

A Note on the Erdős-Farber-Lovász Conjecture

Bill Jackson

Queen Mary College, London E1 4NS, U.K.

e-mail: b.jackson@qmul.ac.uk

G. Sethuraman

Anna University, Chennai 600 025, India

e-mail: gurusetu@yahoo.co.in

Carol Whitehead

Goldsmiths College, London SE14 6NW, U.K.

e-mail: cwhitehead@onetel.net.uk

November 7, 2003

Abstract

A hypergraph H is linear if no two distinct edges of H intersect in more than one vertex and loopless if no edge has size one. A q -edge-colouring of H is a colouring of the edges of H with q colours such that intersecting edges receive different colours. We use Δ_H to denote the maximum degree of H . A well known conjecture of Erdős, Farber and Lovász is equivalent to the statement that every loopless linear hypergraph on n vertices can be n -edge-coloured. In this note we show that the conjecture is true when the partial hypergraph S of H determined by the edges of size at least three can be Δ_S -edge-coloured and satisfies $\Delta_S \leq 3$. In particular, the conjecture holds when S is unimodular and $\Delta_S \leq 3$.

1 Introduction and terminology

A hypergraph H on a finite set $V(H) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is a family $E(H) = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$ of non-empty subsets of $V(H)$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^m e_i = V(H)$. The elements of $V(H)$ are called the *vertices* and the elements of $E(H)$ the *edges* of H . A hypergraph can also be defined by its incidence matrix $A(H) = [a_{ij}]$, with rows representing the vertices v_1, \dots, v_n , columns representing the edges e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m , where $a_{ij} = 1$ when $v_i \in e_j$ and 0 otherwise. The *dual* H^* of H is the hypergraph with vertex set

$E(H)$, edge set $V(H)$ and incidence matrix $A(H)^T$. A hypergraph in which each edge has size at most two is a graph (without isolated vertices).

A *subhypergraph* of H is a hypergraph which corresponds to a submatrix of the incidence matrix $A(H)$. A *partial hypergraph* of H is a subhypergraph H' with $E' = E(H') \subseteq E(H)$ and $V(H') = \cup_{e \in E'} e$. We shall say that H' is *determined by* E' . The columns of $A(H')$ are just the columns of $A(H)$ corresponding to the edges in E' . We denote the partial hypergraph determined by $E(H) \setminus E'$ by $H - E'$ (or by $H - e$ in the case where $E' = \{e\}$).

A hypergraph H is said to be *linear* if $|e \cap f| \leq 1$ for all $e, f \in E(H)$. An edge of size one is called a *loop* and a hypergraph in which each edge has size at least two is called *loopless*. A loopless linear graph is said to be *simple*.

For $v \in V(H)$, $d_H(v)$ is the number of edges containing v in H and $\Delta_H = \max_{v \in V(H)} d_H(v)$. The maximum number of pairwise intersecting edges of H is denoted by Δ_H^0 .

A k -vertex colouring of H is an assignment of k colours to the vertices of H in such a way that no edge contains two vertices of the same colour. Similarly, a k -edge colouring of H is an assignment of k colours to the edges of H so that distinct intersecting edges receive different colours. The chromatic index $q(H)$ is the least number k of colours required for a k -edge colouring of H . Clearly

$$q(H) \geq \Delta_H^0 \geq \Delta_H.$$

A hypergraph H is said to have the *edge-colouring property* if $q(H) = \Delta_H$.

This note was motivated by the following well-known conjecture due to Erdős, Farber and Lovász (see [4]).

Conjecture 1 *Let H be a linear hypergraph consisting of n edges, each of size n . Then it is possible to colour the vertices of H with n colours so that no two vertices in the same edge receive the same colour.*

Let H be a linear hypergraph and let $V' \subseteq V(H)$ be the set of vertices occurring in at least two edges of H . If it is possible to colour the vertices in V' so that no two vertices in the same edge receive the same colour, then this colouring can be extended to a vertex colouring of H with the same number of colours. Furthermore, if H has n edges, then since H is linear, no edge can contain more than $n - 1$ vertices of degree at least two. Thus Conjecture 1 is equivalent to the following:

Conjecture 2 *Let H be a linear hypergraph consisting of n edges, in which every vertex has degree at least 2. Then it is possible to colour the vertices of H with n colours so that no two vertices in the same edge receive the same colour.*

It is easily seen that the dual of a linear hypergraph is also linear. Further, the dual of the condition that no vertex has degree less than 2 is that no edge should contain less than two vertices. Hence Conjecture 2 is equivalent to the following:

Conjecture 3 *Let H be a loopless linear hypergraph on n vertices. Then $q(H) \leq n$.*

Let S be the partial hypergraph of H determined by the edges of size at least three. Conjecture 3 is true if $S = \emptyset$, since every simple graph on n vertices can be n -edge coloured. We shall show Conjecture 3 is true for all H for which S has the edge-colouring property and $\Delta_S \leq 3$.

2 Results

Throughout this section, H denotes a loopless linear hypergraph on n vertices. We use the following notation. The partial hypergraph of H determined by the edges of size at least 3 is denoted by S . Note that every edge in the partial hypergraph $G = H - E(S)$ has size 2 and hence G is a simple graph. We denote the subgraph of G induced by the set of vertices in $V(H) \setminus V(S)$ by T and the subgraph induced by the vertices of degree Δ_G by G_Δ . Our general approach to edge-colouring H is to extend a $q(S)$ -edge-colouring of S to a subset $E' \subseteq E(H) \setminus E(S)$, so that the partial hypergraph $G' = H - (E(S) \cup E')$ can be edge-coloured with the remaining $n - q(S)$ colours. To edge-colour G' , we use the following well-known theorems due to Vizing [6] and Fournier [5].

Theorem 2.1 (Vizing) *Let G be a simple graph. Then $q(G) \leq \Delta_G + 1$.*

Theorem 2.2 (Fournier) *Let G be a simple graph. If G_Δ is acyclic, then $q(G) = \Delta_G$.*

Our first lemma follows from a stronger theorem of Berge and Hilton (Theorem C in [2]). We include their proof for completeness.

Lemma 2.3 *Let H be a loopless linear hypergraph on n vertices. If $\Delta_S = 1$, then $q(H) \leq \Delta_H + 1$.*

Proof. Give the edges of S the colour c . Choose a maximum matching M in $H - V(S)$ and give the edges of M the colour c also. Then the partial hypergraph $G = H - E(S) \cup M$ is a simple graph in which either $\Delta_G = \Delta_H - 1$, or $\Delta_G = \Delta_H$ and the vertices of degree Δ_H are independent. Hence by Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 2.2, G is Δ_H -edge-colourable, giving $q(H) \leq \Delta_H + 1$. \square

Since H is loopless and linear, $\Delta_H \leq n - 1$ and so Lemma 2.3 implies Conjecture 3 is true when $\Delta_S = 1$. In the case when $\Delta_S \geq 2$, we make the stronger assumption that H has the edge-colouring property. We can also assume, without loss of generality, that every pair of vertices occur together in an edge, since adding edges of size two cannot decrease $q(H)$. This has the following simple consequences. When $T \neq \emptyset$, T is a complete graph and each vertex of T is joined by an edge of size two to each vertex of S . If $x, y \in V(S)$, then x and y are non-adjacent in the graph $G = H - E(S)$ if and only if in H they are contained in the same edge $e \in E(S)$. In particular, for each $v \in V(S)$, $d_G(v) \leq n - 3$ and $d_G(v) = n - 3$ if and only if v is contained in a unique edge $e \in E(S)$ with $|e| = 3$. Further, when $d_S(v) = 2$ we have $d_G(v) \leq n - 5$, and when $d_S(v) = 3$ we have $d_G(v) \leq n - 7$.

Lemma 2.4 *Let H be a loopless linear hypergraph on n vertices in which $\Delta_S = q(S) = 2$. Then $q(H) \leq n$.*

Proof. We may assume, without loss of generality, that each pair of vertices is contained in an edge. Give S a 2-edge-colouring with colours c_1, c_2 . If $V(T) = \emptyset$, let $G = H - E(S)$. Then $\Delta_G \leq n - 3$ and G is $(n - 2)$ -edge-colourable, by Vizing's theorem, giving $q(H) \leq n$.

Now suppose $V(T) = \{u\}$. If there exists a vertex $w \in V(S)$ such that $d_S(w) = 1$, then there is a colour, say c_1 , missing at w . Give uw the colour c_1 and let $G = H - E(S) - uw$. Then $V(G_\Delta) = \{u\}$ and $\Delta_G = n - 2$. Hence G can be $(n - 2)$ -edge-coloured by Fournier's theorem and, again, $q(H) \leq n$. Otherwise, every vertex in S is incident with an edge in each colour and hence $d_G(v) \leq n - 5$ for all $v \in V(S)$. Choose an edge $e \in E(S)$ such that e is in colour c_2 and change the colour on e to a new colour c_3 . Then S contains vertices w_2, w_3 such that colour c_j is missing at w_j , for each $j \in \{2, 3\}$. Give the edge uw_j the colour c_j , for $j = 2, 3$, and let $G = H - E(S) \cup \{uw_2, uw_3\}$. Then $V(G_\Delta) = \{u\}$ and $\Delta_G = n - 3$. Thus G is $(n - 3)$ -edge-colourable, by Fournier's theorem, and again $q(H) \leq n$.

Next, suppose $V(T) = \{u_1, u_2\}$. Give u_1u_2 the colour c_1 and let $G = H - E(S) - u_1u_2$. Then $V(G_\Delta) = \{u_1, u_2\}$, $\Delta_G = n - 2$ and G is $(n - 2)$ -edge-colourable by Fournier's theorem, giving $q(H) \leq n$.

Finally suppose $|V(T)| = t \geq 3$. If t is even, choose two disjoint perfect matchings M_1, M_2 in T and colour the edges of M_i with colour c_i , for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$. Let $G = H - E(S) \cup M_1 \cup M_2$. Then $\Delta_G = n - 3$ and we can $(n - 2)$ -edge-colour G , by Vizing's theorem. If t is odd, let u_1, u_2 be distinct vertices in T . Let M_i be a perfect matching in $T - u_i$ and colour the edges of M_i with colour c_i , for $i = 1, 2$. Let $G = H - E(S) \cup M_1 \cup M_2$. Then $V(G_\Delta) = \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\Delta_G = n - 2$. Hence G is again $(n - 2)$ -edge-colourable, by Fournier's theorem. Thus, in both cases, H is n -edge-colourable. \square

Lemma 2.5 *Let H be a loopless linear hypergraph on n vertices in which $\Delta_S = q(S) = 3$. Then $q(H) \leq n$.*

Proof. We may assume, without loss of generality, that each pair of vertices is contained in an edge. Give S a 3-edge-colouring with colours c_1, c_2, c_3 . Let $G_0 = H - E(S)$ and let $X = \{x \in V(S) : d_{G_0}(x) = n - 3\}$. Then if $v \in X$, v is incident with just one edge in S , and this edge has size 3. Let $X_i \subseteq X$ be the subset of vertices in X that are incident with an edge in colour c_i , $i = 1, 2, 3$. Number the colours so that $|X_3| \leq |X_2| \leq |X_1|$. If $X_3 \neq \emptyset$, construct a matching M_{32} in G_0 of X_3 into X_2 , saturating the vertices of X_3 . Similarly, if $X_2 \neq \emptyset$, construct a matching M_{21} in G_0 of X_2 into X_1 , saturating X_2 . If any vertex of X_1 is unsaturated by M_{21} , construct a maximum matching M_{11} in G_0 between the M_{21} -unsaturated vertices in X_1 . Give the edges in M_{32} the colour c_1 and the edges of M_{21} and M_{11} the colour c_3 . Let $Y \subseteq X_1$ be the subset of vertices that are unsaturated by both M_{21} and M_{11} . Note that if $Y \neq \emptyset$, then Y is a subset of the vertices of a unique edge $e \in E(S)$, where $|e| = 3$, and hence Y is an independent set with $|Y| \leq 3$. Let $G_1 = G_0 - M_{32} \cup M_{21} \cup M_{11}$. We distinguish four cases.

Case (a) $V(T) = \emptyset$. Then if $Y = \emptyset$, $\Delta_{G_1} \leq n - 4$ and G_1 is $(n - 3)$ -edge-colourable by Vizing's theorem. Otherwise, $V(G_{1\Delta}) = Y$ and hence G_1 is again $(n - 3)$ -edge-colourable, by Fournier's theorem, giving $q(H) \leq n$.

Case (b) $|T| = t \geq 3$. When t is even, choose three pairwise disjoint perfect matchings, M_1, M_2, M_3 , in T and give the edges of M_i the colour c_i , for $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $G = G_1 - M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3$. Then $d_G(v) = n - 4$, for all $v \in V(T)$, and hence G is $(n - 3)$ -edge-colourable by a similar argument to case (a). When t is odd, choose a vertex $u_3 \in V(T)$ and a perfect matching M_3 in $T - u_3$. Choose $u_1, u_2 \in V(T)$ such that $u_1u_2 \in M_3$. Choose disjoint perfect matchings M_1, M_2 in $T - M_3 - u_1$ and $T - M_3 - u_2$ respectively, and give the edges of M_i the colour c_i , for $i = 1, 2, 3$. If $Y = \emptyset$, let $G = G_1 - M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3$. Then $\Delta_G = n - 3$, G_Δ is the path $u_1u_3u_2$ and hence G is $(n - 3)$ -edge-colourable, by Fournier's theorem. Otherwise, let $y \in Y$. Give yu_j the colour c_j , for each $j \in \{2, 3\}$, and let $G = G_1 - M_1 \cup M_2 \cup M_3 \cup \{yu_2, yu_3\}$. Then $\Delta_G = n - 3$ and $V(G_\Delta) = \{u_1\} \cup (Y \setminus \{y\})$. Thus G_Δ is acyclic and hence G is $(n - 3)$ -edge-colourable, by Fournier's theorem. This implies in each case that $q(H) \leq n$.

Case (c) $V(T) = \{u_1, u_2\}$. Suppose first $Y \neq \emptyset$. Give u_1u_2 the colour c_1 . If $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$ or $Y = \{y_1, y_2\}$, give y_1u_1, y_2u_2 the colours c_2 and c_3 respectively, and let $G = G_1 - \{u_1u_2, y_1u_1, y_2u_2\}$. Then $\Delta_G = n - 3$ and G_Δ is the path $u_1y_3u_2$ in the first case and the isolated vertices u_1, u_2 in the second case. When $Y = \{y\}$, give yu_1 colour c_2 and yu_2 the colour c_3 , and let $G = G_1 - \{u_1u_2, yu_1, yu_2\}$. Then G_Δ is again the independent vertices u_1, u_2 and $\Delta_G = n - 3$. Hence in all three cases G is

$(n-3)$ -edge-colourable, by Fournier's theorem, so that $q(H) \leq n$. Thus we may assume that $Y = \emptyset$ and hence $d_{G_1}(v) \leq n-4$, for all $v \in V(S)$.

If there exists a vertex $v \in V(S)$ which is incident with only one colour in $S_0 = S \cup M_{32} \cup M_{21} \cup M_{11}$, say with c_3 , then give vu_i the colour c_i , for $i = 1, 2$, give u_1u_2 the colour c_3 and let $G = G_1 - \{u_1u_2, vu_1, vu_2\}$. If no such vertex exists, but there are two distinct vertices $x, y \in V(S)$ such that c_i is missing at x and c_j is missing at y in S_0 , where $i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and possibly $i = j$, give xu_1 the colour c_i , yu_2 the colour c_j , and give u_1u_2 a colour c_k such that $k \in \{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j\}$. Let $G = G_1 - \{u_1u_2, xu_1, yu_2\}$. Then in both these cases, $V(G_\Delta) = \{u_1, u_2\}$ and $\Delta_G = n-3$, so that G is $(n-3)$ -edge-colourable by Fournier's theorem and $q(H) \leq n$.

Thus we may assume that every vertex in $V(S)$ is incident with edges in at least two colours in S_0 , and there is at most one vertex incident with edges in only two colours. This implies that $X = \emptyset$ so that $S_0 = S$ and $\Delta_{G_1} \leq n-5$. Let $e \in E(S)$ be an edge in colour c_1 and let $f \in E(S) \setminus \{e\}$. Change the colour on e to a new colour c_4 . Then since H is linear, we can find distinct vertices $w_1, w_2 \in e \setminus f$ and $z_1, z_2 \in f \setminus e$. For $i = 1, 2$, give u_iw_i the colour c_1 and u_iz_i the colour c_4 . Give u_1u_2 the colour c_2 and let $G = G_0 - \{u_1u_2, u_1w_1, u_2w_2, u_1z_1, u_2z_2\}$. Then $V(G_\Delta) = \{u_1, u_2\}$, $\Delta_G = n-4$ and G is $(n-4)$ -edge-colourable, by Fournier's theorem, giving $q(H) \leq n$.

Case (d) $V(T) = \{u\}$. We consider the following five subcases.

Subcase (i) There exist two vertices $x, y \in V(S)$ such that there are distinct colours, c_1 and c_2 say, missing at x and y , respectively, in S_0 . We extend the 3-edge-colouring of S_0 by colouring the edge ux and uy with the colours c_1 and c_2 , respectively. Let $G = G_1 - \{ux, uy\}$. Then $\Delta_G = n-3$ and $V(G_\Delta) \subseteq \{u\} \cup Y$. Thus G_Δ is a star, centre u , and so $q(G) = n-3$ by Fournier's Theorem. Hence $q(G) \leq n$. Henceforth we may assume that this subcase does not occur.

Let $Z_i = \{z \in V(S) : d_{S_0}(z) = i\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$. Since subcase (i) does not occur, $|Z_1| \leq 1$ and, if equality occurs, then $Z_2 = \emptyset$.

Subcase (ii) $|Z_1| = 1$. Let $Z_1 = \{z\}$. Then $d_{G_1}(v) \leq n-5$ for all $v \in S-z$. Let e_1 be the edge of S containing z and suppose $\{x, y, z\} \subseteq e_1$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that e_1 is coloured c_1 . Let e_2 be the edge of $S_0 - e_1$ coloured c_2 containing x . We modify the 3-edge-colouring of S_0 by recolouring the edge e_2 with a new colour c_4 and then extend this 4-edge-colouring by colouring the edges ux, uz, uy with the colours c_2, c_3, c_4 , respectively. Let $G = G_1 - \{ux, uz, uy\}$. Then $\Delta_G = n-4$ and $G_\Delta \subseteq \{u, z\}$. Thus $q(G) = n-4$ by Fournier's Theorem and hence $q(G) \leq n$. Henceforth we may assume that $Z_1 = \emptyset$ (and hence $Y = \emptyset$).

Subcase (iii) $|X| \geq 1$. Since $Y = \emptyset$ we have $M_{21} \cup M_{11} \neq \emptyset$. Choose $x_1x_2 \in M_{21} \cup M_{11}$ with $x_1 \in X_1$. We obtain a new 3-edge-colouring by deleting the edge x_1x_2 from S_0 and adding the edges ux_1, ux_2 coloured c_2 and c_3 , respectively. Let $G =$

$G_1 + x_1x_2 - ux_1 - ux_2$. Then $\Delta_G = n - 3$ and $G_\Delta = \{u\}$. Thus $q(G) = n - 3$ by Fournier's Theorem and hence $q(G) \leq n$. Henceforth we may assume that $X = \emptyset$ and hence $S_0 = S$.

Subcase (iv) $|Z_2| \geq 1$. Choose $z \in Z_2$. Since $Z_1 = \emptyset$ and $S_0 = S$ we have $d_{G_1}(v) \leq n - 5$ for all $v \in S$. Choose distinct edges $e_1, e_2 \in S$ containing z and suppose $\{z, x_1, y_1\} \subseteq e_1$ and $\{z, x_2, y_2\} \subseteq e_2$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that e_1, e_2 are coloured c_1, c_2 respectively. We modify the colouring of S by recolouring e_1 with a new colour c_4 and then colouring uz, ux_1, ux_2 with colours c_3, c_2, c_4 , respectively. Let $G = G_1 - \{uz, ux_1, ux_2\}$. Then $\Delta_G = n - 4$ and $G_\Delta = \{u\}$. Thus $q(G) = n - 4$ by Fournier's Theorem and hence $q(G) \leq n$. Henceforth we may assume that $Z_2 = \emptyset$.

Subcase (v) $|Z_3| \geq 1$. Choose $z \in Z_3$. Since $Z_1 \cup Z_2 = \emptyset$ and $S_0 = S$ we have $d_{G_1}(v) \leq n - 7$ for all $v \in S$. Choose distinct edges $e_1, e_2 \in S$ containing z and suppose $\{z, x_1, y_1\} \subseteq e_1$ and $\{z, x_2, y_2\} \subseteq e_2$. Without loss of generality we may suppose that e_1, e_2 are coloured c_1, c_2 respectively. We modify the colouring of S by recolouring e_1, e_2 with new colours c_4, c_5 and then colouring ux_1, uy_1, ux_2, uy_2 with colours c_1, c_5, c_2, c_4 , respectively. Let $G = G_1 - \{ux_1, uy_1, ux_2, uy_2\}$. Then $\Delta_G = n - 5$ and $G_\Delta = \{u\}$. Thus $q(G) = n - 5$ by Fournier's Theorem and hence $q(G) \leq n$. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

3 Conclusion

We may deduce the following special case of Conjecture 3 from Lemmas 2.3, 2.4 and 2.5.

Theorem 3.1 *Let H be a loopless linear hypergraph on n vertices and let S be the partial hypergraph determined by the edges of size at least 3. If S has the edge-colouring property and $\Delta_S \leq 3$, then $q(H) \leq n$.*

Let H be a hypergraph and $V' \subseteq V(H)$. The subhypergraph H' of H with vertex set V' and edge set $E' = \{e_i \cap H' : 1 \leq i \leq m, e_i \cap V' \neq \emptyset\}$ is called the subhypergraph of H induced by V' . By duality, Theorem 3.1 gives the following special case of Conjecture 1.

Corollary 3.2 *Let H be a linear hypergraph consisting of n edges, each of size n , and let S be the partial hypergraph S of H induced by the vertices of degree at least 3. If $|e| \leq 3$, for all $e \in E(S)$, and S can be 3-coloured, then it is possible to colour the vertices of H with n colours so that no two vertices in the same edge receive the same colour.*

Several classes of hypergraphs that generalise bipartite graphs are known to have the edge-colouring property (see, for example, [1] Chapter 5). These include the class of unimodular hypergraphs. A matrix A is said to be *totally unimodular* if the determinant of each square submatrix of A has one of the values 0, 1 or -1 . A hypergraph H is said to be *unimodular* if its incidence matrix is totally unimodular. It follows that the dual H^* of a hypergraph H is unimodular if and only if H is unimodular. We thus have the following particular cases of Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.2.

Corollary 3.3 *Conjecture 3 is true when the partial hypergraph S of H determined by the edges of size at least 3 is unimodular and satisfies $\Delta_S \leq 3$.*

Corollary 3.4 *Conjecture 1 is true when the subhypergraph S of H induced by the vertices of degree at least 3 is unimodular and such that $|e| \leq 3$, for all $e \in E(S)$.*

Finally, we note that there is a polynomial time algorithm developed by Bixby [3] to test whether a given hypergraph is unimodular.

References

- [1] Berge, C., *Hypergraphs, Combinatorics of Finite Sets*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1989) [translation of *Hypergraphes, Combinatoire des Ensembles Finis*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris (1987)].
- [2] C. Berge, A.J.W. Hilton, *On two conjectures on edge colouring hypergraphs*, *Congressus Numer.* 70 (1990), 99-104.
- [3] R. E. Bixby, *An algorithm for testing if a matrix is totally unimodular*, *Advanced Techniques in the Practice of Operations Research* (H. Greenberg, F. Murphy, S. Schews, eds.), North Holland, Amsterdam (1982), 443-446.
- [4] P. Erdős, *Problems and results in Graph Theory*, *Proc. 5th British Combinatorial Conference*, *Utilitas Math.* 15 (1976).
- [5] J. C. Fournier, *Colorations des arêtes d'un graphe*, *Cahiers du C.E.R.O.*, Bruxelles, 15 (1973), 311-314.
- [6] V. G. Vizing, *On an estimate of the chromatic class of a p -graph* (in Russian), *Diskret. Analiz.* 3 (1964), 9-17.