## 6.2.2 PACF of ARMA(p,q)

We have seen earlier that the autocorrelation function of MA(q) models is zero for all lags greater than q as these are q-correlated processes. Hence, the ACF is a good indication of the order of the process. However AR(p) and ARMA(p,q) processes are "fully" correlated, their ACF tails off and never becomes zero, though it may be very close to zero. In such cases it is difficult to identify the process on the ACF basis only.

In this section we will consider another correlation function, which together with the ACF will help to identify the models. The function is called **Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF)**. Before introducing a formal definition of PACF we motivate the idea for AR(1). Let

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + Z_t$$

be a causal AR(1) process. Then

$$\gamma(2) = \operatorname{cov}(X_t, X_{t-2}) = \operatorname{cov}(\phi X_{t-1} + Z_t, X_{t-2}) = \operatorname{cov}(\phi^2 X_{t-2} + \phi Z_{t-1} + Z_t, X_{t-2}) = \operatorname{E}[(\phi^2 X_{t-2} + \phi Z_{t-1} + Z_t) X_{t-2}] = \phi^2 \gamma(0).$$

The autocorrelation is not zero because  $X_t$  depends on  $X_{t-2}$  through  $X_{t-1}$ . Due to the iterative kind of AR models there is a chain of dependence. We can break this dependence removing the influence of  $X_{t-1}$  from both  $X_t$  and  $X_{t-2}$  to obtain

$$X_t - \phi X_{t-1}$$
 and  $X_{t-2} - \phi X_{t-1}$ 

for which the covariance is zero, i.e.,

$$\operatorname{cov}(X_t - \phi X_{t-1}, X_{t-2} - \phi X_{t-1}) = \operatorname{cov}(Z_t, X_{t-2} - \phi X_{t-1}) = 0.$$

Similarly, we obtain zero covariance for  $X_t$  and  $X_{t-3}$  after breaking the chain of dependence, i.e. removing the dependence of the two variables on  $X_{t-1}$  and  $X_{t-2}$ , i.e. for  $X_t - f(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2})$  and  $X_{t-3} - f(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2})$  for some function f. Continuing this we would obtain zero covariances for variables  $X_t - f(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \ldots, X_{t-\tau+1})$  and  $X_{t-\tau} - f(X_{t-1}, X_{t-2}, \ldots, X_{t-\tau+1})$ . Then the only nonzero covariance is for  $X_t$  and  $X_{t-1}$  (nothing in between to break the chain of dependence). These covariances with an appropriate function f divided by the variance of the process are the partial autocorrelations. Hence, for a causal AR(1) process we would have the PACF at lag 1 equal to  $\rho(1)$  and at lags > 1 equal to 0. This, together with the tailing off shape of the ACF identifies the process. **Definition 6.2.** The Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF) of a zero-mean stationary TS  ${X_t}_{t=0,1,...}$  is defined as

$$\phi_{11} = \operatorname{corr}(X_1, X_0) = \rho(1)$$
  

$$\phi_{\tau\tau} = \operatorname{corr}(X_\tau - f_{(\tau-1)}, X_0 - f_{(\tau-1)}), \quad \tau \ge 2,$$
(6.17)

where

$$f_{(\tau-1)} = f(X_{\tau-1}, \dots, X_1)$$

minimizes the mean square linear prediction error

$$\mathrm{E}(X_{\tau} - f_{(\tau-1)})^2.$$

*Remark* 6.4. The subscript at the f function denotes the number of variables the function depends on.

*Remark* 6.5. By stationarity,  $\phi_{\tau\tau}$  is the correlation between variables  $X_t$  and  $X_{t-\tau}$  with the linear effect

$$f(X_{t-1}, \dots, X_{t-\tau+1}) = \beta_1 X_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_{\tau-1} X_{t-\tau+1}$$

on each variable removed.

### *Example* 6.5. The PACF of AR(1)

Consider a process

$$X_t = \phi X_{t-1} + Z_t, \quad Z_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2),$$

where  $|\phi| < 1$ , i.e., a causal AR(1). Then by definition 6.2

$$\phi_{11} = \rho(1) = \phi.$$

To calculate  $\phi_{22}$  we need to find the function  $f_{(1)}$  which is of the form

$$f_{(1)} = \beta X_1.$$

We choose  $\beta$  to minimize

$$E(X_2 - \beta X_1)^2 = E(X_2^2 - 2\beta X_1 X_2 + \beta^2 X_1^2) = \gamma(0) - 2\beta\gamma(1) + \beta^2\gamma(0)$$

which is a polynomial in  $\beta$ . Taking the derivative with respect to  $\beta$  and setting it equal to zero, we obtain

$$-2\gamma(1) + 2\gamma(0)\beta = 0.$$

116

Hence

$$\beta = \frac{\gamma(1)}{\gamma(0)} = \rho(1) = \phi$$

and

$$f_{(1)} = \phi X_1.$$

Then

$$\phi_{22} = \operatorname{corr}(X_2 - \phi X_1, X_0 - \phi X_1) = \operatorname{corr}(Z_2, X_0 - \phi X_1) = 0$$

as by causality  $X_0, X_1$  do not depend on  $Z_2$ . Similarly we would obtain  $\phi_{33} = 0$ . In fact

$$\phi_{\tau\tau} = 0 \quad \text{for } \tau > 1.$$

# The PACF of AR(p)

Let

$$X_t - \phi_1 X_{t-1} - \ldots - \phi_p X_{t-p} = Z_t, \quad Z_t \sim WM(0, \sigma^2)$$

be a causal AR(p) process, i.e., we assume that the roots of  $\phi(z)$  are outside the unit circle. When  $\tau > p$  the linear combination minimizing the mean square linear prediction error is

$$f_{(p)} = \sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_j X_{\tau-j}.$$

We will discuss this result later. Now we will use it to obtain the PACF for  $\tau > p$ , namely

$$\phi_{\tau\tau} = \operatorname{corr}(X_{\tau} - f_{(p)}, X_0 - f_{(p)}) = \operatorname{corr}(Z_{\tau}, X_0 - f_{(p)}) = 0$$

as by causality  $X_{\tau-j}$ , do not depend on the future noise value  $Z_{\tau}$ .

When  $\tau \leq p \ \phi_{pp} \neq 0$  and  $\phi_{11}, \ldots, \phi_{p-1,p-1}$  are not necessarily zero.

### *Remark* 6.6. **The PACF of MA(q)** Let

$$X_t = Z_t + \theta_1 Z_{t-1} + \ldots + \theta_q Z_{t-q}, \quad Z_t \sim WN(0, \sigma^2)$$

be an invertible MA(q) process, i.e., roots of  $\theta(z)$  lie outside the unit circle. Then its linear representation is

$$X_t = -\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \pi_j X_{t-j} + Z_t.$$



Figure 6.3: AR(1) for various values of the parameters  $\phi = 0.9, -0.9, 0.5, -0.5$ .



Figure 6.4: ACF and PACF of the AR(1) process  $x_t = 0.9x_{t-1} + z_t$ .



Figure 6.5: ACF and PACF of the AR(1) process  $x_t = -0.9x_{t-1} + z_t$ .



Figure 6.6: ACF and PACF of the AR(1) process  $x_t = 0.5x_{t-1} + z_t$ .



Figure 6.7: ACF and PACF of the AR(1) process  $x_t = -0.5x_{t-1} + z_t$ .



Figure 6.8: The PACF for AR(2)  $x_t - 0.7x_{t-1} + 0.1x_{t-2} = z_t$ .



Figure 6.9: ACF and PACF of the MA(1) process  $x_t = z_t + 0.9z_{t-1}$ .

#### 6.2. ACF AND PACF OF ARMA(P,Q)

This is an AR( $\infty$ ) representation ( $p = \infty$ ) and the PACF will never cut off as for the AR(p) with finite p.

The PACF of MA models behaves like ACF for AR models and PACF for AR models behaves like ACF for MA models.

It can be shown that PACF of MA(1) is

$$\phi_{\tau\tau} = -\frac{(-\theta)^{\tau}(1-\theta^2)}{1-\theta^{2(\tau+1)}}, \quad \tau \ge 1.$$

## *Remark* 6.7. The PACF of ARMA(p,q)

An invertible ARMA model has an infinite AR representation, hence the PACF will not cut off.

The following table summarizes the behaviour of the PACF of the causal and invertible ARMA models (see R.H.Shumway and Stoffer (2000)).

	AR(p)	MA(q)	ARMA(p,q)
ACF	Tails off	Cuts off after lag q	Tails off
PACF	Cuts off after lag p	Tails off	Tails off