# Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

## Alex Fink

Department of Mathematics University of California, Berkeley

## Tropical Geometry in Combinatorics and Algebra MSRI October 16, 2009

Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

MSRI, Oct. 16, 2009 1 / 25

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Motivation

*Newton polytopes* give us a nice combinatorial understanding of tropical hypersurfaces, *matroid polytopes* of tropical linear spaces.

Chow polytopes are the common generalisation.

Do Chow polytopes yield a nice combinatorial understanding of tropical varieties?



# Review: Newton polytopes

Given a constant-coefficient hypersurface  $V(f) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , with  $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  homogeneous,  $\operatorname{Trop}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{1}$  is the codimension 1 part of the normal fan to the Newton polytope of *f*,

Newt(f) = conv{ $m \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\vee} : x^m$  is a monomial of f}  $\subseteq (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\vee}$ .

If  $\mathbb{K}$  is a valued field, the valuations of the coefficients of f induce a *regular subdivision* of Newt(f). Use the *normal complex* to this subdivision instead.



# Review: Newton polytopes

Given a constant-coefficient hypersurface  $V(f) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , with  $f \in \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  homogeneous,  $\operatorname{Trop}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{1}$  is the codimension 1 part of the normal fan to the Newton polytope of *f*,

Newt(f) = conv{ $m \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^{\vee} : x^m$  is a monomial of f}  $\subseteq (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\vee}$ .

If  $\mathbb{K}$  is a valued field, the valuations of the coefficients of *f* induce a *regular subdivision* of Newt(*f*). Use the *normal complex* to this subdivision instead.



# Review: Matroid polytopes

Given a constant-coefficient linear space  $V(I) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , with  $I \subseteq \mathbb{K}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  a linear ideal,  $\operatorname{Trop}(X) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{1}$  is the union of normals to *loop-free* faces of the matroid polytope of *I*,

$$Q(M_I) = \operatorname{conv}\{\sum_{j\in J} e_J : p_J(I) \neq 0\} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\vee},$$

where  $p_J(I)$  are the *Plücker coordinates* of *I*.

If  $\mathbb{K}$  is a valued field, the valuations of the coefficients of *I* induce a *regular subdivision* of  $Q(M_I)$ . Use the *normal complex* to this subdivision instead.  $e_4$ 



# The Chow variety

What about parametrising *classical* subvarieties  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}_{\mathbb{K}}$ ? Cycles?

### Definition

The Chow variety G(d, n, r) is the parameter space for (effective) cycles in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  of dimension d-1 and degree r.

The Chow variety is projective, and has a projective embedding via the *Chow form*  $R_X$ :

$$G(d, n, r) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K}[G(n-d, n)]_r)$$
  
 $X \mapsto R_X.$ 

The coordinate ring  $\mathbb{K}[G(n-d, n)]$  of the Grassmannian G(n-d, n) has a presentation in Plücker coordinates:

 $\mathbb{K}[G(n-d,n)] = \mathbb{K}\big[ \, [J] : J \in \binom{[n]}{n-d} \, \big] \big/ (\text{Plücker relations}).$ 

# Chow polytopes

The torus  $(\mathbb{K}^*)^n$  acts on  $G(d, n, r) \subseteq \mathbb{K}[G(n - d, n)]$  diagonally. The *weight* of the bracket [*J*] is  $e_J := \sum_{j \in J} e_j$ . That is,

$$(h_1,\ldots,h_n)\cdot [J]=\prod_{j\in J}h_j[J].$$

The weight of a monomial  $\prod_i [J_i]^{m_i}$  is  $\sum_i m_i e_{J_i}$ .

#### Definition

The Chow polytope of X,  $Chow(X) \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\vee}$ , is the *weight polytope* of its Chow form  $R_X$ :

 $Chow(X) = conv\{weight of m : m a monomial of R_X\}.$ 

The Chow polytope of X,  $\operatorname{Chow}(X) \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\vee}$ , is the *weight polytope* of its Chow form  $R_X$ :

 $\operatorname{Chow}(X) = \operatorname{conv}\{\text{weight of } m : m \text{ a monomial of } R_X\}.$ 

## Examples

- For X a hypersurface V(f),  $R_X = f$  and Chow(X) is the Newton polytope.
- For X a *linear space*,  $R_X = \sum_J p_J[J]$  is the linear form in the brackets with the Plücker coordinates of X as coefficients, and Chow(X) is the *matroid polytope* of X.

• For X an embedded *toric variety* in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , Chow(X) is a *secondary polytope* [Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky].

The Chow polytope of X,  $\operatorname{Chow}(X) \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\vee}$ , is the *weight polytope* of its Chow form  $R_X$ :

 $\operatorname{Chow}(X) = \operatorname{conv}\{\text{weight of } m : m \text{ a monomial of } R_X\}.$ 

## Examples

- For X a hypersurface V(f),  $R_X = f$  and Chow(X) is the Newton polytope.
- For X a *linear space*,  $R_X = \sum_J p_J[J]$  is the linear form in the brackets with the Plücker coordinates of X as coefficients, and Chow(X) is the *matroid polytope* of X.

• For X an embedded *toric variety* in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ , Chow(X) is a *secondary polytope* [Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky].

The Chow polytope of X,  $\operatorname{Chow}(X) \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^n)^{\vee}$ , is the *weight polytope* of its Chow form  $R_X$ :

 $\operatorname{Chow}(X) = \operatorname{conv}\{\text{weight of } m : m \text{ a monomial of } R_X\}.$ 

## Examples

- For X a hypersurface V(f),  $R_X = f$  and Chow(X) is the Newton polytope.
- For X a *linear space*,  $R_X = \sum_J p_J[J]$  is the linear form in the brackets with the Plücker coordinates of X as coefficients, and Chow(X) is the *matroid polytope* of X.
- For X an embedded *toric variety* in P<sup>n−1</sup>, Chow(X) is a *secondary polytope* [Gelfand-Kapranov-Zelevinsky].

The torus action on G(d, n, r) lets us take toric limits: given a one-parameter subgroup  $u : \mathbb{K}^* \to (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ , send  $x \in G(d, n, r)$  to  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) \cdot x$ .

These correspond to toric degenerations of cycles in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ .

### Theorem (Kapranov–Sturmfels–Zelevinsky)

The face poset of Chow(X) is isomorphic to the poset of toric degenerations of X.

In particular, the vertices of Chow(X) are in bijection with toric degenerations of X that are sums of coordinate (d - 1)-planes  $L_J = V(x_j = 0 : j \in J)$ . A cycle  $\sum_J m_J L_J$  corresponds to the vertex  $\sum_J m_J e_J$ .

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

The torus action on G(d, n, r) lets us take toric limits: given a one-parameter subgroup  $u : \mathbb{K}^* \to (\mathbb{K}^*)^n$ , send  $x \in G(d, n, r)$  to  $\lim_{t\to\infty} u(t) \cdot x$ .

These correspond to toric degenerations of cycles in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ .

## Theorem (Kapranov–Sturmfels–Zelevinsky)

The face poset of Chow(X) is isomorphic to the poset of toric degenerations of *X*.

In particular, the vertices of Chow(X) are in bijection with toric degenerations of X that are sums of coordinate (d - 1)-planes  $L_J = V(x_j = 0 : j \in J)$ . A cycle  $\sum_J m_J L_J$  corresponds to the vertex  $\sum_J m_J e_J$ .

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

Suppose  $(\mathbb{K}, \nu)$  is a valued field, with residue field  $\mathbf{k} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{K}$ .

Over **k**, the torus  $(\mathbf{k}^*)^n \times \mathbf{k}^*$  acts on  $G(d, n, r) \subseteq \mathbb{K}[G(n - d, n)]$ : brackets [*J*] have weight  $(e_J, 0)$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{K}$  has weight  $(0, \nu(a))$ .

For a cycle  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  this gives us a weight polytope  $\Pi \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^{\vee}$ . Its vertices are the vertices of Chow(X), lifted according to  $\nu$ .

#### Definition

The Chow subdivision  $\operatorname{Chow}'(X)$  of X is the regular subdivision of  $\operatorname{Chow}(X)$  induced by the lower faces of  $\Pi$ .

Examples: Newton and matroid polytope subdivisions.

# The tropical side

#### Fact

 $\operatorname{Trop}(X)$  is a subcomplex of the normal complex of  $\operatorname{Chow}'(X)$ .

Trop(X) determines Chow'(X), by orthant-shooting.

Let  $\sigma_J$  be the cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{1}$  with generators  $\{e_j : j \in J\}$ . For a 0-dimensional tropical variety *C*, let #C be the sum of the multiplicities of the points of *C*.

Theorem (Dickenstein–Feichtner–Sturmfels, F)

Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be s.t. face<sub>u</sub> Chow'(X) is a vertex. Then

face<sub>*u*</sub> Chow'(X) = 
$$\sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{n-d}} #([u + \sigma_J] \cdot \operatorname{Trop} X) e_J.$$

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

#### Fact

 $\operatorname{Trop}(X)$  is a subcomplex of the normal complex of  $\operatorname{Chow}'(X)$ .

Trop(X) determines Chow'(X), by orthant-shooting.

Let  $\sigma_J$  be the cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{1}$  with generators  $\{e_j : j \in J\}$ . For a 0-dimensional tropical variety *C*, let #C be the sum of the multiplicities of the points of *C*.

Theorem (Dickenstein–Feichtner–Sturmfels, F)

Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be s.t. face<sub>u</sub> Chow'(X) is a vertex. Then

face<sub>*u*</sub> Chow'(X) = 
$$\sum_{J \in \binom{[n]}{n-d}} \#([u + \sigma_J] \cdot \operatorname{Trop} X) \boldsymbol{e}_J.$$

< 口 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.

## Example



Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.

## Example



Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.

Example



Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.

Example Here  $X = V(xy^2 + x^2z + t^2y^2z + yz^2 + tz^3) \subseteq \mathbb{P}^2$ . 2 (1, 2, 0)

э

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.

Example



Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.

Example



Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.

Example



Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.

Example



Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.



マロト イラト イラ

When X is a hypersurface, this is just *ray-shooting*.



4 **A** N A **B** N A **B** N

In general we shoot higher-dimensional cones:



Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

MSRI, Oct. 16, 2009 12 / 25

Until now we've only considered tropicalisations. We'd like to work with abstract tropical objects:

Definition

A tropical cycle in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{1}$  is an element of

{pure integral polyhedral complexes w/ integer weights satisfying the balancing condition } / (refinement of complexes).

A tropical variety is a tropical cycle with all weights nonnegative. It is a fan cycle if the underlying complex is a fan.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

Until now we've only considered tropicalisations. We'd like to work with abstract tropical objects:

Definition

A tropical cycle in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1} = \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{1}$  is an element of

 $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pure integral polyhedral complexes w/ integer} \\ \text{weights satisfying the balancing condition} \end{array} \right\} \left/ \left( \begin{array}{c} \text{refinement of} \\ \text{complexes} \end{array} \right). \right.$ 

A tropical variety is a tropical cycle with all weights nonnegative. It is a fan cycle if the underlying complex is a fan.

Let  $Z^i$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module of tropical cycles in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  of *codimension i*, and  $Z^* = \bigoplus_i Z^i$ .

For  $\Sigma$  a polyhedral complex, let  $Z^*(\Sigma)$  be the finite-dimensional submodule of cycles whose facets are faces of  $\Sigma$ . (This is not Kaiserslautern notation!)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

For tropical cycles *C* and *D*, let  $C \cdot D$  denote the *(stable) intersection* of tropical intersection theory:  $C \cdot D = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} C \cap (D \text{ displaced by } \epsilon)$  with lattice multiplicities.

Stable intersection makes  $Z^*$  into a graded ring.

Fan tropical cycles and their intersection product make other appearances:

 as elements of the direct limit of Chow cohomology rings of toric varieties [Fulton-Sturmfels];

• as *Minkowski weights*, one representation of the elements of the *polytope algebra* of Peter McMullen.

3

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

For tropical cycles *C* and *D*, let  $C \cdot D$  denote the *(stable) intersection* of tropical intersection theory:  $C \cdot D = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} C \cap (D \text{ displaced by } \epsilon)$  with lattice multiplicities.

Stable intersection makes  $Z^*$  into a graded ring.

Fan tropical cycles and their intersection product make other appearances:

- as elements of the direct limit of Chow cohomology rings of toric varieties [Fulton-Sturmfels];
- as *Minkowski weights*, one representation of the elements of the *polytope algebra* of Peter McMullen.

For tropical cycles *C* and *D*, let  $C \cdot D$  denote the *(stable) intersection* of tropical intersection theory:  $C \cdot D = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} C \cap (D \text{ displaced by } \epsilon)$  with lattice multiplicities.

Stable intersection makes  $Z^*$  into a graded ring.

Fan tropical cycles and their intersection product make other appearances:

- as elements of the direct limit of Chow cohomology rings of toric varieties [Fulton-Sturmfels];
- as *Minkowski weights*, one representation of the elements of the *polytope algebra* of Peter McMullen.

# The stable Minkowski sum

The *Minkowski sum* of sets  $S, T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  is  $\{s + t : s \in S, t \in T\}$ .

For  $\sigma \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , let  $N_{\sigma} = \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \cap$  the  $\mathbb{R}$ -subspace generated by a translate of  $\sigma$  containing 0. Define multiplicities

 $\mu_{\sigma,\tau} = \begin{cases} [N_{\sigma+\tau} : N_{\sigma} + N_{\tau}] & \text{if } \dim(\sigma+\tau) = \dim\sigma + \dim\tau \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$ 

This is the same as for tropical intersection, except for the condition.

## Definition

The stable Minkowski sum  $C \boxplus D$  of tropical cycles C and D is their Minkowski sum with the right multiplicities: for every facet  $\sigma$  of C with mult  $m_{\sigma}$  and  $\tau$  of D with mult  $m_{\tau}$ ,  $C \boxplus D$  has a facet  $\sigma + \tau$  with mult  $\mu_{\sigma,\tau}m_{\sigma}m_{\tau}$ .

## Proposition

The stable Minkowski sum of tropical cycles is a tropical cycle.

Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

Let the tropical Chow hypersurface of  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  be the codimension 1 part of the normal complex to Chow'(X).

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the canonical tropical hyperplane Trop  $V(x_1 + \ldots + x_n)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{(i)}$  its dimension *i* skeleton.

For a tropical cycle X let  $X^{refl}$  be the reflection of X through the origin.

## Main theorem 1 (F)

Let X be a codimension k cycle in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . The tropical Chow hypersurface of X is  $\operatorname{Trop}(X) \boxplus (\mathcal{L}_{(k-1)})^{\operatorname{refl}}$ .

### Definition

Define  $ch: Z^k \to Z^1$  by  $ch(C) = C \boxplus (\mathcal{L}_{(k-1)})^{\text{refl}}$  for a tropical cycle C.

Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

イロン イロン イヨン イヨン ニヨー

Let the tropical Chow hypersurface of  $X \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$  be the codimension 1 part of the normal complex to Chow'(X).

Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the canonical tropical hyperplane Trop  $V(x_1 + \ldots + x_n)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_{(i)}$  its dimension *i* skeleton.

For a tropical cycle X let  $X^{refl}$  be the reflection of X through the origin.

## Main theorem 1 (F)

Let X be a codimension k cycle in  $\mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ . The tropical Chow hypersurface of X is  $\operatorname{Trop}(X) \boxplus (\mathcal{L}_{(k-1)})^{\operatorname{refl}}$ .

## Definition

Define  $ch: Z^k \to Z^1$  by  $ch(C) = C \boxplus (\mathcal{L}_{(k-1)})^{\text{refl}}$  for a tropical cycle *C*.

# Computing a Chow hypersurface



# Computing a Chow hypersurface

## Example

In our running example:



Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

# Aside: $\boxplus$ compared with intersection

In the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \to 0$$

where  $\iota$  is the inclusion along the diagonal and  $\phi$  is subtraction,

$$egin{aligned} m{C} \cdot m{D} &= \iota^*(m{C} imes m{D}) \ m{C} oxplus m{D}^{ ext{refl}} &= \phi_*(m{C} imes m{D}). \end{aligned}$$

If *C* and *D* have complimentary dimensions,

 $\#(C \cdot D) = \operatorname{mult}(C \boxplus D^{\operatorname{refl}}).$ 

The degree of a tropical cycle *C* of codimension *k* is deg  $C := #(C \cdot \mathcal{L}_{(k)})$ .

#### Proposition

The degree of ch(C) is  $codim C \deg C$ .

#### Definition

A tropical linear space is a tropical variety of degree 1.

A tropical linear space is a tropical variety of degree 1.

Others (e.g. Speyer) have taken tropical linear spaces in  $\mathbb{TP}^{n-1}$  to be given by regular *matroid subdivisions*, described by Plücker vectors  $(p_J : J \in {[n] \choose n-d})$ .

## Main theorem 2 (Mikhalkin-Sturmfels-Ziegler; F)

Every tropical linear space arises from a matroid subdivision. (That is, these definitions are equivalent.)

Matroid subdivision  $\Rightarrow$  linear space is known:

$$(p_J) \mapsto \bigcap_{|J|=n-d+1} \operatorname{Trop} V(\bigoplus_{j\in K} a_{K\setminus j} \odot x_j)$$

This is the intersection of several hyperplanes, hence degree 1.

# Sketch of proof: linear space $\Rightarrow$ matroid subdivision

Let *C* be a tropical linear space. We will construct the polytope subdivision  $\Sigma$  normal to *ch*(*C*).

(Thus if C = Trop(X), we will construct Chow'(X). Good.)

Using relationships between  $\boxplus$  and  $\cdot$ , show that  $\Sigma$  has  $\{0, 1\}$ -vector vertices and edge directions  $e_i - e_j$ . Thus  $\Sigma$  is a matroid polytope subdivision [Gelfand-Goresky-MacPherson-Serganova].

Why is  $\Sigma$  the right subdivision? We should be able to recover *C* from  $\Sigma$  by taking the normals to the *loop-free* faces [Ardila-Klivans].

Assume *C* has no lineality. Then: normal to a loop-free face in  $\Sigma \Leftrightarrow$  contains no ray in a direction  $-e_i$ ; *C* contains no rays in directions  $-e_i$ ; every ray of  $(\mathcal{L}_{(k)})^{\text{refl}}$  is in a direction  $-e_i$ .

# The kernel of ch

 $ch: Z^k \to Z^1, C \mapsto C \boxplus (\mathcal{L}_{(k)})^{\text{refl}}$  is a linear map. In each module  $Z^k$  of tropical cycles lies a pointed cone of varieties  $Z_{\text{eff}}^k$ , and we have  $ch(Z_{\text{eff}}^k) \subseteq Z_{\text{eff}}^1$ .

### Fact

ch is not injective. Thus, Chow polytope subdivisions do not determine tropical varieties, in general.

#### Question 3

Describe the kernel of ch, and the fibers of its restriction to varieties.

Perhaps easier with fixed complexes,  $ch: Z^k(\Sigma) \to Z^1(\Sigma')$ .

## Conjecture

ch is injective for curves.

Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

# The kernel of ch

 $ch: Z^k \to Z^1, C \mapsto C \boxplus (\mathcal{L}_{(k)})^{\text{refl}}$  is a linear map. In each module  $Z^k$  of tropical cycles lies a pointed cone of varieties  $Z_{\text{eff}}^k$ , and we have  $ch(Z_{\text{eff}}^k) \subseteq Z_{\text{eff}}^1$ .

### Fact

ch is not injective. Thus, Chow polytope subdivisions do not determine tropical varieties, in general.

#### **Question 3**

Describe the kernel of *ch*, and the fibers of its restriction to varieties.

Perhaps easier with fixed complexes,  $ch: Z^k(\Sigma) \to Z^1(\Sigma')$ .

#### Conjecture

ch is injective for curves.

Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

# The kernel of ch

 $ch: Z^k \to Z^1, C \mapsto C \boxplus (\mathcal{L}_{(k)})^{\text{refl}}$  is a linear map. In each module  $Z^k$  of tropical cycles lies a pointed cone of varieties  $Z_{\text{eff}}^k$ , and we have  $ch(Z_{\text{eff}}^k) \subseteq Z_{\text{eff}}^1$ .

### Fact

ch is not injective. Thus, Chow polytope subdivisions do not determine tropical varieties, in general.

#### **Question 3**

Describe the kernel of *ch*, and the fibers of its restriction to varieties.

Perhaps easier with fixed complexes,  $ch: Z^k(\Sigma) \to Z^1(\Sigma')$ .

#### Conjecture

ch is injective for curves.

Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

-

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

# Some elements of ker ch: what's the fan?

Let  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  be the normal fan of the *permutohedron*, i.e. the fan of the type *A* reflection arrangement, the *braid arrangement*, i.e. the common refinement of all normal fans of matroid polytopes.

The ray generators of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are  $e_J = \sum_{j \in J} e_j$  for all  $J \subsetneq [n], J \neq \emptyset$ . Its cones are generated by chains  $\{e_{J_1}, \ldots, e_{J_k} : J_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq J_k\}$ .

The ring  $Z^*(\mathcal{F}_n)$  is the cohomology ring of a generic torus orbit in the flag variety.

 $\dim Z^*(\mathcal{F}_n) = n!, \text{ and } \dim Z^k(\mathcal{F}_n) = \frac{n \setminus k}{2} = \frac{n \setminus k}{2}$ 

# Some elements of ker ch: what's the fan?

Let  $\mathcal{F}_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  be the normal fan of the *permutohedron*, i.e. the fan of the type *A* reflection arrangement, the *braid arrangement*, i.e. the common refinement of all normal fans of matroid polytopes.

The ray generators of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are  $e_J = \sum_{j \in J} e_j$  for all  $J \subsetneq [n], J \neq \emptyset$ . Its cones are generated by chains  $\{e_{J_1}, \ldots, e_{J_k} : J_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq J_k\}$ .

The ring  $Z^*(\mathcal{F}_n)$  is the cohomology ring of a generic torus orbit in the flag variety.

	- 	$n \setminus k$	0	1	2	3	4	5	
		1	1						
$\dim \mathbb{Z}^*(\mathcal{F}_n) = n!, \text{ and}$	$\dim \mathbb{Z}^n(\mathcal{F}_n)$	2	1	1					
the number of permutations of $[n]$ with <i>k</i> descents.		3	1	4	1				
		4	1	11	11	1			
		5	1	26	66	26	1		
		6	1	57	302	302	57	1	
						→ E →	₹	ଚବଙ	
Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)	Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes				MSRI, Oct. 16, 2009 23 / 2				

# Tropical varieties with the same Chow polytope

For any cone  $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \{ e_{J_1}, \dots, e_{J_k} \}$  of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\sigma_{J'}^{\text{refl}} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \{ -e_j : j \in J' \}$ , the sum  $\sigma \boxplus \sigma_{J'}^{\text{refl}}$  is again a union of cones of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

So  $ch(Z^k(\mathcal{F}_n)) \subseteq Z^1(\mathcal{F}_n)$ . But dim  $Z^k(\mathcal{F}_n) > \dim Z^1(\mathcal{F}_n)$  for 1 < k < n-2.

#### Example

For (n, k) = (5, 2), 66 > 26 and the kernel is 40-dimensional. Two tropical varieties in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  of dim 2 with equal Chow polytope are

# Tropical varieties with the same Chow polytope

For any cone  $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \{ e_{J_1}, \dots, e_{J_k} \}$  of  $\mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\sigma_{J'}^{\text{refl}} = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \{ -e_j : j \in J' \}$ , the sum  $\sigma \boxplus \sigma_{J'}^{\text{refl}}$  is again a union of cones of  $\mathcal{F}_n$ .

So  $ch(Z^k(\mathcal{F}_n)) \subseteq Z^1(\mathcal{F}_n)$ . But dim  $Z^k(\mathcal{F}_n) > \dim Z^1(\mathcal{F}_n)$  for 1 < k < n-2.

#### Example

For (n, k) = (5, 2), 66 > 26 and the kernel is 40-dimensional. Two tropical varieties in  $\mathbb{R}^4$  of dim 2 with equal Chow polytope are



Alex Fink (UC Berkeley)

Tropical cycles and Chow polytopes

Tropical varieties are "dual" to their Chow subdivisions.

Trop var ~> Chow subdiv has a nice combinatorial rule, in terms of stable Minkowski sum of tropical cycles.

Chow subdiv ~> trop var fails interestingly to be well-defined.

Thank you!

Tropical varieties are "dual" to their Chow subdivisions.

Trop var ~> Chow subdiv has a nice combinatorial rule, in terms of stable Minkowski sum of tropical cycles.

Chow subdiv ~> trop var fails interestingly to be well-defined.

Thank you!

4 **A** N A **B** N A **B** N