## Chapter 3

## The Scalar Product

The scalar product is a way of multiplying two vectors to produce a scalar (real number). Let $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ be nonzero vectors represented by $\overrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overrightarrow{A C}$.


We define the angle between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ to be the angle $\theta$ (in radians) between $\overrightarrow{A B}$ and $\overrightarrow{A C}$, with $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi$. A handy chart for converting between degrees and radians is given below.

| radians | 0 | $\frac{\pi}{180}$ | $\frac{\pi}{12}$ | $\frac{\pi}{10}$ | $\frac{\pi}{6}$ | $\frac{\pi}{5}$ | $\frac{\pi}{4}$ | 1 | $\frac{\pi}{3}$ | $\frac{2 \pi}{5}$ | $\frac{\pi}{2}$ | $\frac{2 \pi}{3}$ | $\frac{3 \pi}{4}$ | $\pi$ | $2 \pi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| degrees | 0 | 1 | 15 | 18 | 30 | 36 | 45 | $\frac{180}{\pi} \approx 57.3$ | 60 | 72 | 90 | 120 | 135 | 180 | 360 |

Definition 3.1. The scalar product (or dot product) of $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ is denoted $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$, and is defined to be 0 if either $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}$. If both $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$, we define $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$ by

$$
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}:=|\mathbf{u}||\mathbf{v}| \cos \theta,
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$. (Note that I have had to specify what $\theta$ is in the definition itself; you must do the same.) We say that $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are orthogonal if $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=0$.

Note that $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are orthogonal if and only if $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}$ or $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}$ or the angle between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ is $\frac{\pi}{2}$. (This includes the case $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}$.)
Note. Despite the notation concealing this fact somewhat, the scalar product is a function. Its codomain (and range) is $\mathbb{R}$, and its domain is the set of ordered pairs of (free) vectors. As usual, we must make sure that the function is defined (in a unique manner) for all elements of the domain, and this includes those pairs having the zero vector in one or both positions.

### 3.1 The scalar product using coördinates

Theorem 3.2. Let $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3}\end{array}\right)$ and $\mathbf{v}=\left(\begin{array}{l}v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3}\end{array}\right)$. Then $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=u_{1} v_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}+u_{3} v_{3}$.
Proof. If $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0}$ (in which case $u_{1}=u_{2}=u_{3}=0$ ) or $\mathbf{v}=\mathbf{0}$ (in which case $v_{1}=v_{2}=$ $v_{3}=0$ ) we have $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=0=u_{1} v_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}+u_{3} v_{3}$, as required.

Now suppose that $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \neq 0$, and let $\theta$ be the angle between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$. We calculate $|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}|^{2}$ in two different ways. Firstly we use coördinates.

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}|^{2}=\left|\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{1}+v_{1} \\
u_{2}+v_{2} \\
u_{3}+v_{3}
\end{array}\right)\right|^{2} & =\left(u_{1}+v_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{2}+v_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(u_{3}+v_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& =u_{1}^{2}+2 u_{1} v_{1}+v_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}+2 u_{2} v_{2}+v_{2}^{2}+u_{3}^{2}+2 u_{3} v_{3}+v_{3}^{2} \\
& =|\mathbf{u}|^{2}+|\mathbf{v}|^{2}+2\left(u_{1} v_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}+u_{3} v_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}|^{2}=|\mathbf{u}|^{2}+|\mathbf{v}|^{2}+2\left(u_{1} v_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}+u_{3} v_{3}\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our second way to do this is geometrical. Pick a point $A$, and consider the parallelogram $A B C D$, where $\overrightarrow{A B}$ represents $\mathbf{u}$ and $\overrightarrow{A D}$ represents $\mathbf{v}$. Thus $\overrightarrow{B C}$ represents $\mathbf{v}$, and so $\overrightarrow{A C}$ represents $\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}$ by the Triangle Rule. Drop a perpendicular from $C$ to the line through $A$ and $B$, meeting the said line at $N$, and let $M$ be an arbitrary point on the line through $A$ and $B$ strictly to 'right' of $B$ (i.e. when traversing the line through $A$ and $B$ in a certain direction we encounter the points in the order $A, B, M)$. Let $\theta$ be the angle between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ (i.e. $\theta$ is the size of angle $B A D$ ). A result from Euclidean geometry states that the angle $M B C$ also has size $\theta$. The following diagram has all this information.

(Note that this diagram is drawn with $0<\theta<\frac{\pi}{2}$. If $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ then $N=B$, and if $\theta>\frac{\pi}{2}$ then $N$ lies to the 'left' of $B$, probably between $A$ and $B$, but possibly even to the 'left' of $A$.) We have $|\overrightarrow{A N}|=|(|\mathbf{u}|+|\mathbf{v}| \cos \theta)|$, even when $\theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}$, and even when $N$ is to the 'left' of $A$. We also have that $|\overrightarrow{C N}|=|\mathbf{v}||\sin \theta|$. Applying Pythagoras (which is fine here even when $\theta \geqslant \frac{\pi}{2}$ ), and using the fact that $|a|^{2}=a^{2}$ whenever $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}|^{2}=|\overrightarrow{A C}|^{2}=|\overrightarrow{A N}|^{2}+|\overrightarrow{C N}|^{2} & =(|\mathbf{u}|+|\mathbf{v}| \cos \theta)^{2}+(|\mathbf{v}| \sin \theta)^{2} \\
& =|\mathbf{u}|^{2}+2|\mathbf{u}||\mathbf{v}| \cos \theta+|\mathbf{v}|^{2}(\cos \theta)^{2}+|\mathbf{v}|^{2}(\sin \theta)^{2} \\
& =|\mathbf{u}|^{2}+|\mathbf{v}|^{2}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)+2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\cos ^{2} \theta$ means $(\cos \theta)^{2}$ and $\sin ^{2} \theta$ means $(\sin \theta)^{2}$. Using the standard identity that $\cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta=1$ for all $\theta$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}|^{2}=|\mathbf{u}|^{2}+|\mathbf{v}|^{2}+2 \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing Equations 3.1 and 3.2 gives us the result.
Note that if $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{l}u_{1} \\ u_{2} \\ u_{3}\end{array}\right)$ then $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}=u_{1}^{2}+u_{2}^{2}+u_{3}^{2}=|\mathbf{u}|^{2}($ even when $\mathbf{u}=\mathbf{0})$.
Example. We determine $\cos \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle between $\mathbf{u}=\left(\begin{array}{c}2 \\ -1 \\ 1\end{array}\right)$ and $\mathbf{v}=$ $\left(\begin{array}{c}1 \\ 2 \\ -3\end{array}\right)$. We have $|\mathbf{u}|=\sqrt{2^{2}+(-1)^{2}+1^{2}}=\sqrt{6}$ and $|\mathbf{v}|=\sqrt{1^{2}+2^{2}+(-3)^{2}}=\sqrt{14}$, along with:

$$
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=2 \times 1+(-1) \times 2+1 \times(-3)=2-2-3=-3
$$

The formula $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=|\mathbf{u}||\mathbf{v}| \cos \theta$ gives $-3=\sqrt{6} \sqrt{14} \cos \theta=2 \sqrt{21} \cos \theta$, the last step being since $\sqrt{6} \sqrt{14}=\sqrt{2} \sqrt{3} \sqrt{2} \sqrt{7}=2 \sqrt{21}$. Thus we get:

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{-3}{2 \sqrt{21}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{3}{7}}
$$

(The last equality was obtained by cancelling a factor of $\sqrt{3}$ from the numerator and denominator. There is no need to do this if it does not make the fraction 'neater', and here I do not think it does.)

Note. The following is an example of totally unacceptable working when calculating a dot product.

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1 \\
-2
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
3 \\
-2 \\
1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \times 3 \\
(-1) \times(-2) \\
(-2) \times 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
3 \\
2 \\
-2
\end{array}\right)=3+2+(-2)=3
$$

This is because the first and third so-called equalities are nothing of the sort. The first is trying to equate a scalar (LHS) with a vector (RHS), while the third tries to equate a vector with a scalar. The above has $\boldsymbol{T} \boldsymbol{W} \boldsymbol{O}$ errors, and we shall simply mark such stuff as being wrong.

### 3.2 Properties of the scalar product

Let $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}$ and let $\theta$ be the angle between $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$. From the definition $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=$ $|\mathbf{u}||\mathbf{v}| \cos \theta$ of the scalar product we observe that:

- if $0 \leqslant \theta<\frac{\pi}{2}$ then $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}>0$;
- if $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ then $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=0$; and
- if $\frac{\pi}{2}<\theta \leqslant \pi$ then $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}<0$.

Moreover,

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{|\mathbf{u}||\mathbf{v}|}
$$

Now let $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}$ be any vectors. Then:

1. $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}$;
2. $\mathbf{u} \cdot(\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{w})=(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})+(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w})$;
3. $(\mathbf{u}+\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w}=(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w})+(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w})$;
4. $\mathbf{u} \cdot(\alpha \mathbf{v})=\alpha(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})=(\alpha \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v}$ for all scalars $\alpha$;
5. $\mathbf{u} \cdot(-\mathbf{v})=(-\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{v}=-(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})$; and
6. $(-\mathbf{u}) \cdot(-\mathbf{v})=\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}$.

There is however no (non-vacuous) associative law for the dot product. This is because neither of the quantities $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{w}$ and $\mathbf{u} \cdot(\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w})$ is defined. (In both cases, we are trying to form the dot product of a vector and a scalar in some order, and in neither order does such a product exist.)

Each of the above equalities can be proved by using Theorem 3.2, which expresses the dot product in terms of coördinates. To prove (1) we observe that:

$$
\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}=u_{1} v_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}+u_{3} v_{3}=v_{1} u_{1}+v_{2} u_{2}+v_{3} u_{3}=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}
$$

In order to prove the equality $\mathbf{u} \cdot(\alpha \mathbf{v})=\alpha(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v})$ of (4) we observe the following.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} \cdot(\alpha \mathbf{v})=\left(\begin{array}{c}
u_{1} \\
u_{2} \\
u_{3}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}
\alpha v_{1} \\
\alpha v_{2} \\
\alpha v_{3}
\end{array}\right) & =u_{1}\left(\alpha v_{1}\right)+u_{2}\left(\alpha v_{2}\right)+u_{3}\left(\alpha v_{3}\right) \\
& =\alpha\left(u_{1} v_{1}\right)+\alpha\left(u_{2} v_{2}\right)+\alpha\left(u_{3} v_{3}\right) \\
& =\alpha\left(u_{1} v_{1}+u_{2} v_{2}+u_{3} v_{3}\right)=\alpha(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proofs of the rest of these equalities are left as exercises.

### 3.3 Equation of a plane

Let $\mathbf{n}$ be a vector and $\Pi$ be a plane. We say that $\mathbf{n}$ is orthogonal to $\Pi$ (or $\Pi$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{n}$ ) if for all points $A, B$ on $\Pi$, we have that $\mathbf{n}$ is orthogonal to the vector represented by $\overrightarrow{A B}$. We also say that $\mathbf{n}$ is a normal (or normal vector) to $\Pi$, hence the notation $\mathbf{n}$.


Suppose that $\mathbf{n} \neq \mathbf{0}, A$ is a point, and we wish to determine an equation of the (unique) plane $\Pi$ that is orthogonal to $\mathbf{n}$ and contains $A$. Now a point $R$, with position vector $\mathbf{r}$, is on $\Pi$ exactly when $\overrightarrow{A R}$ represents a vector orthogonal to $\mathbf{n}$, that is when $(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{a}) \cdot \mathbf{n}=0$, where $\mathbf{a}$ is the position vector of $\mathbf{a}$. Equivalently, we have $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}=0$, which gives:

$$
\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}
$$

a vector equation of the plane $\Pi$, where $\mathbf{r}$ is the position vector of an arbitary point on $\Pi$, a is the position vector of a fixed point on $\Pi$, and $\mathbf{n}$ is a nonzero vector orthogonal to $\Pi$. In coördinates, we let

$$
\mathbf{r}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
n_{1} \\
n_{2} \\
n_{3}
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{a}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then the point $(x, y, z)$ is on $\Pi$ exactly when:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}
n_{1} \\
n_{2} \\
n_{3}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{1} \\
a_{2} \\
a_{3}
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}
n_{1} \\
n_{2} \\
n_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

that is, when

$$
n_{1} x+n_{2} y+n_{3} z=d,
$$

where $d=a_{1} n_{1}+a_{2} n_{2}+a_{3} n_{3}$. This is a Cartesian equation of the plane $\Pi$.
Example. We find a Cartesian equation for the plane through $A=(2,-1,3)$ and orthogonal to $\mathbf{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}-2 \\ 3 \\ 5\end{array}\right)$. A vector equation is $\left(\begin{array}{l}x \\ y \\ z\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}-2 \\ 3 \\ 5\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}2 \\ -1 \\ 3\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}-2 \\ 3 \\ 5\end{array}\right)$, which gives rise to the Cartesian equation $-2 x+3 y+5 z=8$.

Example. The equation $2 x-y+3 z=6$ specifies the plane $\Pi$ orthogonal to $\mathbf{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}2 \\ -1 \\ 3\end{array}\right)$ and containing the point $(1,-1,1)$. This is because we can write the equation as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
x \\
y \\
z
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
-1 \\
3
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
-1 \\
1
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 \\
-1 \\
3
\end{array}\right)
$$

which has the form $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}$ for suitable vectors $\mathbf{r}$ and $\mathbf{a}$. The point $(1,2,3)$ is not on $\Pi$ since $2 \times 1+(-1) \times 2+3 \times 3=2-2+9=9 \neq 6$. The point $(1,2,2)$ is on $\Pi$ since $2 \times 1+(-1) \times 2+3 \times 2=2-2+6=6$.

Note that the coördinates of $\mathbf{n}$ can always be taken to be the coefficients of $x, y, z$ in the Cartesian equation. (It is valid to multiply such an $\mathbf{n}$ by any nonzero scalar, but must ensure we do the corresponding operations to the right-hand sides of any equations we use. Thus both $2 x-y+3 x=6$ and $-4 x+2 y-6 x=-12$ are Cartesian equations of the plane $\Pi$ in the second example above.) Finding a point on $\Pi$ is harder. A sensible strategy is to set two of $x, y, z$ to be zero (where the coefficient of the third is nonzero). Here setting $x=y=0$ gives $3 z=6$, whence $z=2$, so that $(0,0,2)$ is on $\Pi$. Setting $x=z=0$ gives $y=-6$, so that $(0,-6,0)$ is on $\Pi$, and setting $y=z=0$ gives $x=3$, so that $(3,0,0)$ is on $\Pi$. (This sensible strategy does not find the point $(1,-1,1)$ that is on $\Pi$.)

In the case of the plane $\Pi^{\prime}$ with equation $x+y=1$, setting $x=z=0$ gives the point $(0,1,0)$ on $\Pi^{\prime}$, while setting $y=z=0$ gives the point $(1,0,0)$ on $\Pi^{\prime}$. But if we set $x=y=0$, we end up with the equation $0=1$, which has no solutions for $z$, so we do not find a point here.

Note. [Not lectured.] Another form of a vector equation for a plane, corresponding to the vector equation for a line is as follows. Take any 3 points $A, B, C$ on $\Pi$ such that $A$, $B, C$ are not on the same line. Let $A, B, C$ have position vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ respectively. Then a vector equation for $\Pi$ is:

$$
\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{a}+\lambda(\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{a})+\mu(\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{a}),
$$

where $\lambda$ and $\mu$ range (independently) over the whole of $\mathbb{R}$.

### 3.4 The distance from a point to a plane

Let $\Pi$ be the plane having equation $a x+b y+c z=d$, so that $\Pi$ is orthogonal to $\mathbf{n}=\left(\begin{array}{l}a \\ b \\ c\end{array}\right) \neq \mathbf{0}$. Let $Q$ be a point, and let $M$ be the point on $\Pi$ closest to $Q$.


Let $\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{q}$ be the position vectors of $M, Q$. Then the vector $\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{m}$ represented by $\overrightarrow{M Q}$ is orthogonal to $\Pi$, and so (since we are in just 3 dimensions) $\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{m}$ is a scalar multiple of $\mathbf{n}$, that is $\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{m}=\lambda \mathbf{n}$ for some scalar $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore:

$$
(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{m}) \cdot \mathbf{n}=(\lambda \mathbf{n}) \cdot \mathbf{n}=\lambda(\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n})=\lambda|\mathbf{n}|^{2},
$$

and so $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n}=\lambda|\mathbf{n}|^{2}$. But $\mathbf{m}$ is on $\Pi$, which has equation $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{n}=d$, and so $\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{n}=d$. Therefore $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}-d=\lambda|\mathbf{n}|^{2}$, and thus:

$$
\lambda=\frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}-d}{|\mathbf{n}|^{2}}
$$

But the distance from $Q$ to $\Pi$ (which is the distance from $Q$ to $M$, where $M$ is the closest point on $\Pi$ to $Q$ ) is in fact $|\overrightarrow{M Q}|=|\lambda \mathbf{n}|=|\lambda||\mathbf{n}|$, that is:

$$
\left|\frac{\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}-d}{|\mathbf{n}|^{2}}\right||\mathbf{n}|=\frac{|\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}-d|}{|\mathbf{n}|}
$$

If one looks at other sources one may see a superficially dissimilar formula for this distance. To obtain this, we let $P$ be any point on $\Pi$, and let $\mathbf{p}$ be the position vector of $\mathbf{p}$, so that $\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}=d$. Thus $\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}-d=\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}-\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{n}=(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{n}$. Therefore the distance can also be expressed as:

$$
\frac{|(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{n}|}{|\mathbf{n}|}=\left|(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}}{|\mathbf{n}|}\right|=\left|\operatorname{free}(\overrightarrow{P Q}) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{n}}{|\mathbf{n}|}\right|=|(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}|,
$$

where $\operatorname{free}(\overrightarrow{P Q})=\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}$ is the (free) vector represented by $\overrightarrow{P Q}$, and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ is the unit vector in the direction of $\mathbf{n}$.

Example. We find the distance of $(3,-2,4)$ from the plane defined by $2 x+3 y-5 z=7$. With our notation we have $\mathbf{n}=\left(\begin{array}{c}2 \\ 3 \\ -5\end{array}\right), d=7, \mathbf{q}=\left(\begin{array}{c}3 \\ -2 \\ 4\end{array}\right)$, and so the distance is

$$
\frac{|\mathbf{q} \cdot \mathbf{n}-d|}{|\mathbf{n}|}=\frac{|(6-6-20)-7|}{\sqrt{2^{2}+3^{2}+(-5)^{2}}}=\frac{27}{\sqrt{38}} .
$$

### 3.5 The distance from a point to a line

Let $\ell$ be the line with (vector) equation $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{p}+\lambda \mathbf{u}$, where $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$, and let $Q$ be a point with position vector $\mathbf{q}$. If $Q=P$ (where $P$ has position vector $P$ ), then $Q$ is on $\ell$, and the distance between $Q$ and $\ell$ is 0 . Else we drop a normal from $Q$ to $\ell$ meeting $\ell$ at the point $M$.


The distance from $Q$ to $\ell$ is $|\overrightarrow{M Q}|$, that is $|\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{m}|$, is easily seen from the diagram to be $|\overrightarrow{P Q}| \sin \theta$, where $\theta$ is the angle between $\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}$ (the vector that $\overrightarrow{P Q}$ represents) and the vector $\mathbf{u}$ (which is the direction [up to opposite] of the line $\ell$ ). [Pedants should recall that $\mathbf{u} \neq \mathbf{0}$, and also note that $\sin \theta \geqslant 0$, since $0 \leqslant \theta \leqslant \pi$.] For now we content ourselves with noting that this distance is $|\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}| \sin \theta$ (which 'morally' applies even when $\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{p}$ ). When we encounter the cross product, we shall be able to express this distance as $|(\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}) \times \mathbf{u}| /|\mathbf{u}|$. Note that $\sin \theta$ can be calculated using dot products, since $\cos \theta$ can be so calculated, and we have $\sin \theta=\sqrt{1-\cos ^{2} \theta}$. On calculating $|\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}| \sin \theta$, we find that the distance from $Q$ to $\ell$ is

$$
\frac{\sqrt{|\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}|^{2}|\mathbf{u}|^{2}-((\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{u})^{2}}}{|\mathbf{u}|}
$$

a formula which applies even when $\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{p}$. In the case when $|\mathbf{u}|=1$ the above formula simplifies to $\sqrt{|\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}|^{2}-((\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{p}) \cdot \mathbf{u})^{2}}$.

Exercise. Use methods from Calculus I to minimise the distance from $R$ to $Q$, where $R$, the typical point on $\ell$, has position vector $\mathbf{r}$ with $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{p}+\lambda \mathbf{u}$. Show that this minimum agrees with the distance from $Q$ to $\ell$ given above. Hint: The quantity $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{q}|$ is always at least 0 . So $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{q}|$ is minimal precisely when $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{q}|^{2}$ is minimal. But $|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{q}|^{2}=(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{q}) \cdot(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{q})$, and this is easier to deal with.

