

**Practice Question 1.** This definition is in the Week 12 lecture notes. Let  $t$  be a linear transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  represented by the  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ .

We call  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  an *eigenvector* of  $t$  (and of  $A$ ) if  $\mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}_n$  and  $t(\mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$  for some scalar  $\lambda$ , in which case  $\lambda$  is called the *eigenvalue* of  $t$  (and of  $A$ ) corresponding to  $\mathbf{v}$ .

**Practice Question 2.** Note that the zero vector should never be included as an eigenvector.

- (a) Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$ . The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $\det(A - xI_2) = \begin{vmatrix} 1-x & -1 \\ 3 & 5-x \end{vmatrix} = (1-x)(5-x) - (-3) = x^2 - 6x + 8 = (x-2)(x-4)$ . Thus the eigenvalues of  $A$  are 2 and 4.

To determine the eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalue 2, we solve

$$(A - 2I_2) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{that is} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 3 & 3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is equivalent to the single equation  $-x - y = 0$  (the other equation is  $3x + 3y = 0$ , which is a scalar multiple of this one). Thus  $x$  can be any real number,  $r$  say, and then  $y = -r$ . (Using Gaussian elimination strictly we would set  $y = t$ , where  $t$  can be any real number, and conclude that  $x = -t$ .) Thus, the set of all eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalue 2 is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r \\ -r \end{pmatrix} : r \in \mathbb{R} \mid r \neq 0 \right\}.$$

To determine the eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalue 4, we solve

$$(A - 4I_2) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{that is} \quad \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -1 \\ 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is equivalent to the single equation  $-3x - y = 0$  (the other equation is  $3x + y = 0$ , which is a scalar multiple of this one). Thus  $x$  can be any

real number,  $r$  say, and then  $y = -3r$ . (Using Gaussian elimination strictly we would set  $y = t$ , where  $t$  can be any real number, and conclude that  $x = -t/3$ .) Thus, the set of all eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalue 4 is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r \\ -3r \end{pmatrix} : r \in \mathbb{R} \mid r \neq 0 \right\}.$$

(b) Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ . The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $\det(A - xI_2) = \begin{vmatrix} 4-x & 0 \\ 0 & 4-x \end{vmatrix} = (4-x)^2 = (x-4)^2$ . Thus the only eigenvalue of  $A$  is 4.

To determine the eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalue 4, we solve

$$(A - 4I_2) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{that is} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and observe that **all**  $\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  are solutions.

[Alternatively, observe that  $A\mathbf{v} = 4\mathbf{v}$  for all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ .]

Thus, the set of all eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalue 4 consists of all the elements of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  except for the zero vector, that is,  $\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}_2\}$ , or equivalently

$$\left\{ \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid \mathbf{v} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

(c) Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -1 \\ 1 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$ . The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $\det(A - xI_2) = \begin{vmatrix} -2-x & -1 \\ 1 & -4-x \end{vmatrix} = (-2-x)(-4-x) - (-1) = x^2 + 6x + 9 = (x+3)^2$ .

Thus the only eigenvalue of  $A$  is  $-3$ .

To determine the eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalue  $-3$ , we solve

$$(A + 3I_2) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{that is} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This is equivalent to the single equation  $x - y = 0$  (both equations are identical here). Thus  $y$  can be any real number,  $r$  say, and then  $x = r$ . Thus, the set of all eigenvectors with corresponding eigenvalue  $-3$  is

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r \\ r \end{pmatrix} : r \in \mathbb{R} \mid r \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Note that in this part and the previous one, we obtained a repeated eigenvalue. In the previous part, ignoring the zero vector, we obtained a 2-space of corresponding eigenvectors, while in this part (which shows more typical behaviour) we only obtain a 1-space of corresponding eigenvectors (ignoring the zero vector).

**Practice Question 3.** Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(a) The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A - xI_3) &= \begin{vmatrix} 1-x & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 1-x & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 4-x \end{vmatrix} \\ &= (1-x)(1-x)(4-x) - 2(2(4-x)) + 0 \\ &= (4-x)((1-x)^2 - 4) = (4-x)(x^2 - 2x - 3) \\ &= -(x-4)(x-3)(x+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the eigenvalues of  $A$  are  $-1$ ,  $3$  and  $4$ .

(b) To determine an eigenvector with corresponding eigenvalue  $-1$ , we solve

$$(A + I_3) \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{that is} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which is equivalent to the following system of linear equations:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 2x + 2y &= 0 \\ 2x + 2y &= 0 \\ x + 2y + 5z &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

This system is equivalent to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 2x + 2y &= 0 \\ 0 &= 0 \\ y + 5z &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

which (discarding the degenerate equation  $0 = 0$ ) is equivalent to

$$\left. \begin{aligned} 2x + 2y &= 0 \\ y + 5z &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\},$$

which is a system of non-degenerate linear equations in echelon form.

Now  $z$  is the only non-leading variable, and so to obtain one solution with  $x, y, z$  not all equal to zero, we may take  $z = 1$ , and then we have  $y = -5z = -5$ , and  $2x - 10 = 0$ , so  $x = 5$ .

Thus  $\begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is an eigenvector, with corresponding eigenvalue  $-1$ .

[Any nonzero scalar multiple of the above vector is also correct.]

(c) From Part (b), we see that such an  $\ell$  is the line through the origin and

$(5, -5, 1)$ , and so  $\ell$  has vector equation  $\mathbf{r} = \mu \begin{pmatrix} 5 \\ -5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

[Of course it is acceptable for the parameter to be called  $\lambda$  instead of  $\mu$ , although now we are mostly using  $\lambda$  to denote an eigenvalue.]

**Practice Question 4.** We have  $A(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}) = A\mathbf{u} + A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{u} + \lambda\mathbf{v} = \lambda(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v})$ , where the equality  $A\mathbf{u} + A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{u} + \lambda\mathbf{v}$  follows from the fact that  $\mathbf{u}$  and  $\mathbf{v}$  are eigenvectors of  $A$ , both with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .

Thus, if  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{0}_n$ , then  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector of  $A$  with corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . (And if  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{0}_n$  then  $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$  is *not* an eigenvector of  $A$ , by definition.)

**Practice Question 5.** [My intention when setting this question was that  $S_\theta$  corresponds to a reflexion in a line through  $O$  at (anticlockwise) angle  $\theta/2$  to the (positive)  $x$ -axis, as per my preferred convention. But in lectures this year you had  $S_\theta$  corresponding to a reflexion in a line through  $O$  at angle  $\theta$  to the  $x$ -axis. This has no effect on the answer Part (c), and only a minimal effect on Part (b). It does, however, have some effect on Part (a).]

(a) We have

$$A = S_\theta S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta & \sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} = R_{2\theta},$$

and so  $A$  represents the rotation through angle  $2\theta$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} B = S_\pi S_\theta &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta & \sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta & \sin 2\theta \\ -\sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(-2\theta) & -\sin(-2\theta) \\ \sin(-2\theta) & \cos(-2\theta) \end{pmatrix} = R_{-2\theta}, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $B$  represents the rotation through angle  $-2\theta$ .

It is especially unfortunate that  $S_\pi = S_0$  under the convention in force. It was my intention that  $S_\pi$  be the reflexion in the  $y$ -axis (the equivalent of  $S_{\pi/2}$  from lectures), whereas  $S_0$  is the reflexion in the  $x$ -axis. So let us answer the question as it was intended. We have

$$A = S_\theta S_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = R_\theta,$$

and so  $A$  represents the rotation through angle  $\theta$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} B = S_\pi S_\theta &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & -\cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\pi - \theta) & -\sin(\pi - \theta) \\ \sin(\pi - \theta) & \cos(\pi - \theta) \end{pmatrix} = R_{\pi - \theta}, \end{aligned}$$

and so  $B$  represents the rotation through angle  $\pi - \theta$ .

(b) We can prove this by showing that  $S_\theta S_\theta = I_2$ , as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} S_\theta S_\theta &= \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta & \sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta & \sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} (\cos 2\theta)^2 + (\sin 2\theta)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & (\sin 2\theta)^2 + (\cos 2\theta)^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I_2. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, we can apply the formula for the inverse of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix. We have  $\det S_\theta = -1$ , and so

$$(S_\theta)^{-1} = - \begin{pmatrix} -\cos 2\theta & -\sin 2\theta \\ -\sin 2\theta & \cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos 2\theta & \sin 2\theta \\ \sin 2\theta & -\cos 2\theta \end{pmatrix} = S_\theta.$$

[With my preferred convention for  $S_\theta$ , the above proofs would be essentially the same. The only difference is that every occurrence of  $2\theta$  in a matrix would get replaced by  $\theta$ ; thus  $\cos 2\theta$  becomes  $\cos \theta$ , and so on.]

(c) [There are (at least) two ways of doing this. Both these ways use Part (b) that shows that a reflexion is its own inverse. The first proof also uses the fact (proved in the Week 8 lecture notes) that if  $A$  and  $B$  are invertible  $n \times n$  matrices then  $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$ . The second proof checks directly that the required properties to be an inverse hold.]

First proof: We have

$$(S_{\theta_1} S_{\theta_2} S_{\theta_3})^{-1} = (S_{\theta_3})^{-1} (S_{\theta_1} S_{\theta_2})^{-1} = (S_{\theta_3})^{-1} (S_{\theta_2})^{-1} (S_{\theta_1})^{-1} = S_{\theta_3} S_{\theta_2} S_{\theta_1}.$$

Second proof: We have

$$\begin{aligned}(S_{\theta_1}S_{\theta_2}S_{\theta_3})(S_{\theta_3}S_{\theta_2}S_{\theta_1}) &= S_{\theta_1}S_{\theta_2}S_{\theta_3}(S_{\theta_3})^{-1}(S_{\theta_2})^{-1}(S_{\theta_1})^{-1} \\ &= S_{\theta_1}S_{\theta_2}I_2(S_{\theta_2})^{-1}(S_{\theta_1})^{-1} = S_{\theta_1}S_{\theta_2}(S_{\theta_2})^{-1}(S_{\theta_1})^{-1} \\ &= S_{\theta_1}I_2(S_{\theta_1})^{-1} = S_{\theta_1}(S_{\theta_1})^{-1} = I_2,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(S_{\theta_3}S_{\theta_2}S_{\theta_1})(S_{\theta_1}S_{\theta_2}S_{\theta_3}) &= S_{\theta_3}S_{\theta_2}S_{\theta_1}(S_{\theta_1})^{-1}(S_{\theta_2})^{-1}(S_{\theta_3})^{-1} \\ &= S_{\theta_3}S_{\theta_2}I_2(S_{\theta_2})^{-1}(S_{\theta_3})^{-1} = S_{\theta_3}S_{\theta_2}(S_{\theta_2})^{-1}(S_{\theta_3})^{-1} \\ &= S_{\theta_3}I_2(S_{\theta_3})^{-1} = S_{\theta_3}(S_{\theta_3})^{-1} = I_2.\end{aligned}$$

**Practice Question 6.** This topic was not lectured this year, but does (or will) appear in Chapter 10 of the online notes. From the lecture notes, rotations through  $\theta$  about the  $x$ -axis and  $z$ -axis have matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ 0 & \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

respectively. So  $R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(a) We have  $R_3 = R_2R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(b)  $\det(R_3 - I_3) = \begin{vmatrix} -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = (-1)(-1) - 1(-1) = 0$  (or to show this

determinant is 0, add the last two columns to the first column and so change the first column to  $\mathbf{0}_3$ ). Hence 1 is an eigenvalue.

A corresponding eigenvector is obtained from any nonzero solution of the system of equations:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} -x + 0y + z &= 0 \\ x - y + 0z &= 0 \\ 0x + y - z &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

So an eigenvector of  $R_3$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 is  $\mathbf{u}_1 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

(or any nonzero scalar multiple of this).

(c) Since  $R_3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , the direction of the axis of rotation of  $R_3$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

(d) We have

$$\begin{aligned} (R_3)^3 &= \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence applying the rotation  $R_3$  three times gives the identity. So if  $R_3$  is a rotation through angle  $\theta$  we deduce that  $3\theta = 2\pi k$  for some integer  $k$ . (Angles are only defined up to adding integer multiples of  $2\pi$ .) Since  $R_3$  itself is not the identity, we deduce that  $R_3$  is a rotation through  $\pm 2\pi/3$  (modulo integer multiples of  $2\pi$ ).

(Looking from  $(1, 1, 1)$  towards  $O = (0, 0, 0)$ , this rotation is through an anticlockwise angle of  $2\pi/3$ .)

(e) Let  $R_4 = R_1 R_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $\det(R_4 - I_3) = \begin{vmatrix} -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = (-1)(+1) + 1(+1) = 0$ , so  $+1$  is an eigenvalue, and an eigenvector corresponding to this eigenvalue  $1$  is  $\mathbf{u}_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$  (or any nonzero scalar multiple of this).

Thus  $R_4 = R_1 R_2$  has an axis of rotation different from that for  $R_2 R_1$ . But a direct calculation of  $(R_4)^3$  shows that  $(R_4)^3 = I_3 \neq R_4$ , so  $R_4$  is still a rotation through  $\pm 2\pi/3$ . (Looking from  $(1, -1, 1)$  towards  $O = (0, 0, 0)$ , this rotation is through an anticlockwise angle of  $2\pi/3$ .)

Let  $A = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$  and  $B = (b_{ij})_{n \times n}$  be square matrices. The *trace* of  $A$ , denoted  $\text{tr } A$ , is the sum of the (top-left to bottom-right) diagonal entries of  $A$ . Thus  $\text{tr } A := \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$ . (We do not care about the top-right to bottom-left ‘diagonal’.) The *order* of  $A$ , denoted  $\text{o}(A)$ , is the least integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $A^m = I$ . If no such  $m$  exists, then  $A$  has infinite order, and we write  $\text{o}(A) = \infty$ . In the above both  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  have order 4, while both  $R_1 R_2$  and  $R_2 R_1$  have order 3.

We have seen that  $AB \neq BA$  in general. Nonetheless, certain properties are shared by  $AB$  and  $BA$ , including determinant, trace, characteristic polynomial, order, and set of eigenvalues, though the corresponding eigenvectors are in general different.

For example,  $R_1R_2$  and  $R_2R_1$  both have determinant 1, trace 0, order 3, characteristic polynomial  $1 - x^3$ , and eigenvalue set  $\{1, \frac{1}{2}(-1 + i\sqrt{3}), \frac{1}{2}(-1 - i\sqrt{3})\}$ , where  $i^2 = -1$ . However, the eigenvectors of  $R_1R_2$  and  $R_2R_1$  corresponding to the eigenvalue 1 differ, and cannot be made the same by scaling. In fact,  $\mathbf{u}_1$  is not an eigenvector of  $R_1R_2$  for any eigenvalue, and  $\mathbf{u}_2$  is not an eigenvector of  $R_2R_1$  for any eigenvalue

Dr John N. Bray, 26th March 2014