{y} \mu_{t, 1}(y) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{t}(\xi)-2 u \frac{w}{\alpha}+2 w \frac{u}{\alpha}=\mathbb{E}_{t}(\xi),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the change in the range of summation is justified by the invertibility of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{t}(\xi)=\mathbb{E}_{0}(\xi)=\frac{\alpha-1}{2} \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we consider the evolution of the second moment

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{t+1}\left(\xi^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{t}\left(\xi^{2}\right)= & \sum_{x} x^{2} \mu_{t}\left(\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(x)\right)-\sum_{x} x^{2} \mu_{t}(x) \\
= & \sum_{x}\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\prime}(x)^{2}-x^{2}\right)\left(\mu_{t, 0}(x)+\mu_{t, 1}(x)\right) \\
= & 4 w \sum_{x} x \mu_{t, 1}(x)-4 u \sum_{x} x \mu_{t, 0}(x) \\
& \quad+4 w^{2} \sum_{x} \mu_{t, 1}(x)+4 u^{2} \sum_{x} \mu_{t, 0}(x) \\
= & 4\left(Q_{t}+u w\right) \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
Q_{t}=w \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}-u \mathbb{E}_{t, 0} \quad \mathbb{E}_{t, j}=\sum_{x} x \mu_{t, j}(x)
$$

Using (59), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{t} & =(\alpha-u) \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}-u \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}=\alpha \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}-u\left(\mathbb{E}_{t, 0}+\mathbb{E}_{t, 1}\right) \\
& =\alpha \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}-u \mathbb{E}_{t}(\xi)=\alpha \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}-u \mathbb{E}_{0} \tag{65}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{t}=w \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}-(\alpha-w) \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}=-\alpha \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}+w \mathbb{E}_{0} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Iterating (64) over one period of the orbits of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$, and using (65), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}\left(\xi^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{0}\left(\xi^{2}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1}\left(\mathbb{E}_{t+1}\left(\xi^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{t}\left(\xi^{2}\right)\right) \\
& =4 \alpha\left(u w-u \mathbb{E}_{0}+\sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}=\frac{u}{2}(u-w-1)
$$

Repeating the same procedure with (66), we find:

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}=\frac{w}{2}(3 u+w-1) .
$$

Combining the last two expressions and using (59), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha-2 \beta) \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}-2 \beta \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}=2 \alpha \beta(\alpha-2 \beta) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final step is to express $T$ in terms of the sum above. We let $y_{t}^{(x)}=\left(\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\right)^{t}(x)$, and exploit the fact that $T$ is constant, to find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
T & =u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(x)} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(x)}\right)-w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(x)} \chi_{1}\left(y_{t}^{(x)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{x=0}^{\alpha-1}\left[u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(x)} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(x)}\right)-w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(x)} \chi_{1}\left(y_{t}^{(x)}\right)\right] \\
& =u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1}\left(\sum_{x=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(x)} \frac{\chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(x)}\right)}{\alpha}\right)-w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1}\left(\sum_{x=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(x)} \frac{\chi_{1}\left(y_{t}^{(x)}\right)}{\alpha}\right) \\
& =u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}-w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparison with (67) gives the desired result.
We can now complete the proof of the first statement of theorem 1.
Completion of the proof of the first part of Theorem 1. From lemma 13 , and the second formula in (60) we obtain

$$
S=\frac{2}{\alpha} T=4 \beta(\alpha-2 \beta)
$$

From this, and the definition (55), it follows that the total translation given by equation (54) is equal to zero. If $\operatorname{gcd}(\alpha, 2 \beta)=2$, then the code is periodic with period $\bar{\alpha}$,
and hence the sum of the first $\bar{\alpha}$ terms in (54) is equal to zero. This means that any orbit of F whose $\alpha$-code is the same as some $\alpha$-code of $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ is periodic with period $\bar{\alpha}$. Theorem (11) now states that the density of points for which this property holds is 1 , which completes the proof of the first statement of the theorem.

## 9. ESCAPE ORBITS

In this section we prove the second statement of theorem 1: if $\bar{\alpha}$ is even (hence $\alpha$ is a multiple of 4), then the unbounded orbits have full natural density.

In this parameter range all orbits of the reduced system are unbounded (theorem 10), and from (50) we have that $\left(\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\right)^{\alpha / 4}(z)=z+\alpha \varepsilon(z)$, for all $z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then theorem 11 implies that there is a set $\Gamma$ of full density, such as, if $x \in \Gamma$, then $x$ has the same $\alpha$-code as some point $z=z(x)$, and hence $\mathrm{F}^{\alpha / 4}(x)$ belongs to one of the blocks adjacent to the block of $x$. Moreover, the overall translation is approximately equal to the local block length, and we must determine its exact value [see formula (80)], to ensure that this translation can be sustained indefinitely. Note that if this is the case, then the orbits escape quadratically, shifting by one block every $\bar{\alpha}$ iterations.

Let $C$ be a regular $\alpha$-code, with $C_{0}$ and $C_{1}$ as above. Considering the argument used in the last part of the proof of theorem 10, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{0}(x)\right|=2 \beta-2 \varepsilon(x) \quad\left|C_{1}(x)\right|=\alpha-2 \beta+2 \varepsilon(x) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (54) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{c \in C(x)} \tau_{c}=-S(x)+4 \beta(\alpha-2 \beta)+2 \varepsilon(x)(4 \beta-\alpha) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is defined in (55).
The functions $S, R, T$ are no longer constant. They are related by the formulae

$$
\begin{align*}
S(x) & =2 R(x)+2 \beta[\alpha-2 \beta+2 \varepsilon(x)]  \tag{70}\\
T(x) & =\alpha R(x)+2 \alpha^{2} \varepsilon(x)+V(x) \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(x)=2 u[\beta-\varepsilon(x)][\beta-\varepsilon(x)+u+1-\cos (\pi z / 2)] \\
&-w[u+2 \varepsilon(x)]\left[\frac{u+2 \varepsilon(x)}{2}-1-\cos (\pi z / 2)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha S(x)=2 T(x)-4 \alpha^{2} \varepsilon(x)-2 V(x)+\alpha w(u+2 \varepsilon(x)) \tag{72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (68), and keeping in mind that, for all $x$, we have $C(x+\alpha)=C(x)+2$ and $C(x+\cos (\pi x))=C(x)$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x)=T(x+\cos (\pi x))+2 \cos (\pi x) \varepsilon(x)=T(x+\alpha)+2 \alpha^{2} \varepsilon(x) \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next task is to adapt to the escape regime the probabilistic argument used in the periodic case (lemma 13). We shall require a greater generality, and consider
iterates of initial measures supported on shifted intervals $[z, z+\alpha)$ for some $z \in$ $\mathbb{Z}$. To lighten up the notation, we shall continue to use the symbol $\mu_{t}$ for these measures, highlighting the dependence on $z$ only where necessary.

We decompose $\mu_{t}$ into the sum of $\mu_{t}^{+}$and $\mu_{t}^{-}$, supported, respectively, on the residue classes 0,1 and 2,3 modulo 4 . We use the unified notation $\mu^{\varepsilon}$, where $\varepsilon=$ $\pm$ refers to sign of $\varepsilon(z)$ [cf. (50)], at any point of the support of $\mu$. We further decompose these measures into $\mu_{t, 0}^{\varepsilon}$ and $\mu_{t, 1}^{\varepsilon}$, corresponding to even- and odd-order intervals. The value of $\mu_{t, j}^{\varepsilon}(z)$ is determined by the residues of $z$ modulo $\alpha$ and modulo 4, and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{t, 0}^{\varepsilon} & =\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{0,0}^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}(w-2 \varepsilon) \\
\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{t, 1}^{\varepsilon} & =\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} \mu_{0,1}^{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2 \alpha}(u+2 \varepsilon) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We shall use the notation

$$
\mathbb{E}_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)=\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} z \mu_{t}^{\varepsilon}(z) \quad \mathbb{E}_{t, j}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)=\sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}} z \mu_{t, j}^{\varepsilon}(z), \quad j \in\{0,1\}
$$

Then we have $\mathbb{E}_{t}(\xi)=\mathbb{E}_{t}^{+}(\xi)+\mathbb{E}_{t}^{-}(\xi)$. As in (63), we find:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{t+1}^{\varepsilon}(\xi) & =\sum_{z} z \mu_{t+1}^{\varepsilon}(z) \\
& =\sum_{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}(y)} \mathrm{F}^{\prime}(y) \mu_{t, 0}^{\varepsilon}(y)+\sum_{\mathrm{F}^{\prime}(y)} \mathrm{F}^{\prime}(y) \mu_{t, 1}^{\varepsilon}(y) \\
& =\mathbb{E}_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)-2 u \frac{w-2 \varepsilon}{\alpha}+2 w \frac{u+2 \varepsilon}{\alpha}=\mathbb{E}_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)+2 \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

The above recursion relation has solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)=\mathbb{E}_{0}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)+2 t=\frac{1}{4}[\alpha-1+2 z-2 \varepsilon \cos (\pi z / 2)]+2 \varepsilon t \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a straightforward calculation gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon}\left(\xi^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left(\xi^{2}\right)=\alpha^{2}(8+2 \varepsilon)+2 \alpha \varepsilon(2 z-1)-4 \alpha \cos (\pi z / 2) \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

In place of (64) we now have, using (68)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{t+1}^{\varepsilon}\left(\xi^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{t}^{\varepsilon}\left(\xi^{2}\right) & =4 Q_{t}^{\varepsilon}+\frac{2}{\alpha}\left[w^{2} u+u^{2} w+2 \varepsilon\left(w^{2}-u^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =4 Q_{t}^{\varepsilon}+2 u w+4 \varepsilon(w-u)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{t}^{\varepsilon}=w \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)-u \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)=\alpha \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)-u \mathbb{E}_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)=-\alpha \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}^{\varepsilon}(\xi)+w \mathbb{E}_{t}^{\varepsilon}(\xi) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now iterate this relation, to evaluate the telescopic sum $\mathbb{E}_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon}\left(\xi^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{0}^{\varepsilon}\left(\xi^{2}\right)=$ $\sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1}\left[\mathbb{E}_{t+1}^{\varepsilon}\left(\xi^{2}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{t}^{\varepsilon}\left(\xi^{2}\right)\right]$. A lengthy calculation using formulae (74)-(76) and the procedure employed in the previous section gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
2\left(u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}^{\varepsilon}-w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}^{\varepsilon}\right)=\alpha\left[2 \alpha(\beta-2)-4 \beta^{2}+\varepsilon(1-3 \alpha+8 \beta-2 z)\right. \\
+2(\cos (\pi z / 2)] \tag{77}
\end{gather*}
$$

The final step is to express $T$ in terms of the above expression. Since the functions $S, R, T$ are no longer constant, we shall need the following
Lemma 14. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, then, for any $x$ we have $T(z+4)=T(z)-8 \alpha \varepsilon(z)$.
Proof. If $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, then equation (73) gives $T(z+\alpha)-T(z)=-2 \alpha^{2} \varepsilon(z)$, so it suffices to show that the value of $T(z+4)-T(z)$ depends only on $\varepsilon(z)$. Introducing the notation $y_{t}^{(a)}=\left(\mathrm{F}^{\prime}\right)^{t}(a)$, a short calculation gives

$$
T\left(y_{1}^{(z)}\right)-T(z)=4 \alpha \varepsilon(z)\left[u \chi_{0}(z)-w \chi_{1}(z)\right]=4 \alpha \varepsilon(z)\left[\alpha \chi_{0}(z)-2 \beta\right] .
$$

Let $\tau$ be the smallest positive integer $t$ such that $y_{t}^{(z)} \equiv z+4(\bmod \alpha)$, and let $\kappa(z)$ be defined by the equation $y_{\tau}^{(z)}=z+4+\alpha \kappa(z)$. We find that $\tau \equiv \beta^{-1}(\bmod \alpha / 4)$, independent from $z$. Considering that $\varepsilon$ is constant along orbits, we iterate the above relation to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(z+4)-T(z) & =T\left(y_{\tau}^{(z)}\right)-T(z)+2 \alpha^{2} \varepsilon(z) \kappa(z) \\
& =2 \alpha \varepsilon(z)\left\{\alpha\left[\kappa(z)+2 \sum_{t=0}^{\tau-1} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(z)}\right)\right]-4 \beta \tau\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

We must show that the expression $\kappa(z)+2 \sum_{t=0}^{\tau-1} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(z)}\right)$ is constant. With references to formulae (45)-(48), let $\chi^{+}$and $\chi^{-}$be the characteristic functions of the intervals defined by $\mathrm{d} b=+1$ and $\mathrm{d} b=-1$, respectively, and let $\chi=\chi^{+}+\chi^{-}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(z)=\sum_{t=0}^{\tau-1}\left[\chi^{+}\left(y_{t}^{(z)}\right)-\chi^{-}\left(y_{t}^{(z)}\right)\right]-\zeta(z) \quad \zeta(z)=\delta_{b(z+4), b(z)+1} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is Kronecker's delta. Let $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha / 4$; we have two cases.
Case I: $\alpha^{\prime}>\beta$. In this case we have $\chi^{-}=\chi_{0}$, and $\chi$ is the characteristic function of the union of intervals $[\alpha-4 \beta, \alpha)+\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. From (78) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(z)+2 \sum_{t=0}^{\tau-1} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(z)}\right)=\sum_{t=0}^{\tau-1} \chi\left(y_{t}^{(z)}\right)-\zeta(z) . \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the value of the left-hand side is equal to the number of points which fall in the interval where $\mathrm{d} b \neq 0$, decreased by one unit if $z$ and $z+4$ lie in different blocks. We have to show that such a number is constant, with the stated exception.

By conjugating the orbit through $z_{0}$ for the map $X \mapsto X+4 \beta(\bmod \alpha)$ to the orbit through $z=\left\lfloor z_{0} / 4\right\rfloor$ for the map $X \mapsto X+\beta\left(\bmod \alpha^{\prime}\right)$, we reduce this problem to showing that the number of elements of set

$$
A(z)=\left\{z+t \beta\left(\bmod \alpha^{\prime}\right): t=0, \ldots, \tau-1\right\} \quad 0 \leqslant z \leqslant \alpha^{\prime}-1
$$

which lie in the interval $I_{1}=\left[\alpha^{\prime}-\beta, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$, is equal to some integer $n_{0}$ for all $z \neq$ $\alpha^{\prime}-1$, and to $n_{0}+1$ for $z=\alpha^{\prime}-1$. We introduce the symbolic dynamics of rotation by $\beta$ on the circle $\left[0, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$, obtained by assigning the symbol 0 to the interval $I_{0}=\left[0, \alpha^{\prime}-\beta\right)$ and the symbol 1 to the interval $I_{1}$ defined above. The binary words of length $\tau$ obtained by varying $z$, are the same as the Sturmian words of any irrational number sufficiently close to $\beta$. A Sturmian language is balanced [21, theorem 6.1.8], meaning that the number of 1 s appearing in these words assumes precisely two consecutive values, say, $n_{0}$ and $n_{0}+1$.

Now let

$$
A_{1}(z)=A(z) \cap I_{1} \quad N(z)=\# A_{1}(z)
$$

The set $A(z-1)$ is obtained from $A(z)$ by shifting all points of the latter to the left by one unit. The set $A\left(\alpha^{\prime}-1\right)$ contains both end-points of $I_{1}$. By construction, $\alpha^{\prime}-1 \notin A\left(\alpha^{\prime}-2\right)$, and hence, if we let $n_{0}=N\left(\alpha^{\prime}-2\right)$, we have $N\left(\alpha^{\prime}-1\right)=n_{0}+1$. Choose $z$ such that $N(z)=n_{0}$. The only way to have $N(z-1)=n_{0}+1$, is that, under such a left shift, the set $A_{1}(z)$ gains one point on the right, and loses no point on the left. Then 0 must be in $A(z)$. If $z \neq 0$, then the pre-image $\alpha^{\prime}-\beta$ of 0 also belongs to $A(z)$, and hence one point is lost in the shift. Thus $z=0$, namely $z-1=\alpha^{\prime}-1$, as required. We have shown that there is $n_{0}$ such that

$$
N(z)= \begin{cases}n_{0} & \text { if } z \neq \alpha^{\prime}-1 \\ n_{0}+1 & \text { if } z=\alpha^{\prime}-1\end{cases}
$$

This means that the left-hand side of (79) is constant, and hence $T(z+4)-T(z)$ depends only on $\varepsilon(z)$, as required.

Case II: $\alpha^{\prime}<\beta$. Then $\chi^{+}=\chi_{1}$ and $\chi$ is the characteristic function of $[0,2(\alpha-$ $2 \beta))+\alpha \mathbb{Z}$. The analysis is the same as that given above, with the opposite sign in the expression $\zeta(z)$ in (78). We shall not repeat it, for the sake of brevity.

Lemma 15. Let $T$ and $\varepsilon$ be as above. Then if $\bar{\alpha}$ is odd and $\varepsilon(z)=1$, we have

$$
T(z)=-2 \alpha z+\alpha[2 \beta(\alpha-2 \beta)+2(4 \beta-3 \alpha)]
$$

Proof. The condition $\varepsilon(z)=1$ characterises the points which escape to $+\infty$. Equations (73) and lemma 14 give

$$
T^{+}(z+\gamma)=T^{+}(z)-2 \alpha \gamma \quad \gamma(z)=2-\cos (\pi z)
$$

Using the above and lemma 14, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{+}(z) & =\frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha / 4-1}\left[T^{+}(z)+T^{+}(z)\right] \\
& =\frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha / 4-1}\left[T^{+}(z+4 k)+T^{+}(z+4 k+\gamma)\right]+\alpha(\alpha+\gamma-4)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using lemma 14 , we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{+}(z)= & u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(z)} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(z)}\right)-w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(z)} \chi_{1}\left(y_{t}^{(z)}\right) \\
= & \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha / 4-1}\left[u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(z+4 k)} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(z+4 k)}\right)-w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(z+4 k)} \chi_{1}\left(y_{t}^{(z+4 k)}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(z+4 k+\gamma)} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(z+4 k+\gamma)}\right)-w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} y_{t}^{(z+4 k+\gamma)} \chi_{1}\left(y_{t}^{(z+4 k+\gamma)}\right)\right] \\
& +\alpha(\alpha+\gamma-4) \\
= & 2 u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha / 4-1} y_{t}^{(z+4 k)} \frac{1}{\alpha} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(z+4 k)}\right)+y_{t}^{(z+4 k+\gamma)} \frac{1}{\alpha} \chi_{0}\left(y_{t}^{(z+4 k+\gamma)}\right)\right) \\
& \quad-2 w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\alpha / 4-1} y_{t}^{(z+4 k)} \frac{1}{\alpha} \chi_{1}\left(y_{t}^{(z+4 k)}\right)+y_{t}^{(z+4 k+\gamma)} \frac{1}{\alpha} \chi_{1}\left(y_{t}^{(z+4 k+\gamma)}\right)\right) \\
& +\alpha(\alpha+\gamma-4) \\
= & 2\left(u \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 0}^{+}-w \sum_{t=0}^{\alpha-1} \mathbb{E}_{t, 1}^{+}\right)+\alpha(\alpha+\gamma-4) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The above expressions, together with (77), gives an explicit formula for $T^{+}(z)$ :

$$
T^{+}(z)=-2 \alpha z+\alpha[2 \beta(\alpha-2 \beta)+2(4 \beta-3 \alpha)]
$$

The proof is complete.
We can finally complete the proof of the second part of theorem 1.
Completion of the proof of Theorem 1. Assume that $\bar{\alpha}$ is even, and let $\Gamma$ be the full density set specified in theorem 11. Let $x \in \Gamma$ be given, and let us assume that the orbit of $x$ drifts to the right: $b\left(\mathrm{~F}^{\alpha}(x)\right)=b(x)+4$. Then there are precisely two consecutive integers $z^{*}=z^{*}(x)$, and $z^{*}+1$ with the property that $z^{*} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ and the $\alpha$-code of $x$ under F and that of $z^{*}$ or $z^{*}+1$ under $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$ are the same. Lemma 15 and equation (72) yield

$$
S(z)=-4 z+4 \beta(\alpha-2 \beta)+12(\beta-\alpha)-4 \cos (\pi z / 2)
$$

Substituting this expression in (69), we finally arrive at the following formula for the total translation under $\alpha$ iterations of the Poincaré map F:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}^{\alpha}(x)-x=4 z+10 \alpha-4 \beta+4 \cos (\pi z / 2) \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

One verifies that both values $z=z^{*}$ and $z=z^{*}+1$ produce the same value of the right-hand side of (80).

Let $x$ belong to the $n$th block $\Xi_{n}$, that is, $x_{n-1} \leqslant x<x_{n}$, with $x_{n}$ given by (6). According to theorem 11 , the set $\Xi_{n} \cap \Gamma$ is partitioned into $\alpha / 2$ regular cylinder sets $\Xi_{n, k}, k=0, \ldots, \alpha / 2-1$, of $2 n+O(1)$ points each, corresponding to as many distinct regular $\alpha$-codes, plus a residual set $\Lambda_{n}$ of size $O(1)$, corresponding to irregular codes. Since the point $x$ is regular and its orbit drifts to the right, there is a unique even integer $j=j(x)$ such that $x \in \Xi_{n, j}$. Then the points $x$ and $z^{*}=(n-1) \alpha+2 j$ have the same $\alpha$-code for the maps F and $\mathrm{F}^{\prime}$, respectively, and $z^{*} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Substituting $z=z^{*}\left(\right.$ or $\left.z=z^{*}+1\right)$ in (80), we obtain

$$
\mathrm{F}^{\alpha}(x)-x=4 n \alpha+6 \alpha-4 \beta+4(1+2 j) .
$$

We now compute the total translation $\Delta x$ required to move a point $x \in \Xi_{n, j}$ to the corresponding position within $\Xi_{n+4, j}$, four blocks to the right. Considering the expression (40) for the block size, and the fact that $\left|\Xi_{n+k, j}\right|=\left|\Xi_{n, j}\right|+k+O(1)$, we obtain, for $i=4$ :

$$
\Delta x=\sum_{i=0}^{3}[(n+i) \alpha-\beta]+4+8 j=\mathrm{F}^{\alpha}(x)-x
$$

This identity shows that the total translation generated by a regular $\alpha$-code sends a point $x \in \Xi_{n, j}$ with $\varepsilon(x)=1$ into a point of $\Xi_{n+4, j}$, with the possible exception of $O(1)$ points at the boundary of $\Xi_{n, j}$. Hence these translations can be sustained indefinitely. This set of points has density $1 / 2$, and their orbits escape to infinity. The result now follows from the fact that F is invertible, which accounts for the escape of a complementary set of density $1 / 2$.

For completeness, we determine $z^{*}(x)$ explicitly, for $x \in \Gamma$ with $\varepsilon(x)=1$. From section 2 we find that the block number $n(x)$ of $x$ is given by

$$
n(x)=\left\lfloor\frac{2 \beta-\alpha+\sqrt{(\alpha-2 \beta)^{2}+8 \alpha x}}{2 \alpha}\right\rfloor+1
$$

Theorem 11 states that there are $2 n+O(1)$ points in any regular cylinder set of $\Xi_{n}$. Keeping in mind that the left end-point of the $n$th block is $x_{n}$ [see equation (6)] and that the length of the $n$th block is $n \alpha-\beta$, we find

$$
z^{*}(x)=[n(x)-1] \alpha+\left\lfloor\alpha \frac{x-x_{n(x)}}{n(x) \alpha-\beta}\right\rfloor .
$$

This gives $z^{*}(x)=\alpha n(x)+O(1)$, and hence

$$
\mathrm{F}^{\alpha}(x)-x=4 \alpha n(x)+O(1) .
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Consider that $\tau_{n+k}^{\prime}=\tau_{n}^{\prime}$.

