INVARIANT MEASURES ON THE LATTICES OF THE SUBGROUPS OF THE GROUP AND REPRESENTATION THEORY CONFERENCE DEVOTED TO PETER CAMERON, QUEEN MARY COLLEGE, LONDON, 8-10 JULY 2013

A.VERSHIK (PETERSBURG DEPTH. OF MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, St.PETERSBURG UNIVERSITY)

July 29, 2013

0.Introduction: Measure theory as Continuous Combinatorics.

0.Introduction: Measure theory as Continuous Combinatorics. 1.Lattice of the subgroups L(G) of the group G, Adjoint action. Totally non-free *TNF* actions.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

0.Introduction: Measure theory as Continuous Combinatorics. 1.Lattice of the subgroups L(G) of the group G, Adjoint action. Totally non-free *TNF* actions.

2.Does there exist continuous analog of "Oligomorphic" groups and actions?

0.Introduction: Measure theory as Continuous Combinatorics. 1.Lattice of the subgroups L(G) of the group G, Adjoint action. Totally non-free *TNF* actions.

2.Does there exist continuous analog of "Oligomorphic" groups and actions?

3. Problem of adjoint invariant measures (IRS). Infinite symmetric group: list of AD-measures

0.Introduction: Measure theory as Continuous Combinatorics.

1.Lattice of the subgroups L(G) of the group G, Adjoint action. Totally non-free *TNF* actions.

2.Does there exist continuous analog of "Oligomorphic" groups and actions?

3.Problem of adjoint invariant measures (IRS). Infinite symmetric group: list of AD-measures

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

4. Factor-representations of the groups and traces on group

algebras — general construction.

0.Introduction: Measure theory as Continuous Combinatorics.

1.Lattice of the subgroups L(G) of the group G, Adjoint action. Totally non-free *TNF* actions.

2.Does there exist continuous analog of "Oligomorphic" groups and actions?

3.Problem of adjoint invariant measures (IRS). Infinite symmetric group: list of AD-measures

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

4.Factor-representations of the groups and traces on group

algebras — general construction.

5.Problems.

0.Introduction: Measure theory as Continuous Combinatorics.

1.Lattice of the subgroups L(G) of the group G, Adjoint action. Totally non-free *TNF* actions.

2.Does there exist continuous analog of "Oligomorphic" groups and actions?

3.Problem of adjoint invariant measures (IRS). Infinite symmetric group: list of AD-measures

4.Factor-representations of the groups and traces on group

algebras — general construction.

5.Problems.

Reference: A.V. Totally non-free actions and adjoint invariant measures for infinite symmetric group Moscow Math. J. 12, No. 1, 193-212 (2012). http://www.pdmi.ras.ru/ vershik/finenonfree.pdf

(4日) (個) (目) (目) (目) (の)

L(G) -set of all subgroups of the group G (topology, compactness, Borel structure).

L(G) -set of all subgroups of the group G (topology, compactness, Borel structure).

Adjoint action of G on L(G). $g: H \to H^g = g^{-1}Hg$.

ad-invariant measure is a Borel probability measure which is adjoint invariant:

 $\mu(\mathcal{H}^g) = \mu(\mathcal{H}) \quad \mathcal{H} \subset L(G).$

L(G) -set of all subgroups of the group G (topology, compactness, Borel structure).

Adjoint action of G on L(G). $g: H \to H^g = g^{-1}Hg$.

ad-invariant measure is a Borel probability measure which is adjoint invariant:

 $\mu(\mathcal{H}^{g}) = \mu(\mathcal{H}) \quad \mathcal{H} \subset L(G).$

Denote as AD(G) -class of all *ad*-invariant Borel measures on the lattice of subgroups L(G).

L(G) -set of all subgroups of the group G (topology, compactness, Borel structure).

Adjoint action of G on L(G). $g: H \to H^g = g^{-1}Hg$.

ad-invariant measure is a Borel probability measure which is adjoint invariant:

 $\mu(\mathcal{H}^{g}) = \mu(\mathcal{H}) \quad \mathcal{H} \subset L(G).$

Denote as AD(G) -class of all *ad*-invariant Borel measures on the lattice of subgroups L(G).

PROBLEM: When does exist continuous *AD*-measures?

To describe all (ergodic, continuous) AD-measure for given countable group G.

L(G) -set of all subgroups of the group G (topology, compactness, Borel structure).

Adjoint action of G on L(G). $g: H \to H^g = g^{-1}Hg$.

ad-invariant measure is a Borel probability measure which is adjoint invariant:

 $\mu(\mathcal{H}^{g}) = \mu(\mathcal{H}) \quad \mathcal{H} \subset L(G).$

Denote as AD(G) -class of all *ad*-invariant Borel measures on the lattice of subgroups L(G).

PROBLEM: When does exist continuous *AD*-measures?

To describe all (ergodic, continuous) AD-measure for given countable group G.

I will give solution (V2009) of that Problem for infinite symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_\infty.$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ Ξ > ◆ Ξ > → Ξ → のへで

1. Theory of non-free actions.



- 1. Theory of non-free actions.
- 2. Theory of factor-representations; characters and traces.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

1. Theory of non-free actions.

2. Theory of factor-representations; characters and traces.

3.Algebraic geometry of symmetric spaces (M. Abert, Y. Glasner and B. Virag. et al — "Invariant random subgroups" (IRS).

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲≣▶ ▲≣▶ = = の�?

Definition of the totally non-free action.

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Definition of the totally non-free action.

Theorem

Theorem-Definition A measure-preserving action of a countable group G on a standard measure space with probability measure (X, \mathfrak{A}, μ) is called totally non-free (TNF) if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

Definition of the totally non-free action.

Theorem

Theorem-Definition A measure-preserving action of a countable group G on a standard measure space with probability measure (X, \mathfrak{A}, μ) is called totally non-free (TNF) if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

1. The map $\Psi : X \to L(G); x \mapsto Stab_x$ ("characteristic map") is a mod0 isomorphism mod0 of the action of G on (X, μ) and adjoint action $ad(G); H \mapsto H^g = g^{-1}Hg$ on $(L(G), \Psi_*\mu)$. ("Different points have different stabilizers")

Definition of the totally non-free action.

Theorem

Theorem-Definition A measure-preserving action of a countable group G on a standard measure space with probability measure (X, \mathfrak{A}, μ) is called totally non-free (TNF) if one of the following equivalent conditions holds:

1. The map $\Psi: X \to L(G); x \mapsto Stab_x$ ("characteristic map") is a mod0 isomorphism mod0 of the action of G on (X, μ) and adjoint action $ad(G); H \mapsto H^g = g^{-1}Hg$ on $(L(G), \Psi_*\mu)$. ("Different points have different stabilizers") 2. The Boolean algebra \mathfrak{B} generated by the family of the sets of

the fixed points: $X_g = \{x : gx = x\}$ is complete Boolean algebra: $\mathfrak{B} = \langle X_g : g \in G \rangle = \mathfrak{A}(X)$

DYNAMICS ON THE LATTICE OF THE SUBGROUPS.

▲ロト ▲圖 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 → りへぐ

Lemma

A transitive action of a group G (the left action of G on a homogeneous space G/H) is totally non-free if and only if all the stabilizers (i.e., the subgroup H) is a self-normalizing subgroup $(N(H) = H, \text{ or } H \in LN(G)).$

Lemma

A transitive action of a group G (the left action of G on a homogeneous space G/H) is totally non-free if and only if all the stabilizers (i.e., the subgroup H) is a self-normalizing subgroup $(N(H) = H, \text{ or } H \in LN(G)).$

Denote as $LN(G) = \{H \in L(G) : N(H) = H\}$

Theorem

If a group G acts totally non free on the space (X, μ) then the image $\Psi_*\mu$ of the measure μ under the characteristic map $\Psi: x \to L(G)$, is concentrated on the set of self-normalizers : $(\Psi_*\mu)(LN) = 1$.

Lemma

A transitive action of a group G (the left action of G on a homogeneous space G/H) is totally non-free if and only if all the stabilizers (i.e., the subgroup H) is a self-normalizing subgroup $(N(H) = H, \text{ or } H \in LN(G)).$

Denote as $LN(G) = \{H \in L(G) : N(H) = H\}$

Theorem

If a group G acts totally non free on the space (X, μ) then the image $\Psi_*\mu$ of the measure μ under the characteristic map $\Psi: x \to L(G)$, is concentrated on the set of self-normalizers : $(\Psi_*\mu)(LN) = 1$.

We call such measures as TNF-measures.

The filtration of the normalizers

The filtration of the lattice of the subgroups is as follow:

$$LN(G) = \{H \in L(G) : N(H) = H\} \subset LN^{2}(G) = \{H : N^{2}(H) = N(H) \subset UN^{3}(G) = \{H : N^{3}(H) = N^{2}(H)\} \subset \dots$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

The filtration of the normalizers

The filtration of the lattice of the subgroups is as follow:

$$LN(G) = \{H \in L(G) : N(H) = H\} \subset LN^{2}(G) = \{H : N^{2}(H) = N(H) \subset \mathbb{C}\}$$
$$\subset LN^{3}(G) = \{H : N^{3}(H) = N^{2}(H)\} \subset \dots$$

Observation: Each subgroup H of the group G can be imbedded to the uniquely defined self-normalizer subgroup $N\overline{N}$ which is limit of tower (transfinite in general) of the subgroups $N^{\tau}(H)$. Remark that the ordinal in the sequences of normalizers could be an arbitrary ordinal.

The filtration of the normalizers

The filtration of the lattice of the subgroups is as follow:

$$LN(G) = \{H \in L(G) : N(H) = H\} \subset LN^{2}(G) = \{H : N^{2}(H) = N(H) \subset \mathbb{C}\}$$
$$\subset LN^{3}(G) = \{H : N^{3}(H) = N^{2}(H)\} \subset \dots$$

Observation: Each subgroup H of the group G can be imbedded to the uniquely defined self-normalizer subgroup $N\overline{N}$ which is limit of tower (transfinite in general) of the subgroups $N^{\tau}(H)$. Remark that the ordinal in the sequences of normalizers could be an arbitrary ordinal.

The corresponding filtration of the measures used the following operation ("normalization"):

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv N_* : \mathcal{N}(\mu)[A] = \mu(H : N(H) \in A) = \mu\{N^{-1}[A]\},\$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The corresponding filtration of the measures used the following operation ("normalization"):

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{N}_* : \mathcal{N}(\mu)[\mathcal{A}] = \mu(\mathcal{H} : \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{H}) \in \mathcal{A}) = \mu\{\mathcal{N}^{-1}[\mathcal{A}]\},$$

We have

$$TNF = \{\mu \in AD : \mathcal{N}(\mu) = \mu\} \subset RTNF = \{\mu \in AD : \mathcal{N}(\mu) \in TNF\} =$$
$$= \mathcal{N}^{-1}(TNF) \subset \mathcal{N}^{-2}(TNF) \dots$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The corresponding filtration of the measures used the following operation ("normalization"):

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathsf{N}_* : \mathcal{N}(\mu)[\mathsf{A}] = \mu(\mathsf{H} : \mathsf{N}(\mathsf{H}) \in \mathsf{A}) = \mu\{\mathsf{N}^{-1}[\mathsf{A}]\},$$

We have

$$TNF = \{\mu \in AD : \mathcal{N}(\mu) = \mu\} \subset RTNF = \{\mu \in AD : \mathcal{N}(\mu) \in TNF\} =$$
$$= \mathcal{N}^{-1}(TNF) \subset \mathcal{N}^{-2}(TNF) \dots$$

Remark The *AD*-measures on (L(G)), whose normalization is *TNF* we call "reduced *TNF* or *RTNF*-measures. Adjoint action of *G* on $(L(G), \mu)$ is *TNF* off μ is *RTNF*-measure.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへの

The group \mathfrak{S}_{∞} is the group of all finite permutations of \mathbb{N} . Consider a sequence of positive numbers $\alpha = {\alpha_i}_{i=0,1...}$ such that

$$\alpha_i \ge \alpha_{i+1} \ge 0$$
 for $i > 0$; $\alpha_0 \ge 0$; $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1$.

The group \mathfrak{S}_{∞} is the group of all finite permutations of \mathbb{N} . Consider a sequence of positive numbers $\alpha = {\alpha_i}_{i=0,1...}$ such that

$$\alpha_i \ge \alpha_{i+1} \ge 0$$
 for $i > 0$; $\alpha_0 \ge 0$; $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1$.

Define a partition δ of indices $\mathbb{N} = \{i = 1, ...\}$ onto finite or infinite number of blocks of three types subsets: $i \in P_+$, $i \in P_+$, P_- , $i \in P_c$, where each block of set of type P_+ and P_- consist with one point, and each block of type P_c consist with more than two points; of course it is possible that only one of the sets of blocks of type P_+ , P_- , P_c is nonempty. Denote the block of partition δ which contains i as C_i (it is single-point block if $i \in P_+, P_-$).

The group \mathfrak{S}_{∞} is the group of all finite permutations of \mathbb{N} . Consider a sequence of positive numbers $\alpha = {\alpha_i}_{i=0,1...}$ such that

$$\alpha_i \ge \alpha_{i+1} \ge 0$$
 for $i > 0$; $\alpha_0 \ge 0$; $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i = 1$.

Define a partition δ of indices $\mathbb{N} = \{i = 1, ...\}$ onto finite or infinite number of blocks of three types subsets: $i \in P_+$, $i \in P_+$, P_- , $i \in P_c$, where each block of set of type P_+ and P_- consist with one point, and each block of type P_c consist with more than two points; of course it is possible that only one of the sets of blocks of type P_+ , P_- , P_c is nonempty. Denote the block of partition δ which contains i as C_i (it is single-point block if $i \in P_+, P_-$).

Consider the space of all sequences $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{\{\xi_n\} : x_n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\ \text{and consider Bernoulli measure}$ M_{α} with probability vector $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}; i \in \mathbb{N}; \alpha_i > 0$: $Pr(\{\xi : \xi_n = i\}) = \alpha_i \text{ and } \{\xi_n\}\ \text{are i.i.d.}$ We have Bernoulli space $(\mathcal{N}, M_{\alpha})$. Let $\{\xi_n\}; n \in \mathbb{N}$ is random sequence from corresponding Bernoulli ensemble

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Consider the space of all sequences $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{\{\xi_n\} : x_n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\ \text{and consider Bernoulli measure}$ M_{α} with probability vector $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}; i \in \mathbb{N}; \alpha_i > 0$: $Pr(\{\xi : \xi_n = i\}) = \alpha_i \text{ and } \{\xi_n\}\ \text{are i.i.d.}$ We have Bernoulli space $(\mathcal{N}, M_{\alpha})$. Let $\{\xi_n\}; n \in \mathbb{N}$ is random sequence from corresponding Bernoulli ensemble

Let $N_i = \{n : \xi_n = i\}, i \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i > 0.$

Consider the space of all sequences $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{\{\xi_n\} : x_n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\ \text{and consider Bernoulli measure}$ M_{α} with probability vector $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}; i \in \mathbb{N}; \alpha_i > 0$: $Pr(\{\xi : \xi_n = i\}) = \alpha_i \text{ and } \{\xi_n\}\ \text{are i.i.d.}$ We have Bernoulli space $(\mathcal{N}, M_{\alpha})$. Let $\{\xi_n\}; n \in \mathbb{N}$ is random sequence from corresponding Bernoulli ensemble

Let $N_i = \{n : \xi_n = i\}, i \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i > 0$. If $i \in P_+$ then define $G_i = \mathfrak{S}_{N_i}$;

Consider the space of all sequences $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{\{\xi_n\} : x_n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\ \text{and consider Bernoulli measure}$ M_{α} with probability vector $\alpha = \{\alpha_i\}; i \in \mathbb{N}; \alpha_i > 0$: $Pr(\{\xi : \xi_n = i\}) = \alpha_i \text{ and } \{\xi_n\}\ \text{are i.i.d.}$ We have Bernoulli space $(\mathcal{N}, M_{\alpha})$. Let $\{\xi_n\}; n \in \mathbb{N}$ is random sequence from corresponding Bernoulli ensemble

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Let $N_i = \{n : \xi_n = i\}, i \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i > 0$. If $i \in P_+$ then define $G_i = \mathfrak{S}_{N_i};$

if $i \in P_{-}$ then $G_i = \mathfrak{S}_{N_i}^{-}$ (this is the alternating group);

Consider the space of all sequences

 $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}} = \{\{\xi_n\} : x_n \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}\}\ \text{and consider Bernoulli measure}\ M_{\alpha}\ \text{with probability vector}\ \alpha = \{\alpha_i\}; i \in \mathbb{N}; \alpha_i > 0:$

 $Pr(\{\xi : \xi_n = i\}) = \alpha_i$ and $\{\xi_n\}$ are i.i.d. We have Bernoulli space (\mathcal{N}, M_α) . Let $\{\xi_n\}; n \in \mathbb{N}$ is random sequence from corresponding Bernoulli ensemble

Let $N_i = \{n : \xi_n = i\}, i \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_i > 0$. If $i \in P_+$ then define $G_i = \mathfrak{S}_{N_i}$; if $i \in P_-$ then $G_i = \mathfrak{S}_{N_i}^-$ (this is the alternating group); Let $i \in P_c$, and C_i is block of partition δ which contains i, then group G_i is the subgroup of the product: $\prod \mathfrak{S}_{\cup N_j, j \in C_i}$, of the elements of type $(g_1, g_2...)$ all of which have the same parity; this subgroup depends on the block C_i (not of individual i).

Now we define a random subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_∞ as the product:

$$G^{\xi} = \prod_{C_i} G_i$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

Now we define a *random subgroup* of \mathfrak{S}_{∞} as the product:

$$G^{\xi} = \prod_{C_i} G_i$$

Theorem

For each ergodic AD-measure μ on the lattice of the subgroups of the group $\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}$ there exists a unique sequence $\alpha_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ and partition δ of above type of \mathbb{N} , such that a map $\xi \mapsto G^{\xi}$ is isomorphism mod0 between Bernoulli space $(\mathcal{N}, M_{\alpha})$ and $(L(\mathfrak{S}_{\mathbb{N}}), \mu)$.

We called such a subgroups as random signed Young subgroup.

<ロト (個) (目) (目) (目) (0) (0)</p>

The proof based on the several basic facts.

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

The proof based on the several basic facts. 1.Jordan-Wielandt theorem:

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The proof based on the several basic facts.

1. Jordan-Wielandt theorem:

there are no primitive subgroups of the group \mathfrak{S}_∞ except \mathfrak{S}_∞ itself and alternating subgroup.

The proof based on the several basic facts.

1. Jordan-Wielandt theorem:

there are no primitive subgroups of the group \mathfrak{S}_∞ except \mathfrak{S}_∞ itself and alternating subgroup.

Jordan's Lemma if a proper primitive subgroup of S_n has an element with support of size k, then $n < \beta(k)$; a sharp bound on $\beta(k)$ is still unknown.

2.*AD*-measure can't concentrated on the class of intransitive subgroups.

The proof based on the several basic facts.

1. Jordan-Wielandt theorem:

there are no primitive subgroups of the group \mathfrak{S}_∞ except \mathfrak{S}_∞ itself and alternating subgroup.

Jordan's Lemma if a proper primitive subgroup of S_n has an element with support of size k, then $n < \beta(k)$; a sharp bound on $\beta(k)$ is still unknown.

2.*AD*-measure can't concentrated on the class of intransitive subgroups.

3.Each ergodic *AD*-measure concentrated on the subgroups "like product of symmetric or alternation subgroups.

- ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへぐ

The main observation is the following formula for characters:

$$\chi(g) = \mu\{H: H^g = H\},\$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

The main observation is the following formula for characters:

$$\chi(g) = \mu\{H: H^g = H\},\$$

or (for *TNF*-measure)

$$\chi(g) = \mu(\{H : g \in H\}).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

where μ is an *AD*-measure.

The main observation is the following formula for characters:

$$\chi(g) = \mu\{H: H^g = H\},\$$

or (for TNF-measure)

$$\chi(g) = \mu(\{H : g \in H\}).$$

where μ is an *AD*-measure. or more general formula:

$$\chi(g) = \alpha(g, H)\mu(\{H : g \in H\}),$$

jj where $\alpha(g, H)$ is a \pm -cocycle.

Theorem

For infinite symmetric group \mathfrak{S}_∞ this type of the characters exhausts all of the characters

It is not clear for what groups the is formula for all characters. F.e. not true for finite groups.

・ロト ・母ト ・ヨト ・ヨー ・ つへで

P. Cameron: "a subgroup G of the group $S_{\mathbb{N}}$ of all finite permutations of \mathbb{N} is called *oligomorphic* if for any positive integer k, the number of orbits of the diagonal action of G in the Cartesian product \mathbb{N}^k is finite)".

P. Cameron: "a subgroup G of the group $S_{\mathbb{N}}$ of all finite permutations of \mathbb{N} is called *oligomorphic* if for any positive integer k, the number of orbits of the diagonal action of G in the Cartesian product \mathbb{N}^k is finite)".

Usual analog of transitivity topological or (everywhere density of all orbit of G) or measure-theoretic = ergodicity no invariant sets of intermediate measure.).

Definition

1. We say that the action of a group of measure preserving transformations is it metrically *k*-transitive if for almost every (in the sense of the measure μ^k on X^k) choice of points $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{k-1}$, the action of the intersection of subgroups stabilizers $\bigcap_{i=1}^{k-1} G_{x_i}$ on (X, μ) is ergodic.

P. Cameron: "a subgroup G of the group $S_{\mathbb{N}}$ of all finite permutations of \mathbb{N} is called *oligomorphic* if for any positive integer k, the number of orbits of the diagonal action of G in the Cartesian product \mathbb{N}^k is finite)".

Usual analog of transitivity topological or (everywhere density of all orbit of G) or measure-theoretic = ergodicity no invariant sets of intermediate measure.).

Definition

We say that the action of a group of measure preserving transformations is it metrically k-transitive if for almost every (in the sense of the measure μ^k on X^k) choice of points x₁, x₂,..., x_{k-1}, the action of the intersection of subgroups stabilizers ∩_{i=1}^{k-1} G_{xi} on (X, μ) is ergodic.
 We say that the action of a group measure preserving transformations is *oligomorphic* if for any positive integer k and for almost all k-tuple points x_i, i = 1, 2...k the number of ergodic components of the intersection of stabilizers ∩_{i=1}^{k-1} G_{xi} on (X, μ) is

<ロ> <@> < E> < E> E のQの

Problem For what groups there exist *k*-transitive actions for given *k*? oligomorphic action? When adjoint action with respect to *AD*-measures is *k*-transitive? oligomorphic?

Problem For what groups there exist k-transitive actions for given k? oligomorphic action? When adjoint action with respect to AD-measures is k-transitive? oligomorphic?Problem For what groups the list of ergodic AD-invariant measures on the lattice of subgroups has a good parametrization (like for infinite symmetric group)?

Problem For what groups there exist k-transitive actions for given k? oligomorphic action? When adjoint action with respect to AD-measures is k-transitive? oligomorphic?
Problem For what groups the list of ergodic AD-invariant measures on the lattice of subgroups has a good parametrization (like for infinite symmetric group)?
"Smoothness of the AD-problem"

Problem For what groups there exist k-transitive actions for given k? oligomorphic action? When adjoint action with respect to AD-measures is k-transitive? oligomorphic?
Problem For what groups the list of ergodic AD-invariant measures on the lattice of subgroups has a good parametrization (like for infinite symmetric group)?
"Smoothness of the AD-problem"

Problem To describe the list of *AD*-invariant measures for the group $GL(F_q, \infty)$, and $GLB(F_q)$.

Problem For what groups there exist k-transitive actions for given k? oligomorphic action? When adjoint action with respect to AD-measures is k-transitive? oligomorphic?
Problem For what groups the list of ergodic AD-invariant measures on the lattice of subgroups has a good parametrization (like for infinite symmetric group)?
"Smoothness of the AD-problem"

Problem To describe the list of *AD*-invariant measures for the group $GL(F_q, \infty)$, and $GLB(F_q)$.

Conjecture: the AD-problem for those groups is smooth.

Problem For what groups there exist *k*-transitive actions for given *k*? oligomorphic action? When adjoint action with respect to *AD*-measures is *k*-transitive? oligomorphic?

Problem For what groups the list of ergodic *AD*-invariant measures on the lattice of subgroups has a good parametrization (like for infinite symmetric group)?

"Smoothness of the AD-problem"

Problem To describe the list of *AD*-invariant measures for the group $GL(F_q, \infty)$, and $GLB(F_q)$.

Conjecture: the *AD*-problem for those groups is smooth.

Problem In what sense the problem of the description of AD-invariant measures for free groups F_k is universal in the class of all countable groups.

HAPPY BIRTHDAY, DEAR PETER! HUGE SET OF THE PROBLEMS FROM ALL MATH!